

4 **ON THE PURITIES OF α -IDEALS IN ORDERED**
5 **SEMIGROUPS**

6 NUCHANAT TIPRACHOT, BUNDIT PIBALJOMMEE

7 *Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science*
8 *Khon Kaen 40002, Thailand*

9 **e-mail:** nuchanatt@kkumail.com
banpib@kku.ac.th

10 AND

11 NAREUPANAT LEKKOKSUNG¹

12 *Division of Mathematics, Faculty of Engineering*
13 *Rajamangala University of Technology Isan, Khon Kaen Campus*
14 *Khon Kaen 40000, Thailand*

15 **e-mail:** nareupanat.le@rmuti.ac.th

16 **Abstract**

17 One of the important principles for characterizing ordered semigroups
18 into classes is the purities of ideals. It was Changphas, and Sanborisoot
19 studied this concept in ordered semigroups. They described left (resp., right)
20 weakly regular ordered semigroups using left (resp., right) pure of two-sided
21 ideals. Our work weakens their study by introducing new kinds of purities
22 for α -ideals. We characterize our new version of purities; moreover, a class
23 of ordered semigroups is characterized by this concept.

24 **Keywords:** α -ideal, β -pure, pure ideal, ordered semigroup, regularities.

25 **2020 Mathematics Subject Classification:** 06F05, 20M12.

26 **1. INTRODUCTION**

27 When analyzing the structure of various algebraic systems, the idea of ideals is
28 crucial. The purities are one of the essential characteristics of ideals. Numerous
29 algebraic systems employed the purities of ideals as a subject of research. The

¹Corresponding author.

30 purities and the purely primness of ideals in semigroups were firstly begun in 1989
 31 by Ahsan and Takahashi (see [1]). In 2009, Bashir and Shabir [14] expanded these
 32 ideas to ternary semigroups. They discussed weakly pure ideals and examined
 33 their properties. The set of all proper purely prime ideals in ternary semigroups
 34 is topologized was also demonstrated. In 2012, Shabir and Anjum [15] started the
 35 concept of purities for k -ideals in hemirings. They explained left pure and right
 36 pure of k -ideals. Additionally, the authors used left (resp., right) pure k -ideals
 37 to characterize left (resp., right) k -weakly regular hemirings. In Γ -semirings,
 38 Jagatap [5] introduced the purities of k -ideals. The author began by studying
 39 the properties of pure k -ideals.

40 When Changphas and Sanborisoot established the ideas of left (resp., right)
 41 pure, weakly pure, and purely prime ideals in ordered semigroups, the research
 42 of Ahsan and Takahashi was generalized to a higher level. Changphas and San-
 43 borisoot [4] characterized left (resp., right) pure ideals and used them to describe
 44 left (resp., right) weakly regular ordered semigroups. In the same year, the same
 45 authors extended the investigations of Bashir and Shabir (see [13]). The new
 46 purities versions were initially introduced in ordered hemirings by Pibaljommee
 47 and Palakawong na Ayutthaya (see [12]). They defined the properties of quasi-
 48 pure and bi-pure of k -ideals. The authors used two new types of pure k -ideals to
 49 characterize ordered hemirings: those that are both left and right weakly ordered
 50 k -regular and those that are k -regular. It seems that the trend of quasi-purity
 51 and bi-purity is significant. Palakawong na Ayutthaya and Pibaljommee started
 52 these notions for ideals and k -ideals in ordered semirings in [10] and [11], respec-
 53 tively. They characterized left (resp., right quasi-, bi-) pure for both ideals and
 54 k -ideals. The characterizations of left (resp., right) weakly ordered (k -)regular
 55 semirings were provided by using left (resp., right quasi-, bi-) purity of ideals and
 56 k -ideals.

57 In addition to being crucial for characterizing algebraic systems, the purities
 58 of ideals are also essential for understanding hyperalgebraic systems. In ordered
 59 Krasner hyperrings, left (resp., right) purity of left (resp., right) hyperideals was
 60 attempted to be defined by Omid *et al.* in 2007 (see [9]). They investigated
 61 the properties of left (resp., right) pure hyperideals. The authors also developed
 62 the idea of purely maximum hyperideals and examined their associated features.
 63 Changphas and Davvaz [3] investigated the properties of left (resp., right) pure
 64 hyperideals in ordered semihypergroups in a similar approach. Their character-
 65 izations were present. The authors also started the concept of left (resp., right)
 66 weakly pure hyperideals. They showed that the set of all purely prime hyper-
 67 ideals forms topology on an appropriate set. Recently, Shao *et al.* [16] studied
 68 the concept of left (resp., right) pure and purely prime hyperideals in ordered
 69 semihyperrings.

70 As mentioned earlier, we can see from the motivation that the purities concept

is typically defined for two-sided ideals. Additionally, the variety of ideal purities seems to be limited. In the current study, we introduce the concept of β -purity of α -ideals in ordered semigroups utilizing the concept of α -ideals. By a suitable ideal, we characterize β -pure α -ideals. In addition, we describe γ -regular ordered semigroups by β -pure α -ideals.

2. PRELIMINARIES

The basic notions used in this paper are recalled in this section. The notion of words is present in the first subsection. The second subsection provides the concept of ordered semigroups and their auxiliary results. The readers can be found some of these basic notations in [17].

2.1. Word

Throughout this paper, let $\mathbb{N} := \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ be the set of all natural numbers, and \mathbb{N}_0 to be the set of all nonnegative integers, that is, $\mathbb{N}_0 := \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. Let X be a nonempty set.

We denote the free monoid over the set X by X^* . The identity of X^* is called the empty word, denoted by ε . The notation $X^+ := X^* \setminus \{\varepsilon\}$ denotes the free semigroup over the set X . Let $\alpha \in X^*$. We define $\text{var}(\alpha)$ and $|\alpha|$ to be the set of variables occurring in the word α and the length of α , respectively. We denote by X_f^* the set $\{\alpha \in X^* : \text{var}(\alpha) = X\}$. For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we let

$$X_n^* := \{\alpha \in X^* : |\alpha| = n\}$$

and

$$X_{f,n}^* := \{\alpha \in X_f^* : |\alpha| = n\}.$$

Any $\alpha \in X^*$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we denote $\underbrace{\alpha \cdots \alpha}_{n \text{ times}}$ by α^n . Let $\alpha \in X^+$, and $R := \{i \rightarrow j : i, j \in X \text{ and } i \neq j\}$. We define α_R to be the word obtained by replacing i in the word α by j for all $i \rightarrow j \in R$. In particular, if $R = \{i_1 \rightarrow j_1, \dots, i_k \rightarrow j_k\}$ is finite, then we may write α_R by $\alpha_{i_1 \rightarrow j_1, \dots, i_k \rightarrow j_k}$.

Let $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $m \leq n$. We define $[m, n] := \{i \in \mathbb{N} : m \leq i \leq n\}$. For any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we denote the set $\{[m, n] : 1 \leq m \leq n \leq k\}$ by $\text{Int}(k)$.

For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we say that an $I \in \mathcal{I}_n$ if I is either an m -element subset of $\text{Int}(n)$, where $1 \leq m \leq n$, such that

$$I = \{[b_1, c_1], \dots, [b_m, c_m] : [b_j, c_j] \cap [b_k, c_k] = \emptyset \text{ for all } 1 \leq j < k \leq m\},$$

or $I = \{\emptyset\}$. We denote $\mathcal{I} := \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{I}_n$. Note that $\mathcal{I}_k \subset \mathcal{I}_{k+1}$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Let $\alpha = a_1 \cdots a_n \in \{0, 1\}_n^*$ and $I = \{[b_1, c_1], \dots, [b_m, c_m]\} \in \mathbb{I}_n$, where $n \in \mathbb{N}$.
We define a new word α_I obtained by α and I by

$$\alpha_I := a_1 \cdots a_{b_1-1} 0 a_{c_1+1} \cdots a_{b_m-1} 0 a_{c_m+1} \cdots a_n.$$

If $I = \{\emptyset\}$, then we define $\alpha_I := \alpha$. We set $a_1 \cdots a_{b_1-1} := \varepsilon$ if $b_1 = 1$ and $a_{c_m+1} \cdots a_n := \varepsilon$ if $c_m = n$.

For any $\alpha \in \{0, 1\}^+$, a mapping $1_\alpha: \{0, 1\}^+ \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^+$ assigns for any $\beta = b_1 \cdots b_n \in \{0, 1\}_n^*$, where $n \in \mathbb{N}$, by $1_\alpha(\beta) := \alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_n$ such that

$$\alpha_i = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } b_i = 0, \\ \alpha & \text{if } b_i = 1, \end{cases}$$

where $1 \leq i \leq n$. In particular, for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we denote $1_\alpha^n(\alpha)$ by $\alpha^{(n)}$. We note that $\alpha^{(0)} = \alpha$.

Let $\alpha \in \{0, 1\}^+$. We define

$$\text{minor}(\alpha) := \{\beta \in \{0, 1\}^+ : (\beta^{(m)})_I = \alpha \text{ for some } m \in \mathbb{N}_0 \text{ and } I \in \mathbb{I}\}.$$

Let $\alpha, \beta \in \{0, 1, 2\}^+$ such that $|\alpha| = m$ and $|\beta| = n$, where $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$. Suppose that $\beta = b_1 \cdots b_n$. We define the word $r_\alpha(\beta)$ obtained by β with respect to α by $r_\alpha(\beta) := \beta$ if there is no $i \in \{1, \dots, n - m + 1\}$ such that $b_i \cdots b_{i+m-1} = \alpha_{1 \rightarrow 2}$, otherwise,

$$r_\alpha(\beta) := b_1 \cdots b_{i-1} 2 b_{i+m} \cdots b_n.$$

In particular, $r_\alpha(\beta) := 2 b_{1-m} \cdots b_n$ and $r_\alpha(\beta) := b_1 \cdots b_{i+m-2} 2$ if $i = 1$ and $i = b_{i+m-1}$, respectively. For any $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$, we define $r_\alpha^k(\beta) = r_\alpha(r_\alpha^{k-1}(\beta))$, where $r_\alpha^k(\beta) := \beta$ if $k = 0$.

Let $\alpha \in \{0, 1\}^+$. We define $\langle \alpha \rangle := \{\alpha\}$ if $|\alpha| = 1$, otherwise, $\langle \alpha \rangle := \{\alpha\} \cup \{1^j : 1 \leq j \leq |\alpha| - 1\}$. For any $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k \in \{0, 1\}^+$, where $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we denote $\langle \alpha_1 \rangle \times \cdots \times \langle \alpha_k \rangle$ by $\Pi_{i=1}^k \langle \alpha_i \rangle$.

Let $\alpha \in \{1, 2\}_n^*$, where $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Suppose that $\alpha = a_1 \cdots a_n$. For any $1 \leq i \leq n$, we define

$$\pi_i(\alpha) = \begin{cases} \langle \alpha_{2 \rightarrow 0} \rangle & \text{if } a_i = 1, \\ \{2\} & \text{if } a_i = 2. \end{cases}$$

We denote $\pi_1(\alpha) \times \cdots \times \pi_n(\alpha)$ by $\pi(\alpha)$.

Any k -ary tuple $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_k)$ of $\{0, 1, 2\}^+$ induces a word assigned by $\underline{\mathbf{x}} := x_1 \cdots x_k$.

For any $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\alpha \in \{0, 1\}_f^*$, we define the set $\text{comp}_k(\alpha)$ to be the set

$$\left\{ (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k) \in (\{0, 1\}^* \setminus \{1\}^*)^k : \underline{\mathbf{x}} \in \text{minor}(\alpha) \text{ for all } \mathbf{x} \in \Pi_{i=1}^k \langle \alpha_i \rangle \right\}.$$

Let $\alpha \in \{0, 1\}^+$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$. We define the set

$$\mathbf{K}_\alpha^{(k)} := \{(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k) \in \mathbf{comp}_k(\alpha) : \alpha \in \mathbf{minor}(\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_k)\}.$$

The set $\mathbf{K}_\alpha^{(k)}$ is a tool for characterizing all classes of ordered semigroups which we will present in the following subsection.

2.2. Ordered semigroup

An *ordered semigroup* is an algebraic structure $\langle S, \cdot, \leq \rangle$ of type $(2; 2)$ such that $\langle S, \cdot \rangle$ is a semigroup, and $\langle S, \leq \rangle$ is a partially ordered set such that \leq is compatible with the binary operation \cdot . That is, for any $x, y \in S$ such that $x \leq y$, we have that $x \cdot z \leq y \cdot z$ and $z \cdot x \leq z \cdot y$ for all $z \in S$.

From now on, we denote an ordered semigroup $\langle S, \cdot, \leq \rangle$ with the bold letter \mathbf{S} of its universe set. Moreover, we denote the product $x \cdot y$ by xy .

Let \mathbf{S} be an ordered semigroup, and A, B and C subsets of S . Define the sets AB and $(C]$ as follows: $AB := \emptyset$ if A or B is empty, otherwise,

$$AB := \{ab : a \in A \text{ and } b \in B\}$$

and

$$(C] := \{x \in S : x \leq c \text{ for some } c \in C\}.$$

The following lemma is important in investigating ordered semigroups.

Lemma 1 [6]. *Let \mathbf{S} be an ordered semigroup, and A and B nonempty subsets of S . Then the following statements valid:*

1. $A \subseteq (A]$ and $((A]) = (A]$;
2. $A \subseteq B$ implies $(A] \subseteq (B]$;
3. $A(B), (A]B, (A](B) \subseteq (AB]$;
4. $(A \cup B) = (A] \cup (B]$.

In 2013, Towwun and Changphas [18] introduced the notion of α -ideals in ordered semigroups as a generalization of one-sided ideals. Miccoli and Pondělíček, who first proposed the idea of α -ideals in semigroups, were the inspiration for Towwun and Changphas' research (see [8]). It is, therefore, preferable to recollect a few concepts related to the idea of α -ideals in ordered semigroups.

Let \mathbf{S} be an ordered semigroup, and $\alpha = a_1 \cdots a_n = \{0, 1\}_n^*$, where $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$. For any $A \subseteq S$, we define $f_\alpha^A : P(S) \rightarrow P(S)$ by $f_\alpha^A(B) := \emptyset$ if $n = 0$, otherwise, $f_\alpha^A(B) := C_1 \cdots C_n$, where

$$C_i = \begin{cases} A & \text{if } a_i = 0, \\ B & \text{if } a_i = 1, \end{cases}$$

for all $B \subseteq S$ and $1 \leq i \leq n$. In particular, if $B = \{b\}$, then we write $f_\alpha^A(b)$ instead of $f_\alpha^A(\{b\})$. Here $P(S)$ is the set of all subsets of S .

Miccoli and Pondělíček gave the result below in terms of semigroups, but we can also present it in terms of ordered semigroups.

Lemma 2 [8]. *Let \mathbf{S} be an ordered semigroup, A and B nonempty subsets of S , and $\alpha, \beta \in \{0, 1\}^*$. Then the statements holds:*

1. $f_\alpha^S(A) \subseteq f_\alpha^S(B)$, where $A \subseteq B$;
2. $f_{\alpha\beta}^S(A) = f_\alpha^S(A)f_\beta^S(A)$.

The result that follows is simple to acquire.

Corollary 3. *Let \mathbf{S} be an ordered semigroup, A, B nonempty subsets of S , and $\alpha \in \{0, 1\}^*$. Then $f_\alpha^A(S) \subseteq f_\alpha^B(S)$ whenever $A \subseteq B$.*

Proof. We observe that $f_{\alpha 0 \rightarrow 1, 1 \rightarrow 0}^S(A) = f_\alpha^A(S)$ and $f_{\alpha 0 \rightarrow 1, 1 \rightarrow 0}^S(B) = f_\alpha^B(S)$. By applying Lemma 2(1), we have $f_\alpha^A(S) = f_{\alpha 0 \rightarrow 1, 1 \rightarrow 0}^S(A) \subseteq f_{\alpha 0 \rightarrow 1, 1 \rightarrow 0}^S(B) = f_\alpha^B(S)$. ■

Following is a definition of α -ideals.

Definition [18]. Let \mathbf{S} be an ordered semigroup. A nonempty subset A of S such that $\langle A \rangle = A$ is said to be an α -ideal of \mathbf{S} if $AA \subseteq A$ and $f_\alpha^S(A) \subseteq A$.

It is not difficult to see that any left, right, bi-, and (m, n) -ideal is a 01-, 10-, 101-, and $1^m 01^n$ -ideal, respectively (see [17]).

Towwun and Changphas proved the following result.

Theorem 4 [18]. *Let \mathbf{S} be an ordered semigroup, A a nonempty subset of S and $\alpha \in \{0, 1\}^* \setminus \{1\}^*$ such that $|\alpha| \geq 2$. Then the smallest α -ideal of \mathbf{S} containing A is of the form*

$$\left(A \cup \dots \cup A^{|\alpha|-1} \cup f_\alpha^S(A) \right).$$

We denote the smallest α -ideal of \mathbf{S} containing A by $\langle A \rangle_\alpha$. For the convenience, let $\langle A \rangle_\alpha := S$ if $\alpha = 0$. In particular, if $A = \{a\}$, where $a \in S$, then we write $\langle a \rangle_\alpha$ instead of $\langle \{a\} \rangle_\alpha$.

An ordered semigroup \mathbf{S} is said to be α -regular if any $a \in S$, we have $a \in (f_\alpha^S(a))$. The concept of α -regular ordered semigroups can describe all classes of ordered semigroups determined by a linear inequation. Tiprachot *et al.* [17] characterized the concept of α -regular ordered semigroups in 2022.

Theorem 5 [17]. *Let \mathbf{S} be an ordered semigroup, and $\alpha \in \{0, 1\}_f^*$. Suppose that $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k) \in K_\alpha^{(k)}$, where $k \geq 2$, and $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k \in \{0, 1\}^* \setminus \{1\}^*$. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- 200 1. \mathbf{S} is α -regular;
 201 2. $A_1 \cap \cdots \cap A_k \subseteq (A_1 \cdots A_k]$ for any α_i -ideal A_i , where $1 \leq i \leq k$.

202 It, then, turns out that the above generalizes, for example, Theorem 3.2 and
 203 Lemma 4.1 in [2] and Theorem 2 in [7].

204 3. RESULTS

205 The idea of purities of α -ideals in ordered semigroups is introduced and described
 206 in our final section. We also characterize a class of ordered semigroups using this
 207 concept. Before we proceed, a notion from the previous section needs to be
 208 adjusted to make it more helpful in identifying the purities.

209 Let \mathbf{S} be an ordered semigroup, and $\alpha = a_1 \cdots a_n = \{0, 1, 2\}_n^*$, where $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$.
 210 For any $A \subseteq S$, we define $f_\alpha^A: P(S) \times P(S) \rightarrow P(S)$ by $f_\alpha^A(B, C) := \emptyset$ if $n = 0$,
 211 otherwise, $f_\alpha^A(B, C) := D_1 \cdots D_n$, where

$$212 \quad D_i = \begin{cases} A & \text{if } a_i = 0, \\ B & \text{if } a_i = 1, \\ C & \text{if } a_i = 2, \end{cases}$$

213 for all $B, C \subseteq S$ and $1 \leq i \leq n$.

214 The following consequence is obtained immediately by the above definition.

215 **Lemma 6.** Let \mathbf{S} be an ordered semigroup, and $\alpha, \beta \in \{0, 1, 2\}^+$. Then $f_{\alpha\beta}^S(A, B) =$
 216 $f_\alpha^S(A, B)f_\beta^S(A, B)$ for any $A, B \subseteq S$.

217 Moreover, Definition 2.2 can be restated as follows.

218 **Definition.** Let \mathbf{S} be an ordered semigroup. A nonempty subset A of S such
 219 that $(A] = A$ is said to be an α -ideal of \mathbf{S} if $AA \subseteq A$ and $f_\alpha^S(A, S) \subseteq A$.

220 Now, we are ready to define the concept of purities of α -ideals.

221 **Definition.** Let \mathbf{S} be an ordered semigroup, $\alpha \in \{0, 1\}^+ \setminus \{1\}^+$, and $\beta \in \{1, 2\}^+$.
 222 An α -ideal A of \mathbf{S} is β -pure if $a \in \left(f_\beta^S(a, A)\right]$ for any $a \in A$.

223 The following result demonstrates the relationship between different purities
 224 of an α -ideal in ordered semigroups.

225 **Proposition 7.** Let \mathbf{S} be an ordered semigroup, $\alpha \in \{0, 1\}^+ \setminus \{1\}^+$ and $\beta \in$
 226 $\{0, 1, 2\}^+$. Suppose that B is an α -ideal of \mathbf{S} . Then

$$227 \quad f_\beta^S(A, B) \subseteq f_{r_\alpha^k(\beta)}^S(A, B)$$

228 for any $A \subseteq S$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

Proof. Let $A, B \subseteq S$. The proof is achieved if $k = 0$. We let $P(k)$ to be the statement: $f_\beta^S(A, B) \subseteq f_{r_\alpha^k(\beta)}(A, B)$. We show this statement by induction on k . Let us suppose more that $\alpha = a_1 \cdots a_m$ and $\beta = b_1 \cdots b_n$. It is clear that $f_\beta^S(A, B) \subseteq f_{r_\alpha(\beta)}(A, B)$ whenever $m > n$. Assume that $m \leq n$. If $r_\alpha(\beta) = \beta$, then $f_\beta^S(A, B) \subseteq f_{r_\alpha(\beta)}(A, B)$. Suppose that there exists $i \in \{1, \dots, n - m + 1\}$ such that $b_i \cdots b_{i+m-1} = \alpha_{1 \rightarrow 2}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
f_\beta^S(A, B) &= f_{b_1 \cdots b_n}^S(A, B) \\
&= f_{b_1 \cdots b_{i-1}}^S(A, B) f_{b_i \cdots b_{i+m-1}}^S(A, B) f_{b_{i+m} \cdots b_n}^S(A, B) \\
&= f_{b_1 \cdots b_{i-1}}^S(A, B) f_{\alpha_{1 \rightarrow 2}}^S(A, B) f_{b_{i+m} \cdots b_n}^S(A, B) \\
&= f_{b_1 \cdots b_{i-1}}^S(A, B) f_\alpha^S(B, A) f_{b_{i+m} \cdots b_n}^S(A, B) \\
&= f_{b_1 \cdots b_{i-1}}^S(A, B) f_\alpha^S(B, S) f_{b_{i+m} \cdots b_n}^S(A, B) \\
&\subseteq f_{b_1 \cdots b_{i-1}}^S(A, B) f_1^S(B, S) f_{b_{i+m} \cdots b_n}^S(A, B) \quad (\text{since } B \text{ is an } \alpha\text{-ideal}) \\
&= f_{b_1 \cdots b_{i-1}}^S(A, B) f_2^S(A, B) f_{b_{i+m} \cdots b_n}^S(A, B) \\
&= f_{b_1 \cdots b_{i-1} 2 b_{i+m} \cdots b_n}^S(A, B) \\
&= f_{r_\alpha(\beta)}^S(A, B).
\end{aligned}$$

This shows that $P(1)$ is true. Assume that $P(k)$ is true. That is, $f_\beta^S(A, B) \subseteq f_{r_\alpha^k(\beta)}(A, B)$. Suppose that $r_\alpha^k(\beta) = c_1 \cdots c_l$ for some $l \in \mathbb{N}$. If $l < m$, then $f_{r_\alpha^k(\beta)}^S(A, B) = f_{r_\alpha^{k+1}(\beta)}^S(A, B)$. This implies $f_\beta^S(A, B) \subseteq f_{r_\alpha^{k+1}(\beta)}^S(A, B)$. Suppose that $m \leq l$. If $r_\alpha^k(\beta) = r_\alpha^{k+1}(\beta)$, then we have that $f_\beta^S(A, B) \subseteq f_{r_\alpha^{k+1}(\beta)}^S(A, B)$. Suppose that there exists $i \in \{1, \dots, l - m + 1\}$ such that $c_i \cdots c_{i+m-1} = \alpha_{1 \rightarrow 2}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
f_{r_\alpha^k(\beta)}^S(A, B) &= f_{c_1 \cdots c_l}^S(A, B) \\
&= f_{c_1 \cdots c_{i-1}}^S(A, B) f_{c_i \cdots c_{i+m-1}}^S(A, B) f_{c_{i+m} \cdots c_l}^S(A, B) \\
&= f_{c_1 \cdots c_{i-1}}^S(A, B) f_{\alpha_{1 \rightarrow 2}}^S(A, B) f_{c_{i+m} \cdots c_l}^S(A, B) \\
&= f_{c_1 \cdots c_{i-1}}^S(A, B) f_\alpha^S(B, A) f_{c_{i+m} \cdots c_l}^S(A, B) \\
&= f_{c_1 \cdots c_{i-1}}^S(A, B) f_\alpha^S(B, S) f_{c_{i+m} \cdots c_l}^S(A, B) \\
&\subseteq f_{c_1 \cdots c_{i-1}}^S(A, B) f_1^S(B, S) f_{c_{i+m} \cdots c_l}^S(A, B) \quad (\text{since } B \text{ is an } \alpha\text{-ideal}) \\
&= f_{c_1 \cdots c_{i-1}}^S(A, B) f_2^S(A, B) f_{c_{i+m} \cdots c_l}^S(A, B) \\
&= f_{c_1 \cdots c_{i-1} 2 c_{i+m} \cdots c_l}^S(A, B) \\
&= f_{r_\alpha^{k+1}(\beta)}^S(A, B).
\end{aligned}$$

This shows that $P(k+1)$ is true. By mathematical induction, we obtain that

260 $f_\beta^S(A, B) \subseteq f_{r_\alpha^k(\beta)}^S(A, B)$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Altogether, we have that, $f_\beta^S(A, B) \subseteq$
 261 $f_{r_\alpha^k(\beta)}^S(A, B)$ for any $A, B \subseteq S$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$. ■

262 **Lemma 8.** *Let \mathbf{S} be an ordered semigroup and $\alpha \in \{1, 2\}^*$. Then*

$$263 \quad f_\alpha^S \left(\bigcup_{w \in \langle \alpha_{2 \rightarrow 0} \rangle} f_w^S(A, B), B \right) = \bigcup_{\mathbf{x} \in \pi(\alpha)} f_{\mathbf{x}}^S(A, B)$$

264 *for any $A, B \subseteq S$.*

265 **Proof.** Suppose that $|\alpha| = n$, where $n \in \mathbb{N}$, such that $\alpha = a_1 \cdots a_n$. Let $A, B \subseteq$
 266 S . Assume that $y \in f_\alpha^S \left(\bigcup_{w \in \langle \alpha_{2 \rightarrow 0} \rangle} f_w^S(A, B), B \right)$. Then $y \in y_1 \cdots y_n$, where
 267 $y_i = B$ if $a_i = 2$ and $y_i = f_w^S(A, B)$ for some $w \in \langle \alpha_{2 \rightarrow 0} \rangle$ if $a_i = 1$. This means
 268 that $y \in f_{w_1}^S(A, B) \cdots f_{w_n}^S(A, B)$ such that

$$269 \quad w_i \in \begin{cases} \langle \alpha_{2 \rightarrow 0} \rangle & \text{if } a_i = 1, \\ \{2\} & \text{if } a_i = 2. \end{cases}$$

270 We observe that $(w_1, \dots, w_n) \in \pi(\alpha)$. Thus

$$271 \quad y \in f_{w_1 \dots w_n}^S(A, B) \subseteq \bigcup_{\mathbf{x} \in \pi(\alpha)} f_{\mathbf{x}}^S(A, B).$$

272 Conversely, let $y \in \bigcup_{\mathbf{x} \in \pi(\alpha)} f_{\mathbf{x}}^S(A, B)$. Then $y \in f_{\mathbf{x}}^S(A, B)$ for some $\mathbf{x} \in \pi(\alpha)$.
 273 Since $\mathbf{x} \in \pi(\alpha)$, we see that $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \pi_1(\alpha) \times \cdots \times \pi_n(\alpha)$. If there exists
 274 $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ such that $a_i = 1$, then $x_i \in \pi_i(\alpha) = \langle \alpha_{2 \rightarrow 0} \rangle$. Thus,

$$275 \quad y \in f_{\mathbf{x}}^S(A, B) = f_{x_1}^S(A, B) \cdots f_{x_n}^S(A, B) = f_\alpha^S(f_{x_i}^S(A, B), B) \\ 276 \quad \subseteq f_\alpha^S \left(\bigcup_{w \in \langle \alpha_{2 \rightarrow 0} \rangle} f_w^S(A, B), B \right).$$

277 If there is no $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ such that $a_i = 1$, then

$$278 \quad y \in f_{\mathbf{x}}^S(A, B) = f_{x_1}^S(A, B) \cdots f_{x_n}^S(A, B) = B^n \\ 279 \quad = f_\alpha^S \left(\bigcup_{w \in \langle \alpha_{2 \rightarrow 0} \rangle} f_w^S(A, B), B \right).$$

280 This shows that $\bigcup_{\mathbf{x} \in \pi(\alpha)} f_{\mathbf{x}}^S(A, B) \subseteq f_\alpha^S \left(\bigcup_{w \in \langle \alpha_{2 \rightarrow 0} \rangle} f_w^S(A, B), B \right)$. Therefore, we
 281 complete the proof. ■

282 We define $D \subseteq \{0, 1\}^+ \times \{1, 2\}^+$ as follows

283 $D := \{(\alpha, \beta) : \text{for any } \mathbf{x} \in \pi(\beta) \text{ there exist } n \in \mathbb{N}_0 \text{ and } I \in \mathbf{l} \text{ with } r_\alpha^n(\mathbf{x}_I) = \beta\}.$

284 We can describe β -pure of α -ideals by the following theorem based on the
285 notion of the set D .

286 **Theorem 9.** *Let \mathbf{S} be an ordered semigroup, $A \subseteq S$, $\alpha \in \{0, 1\}^+ \setminus \{1\}^+$, and
287 $\beta \in \{1, 2\}^+$. Suppose that A is an α -ideal of \mathbf{S} and $(\alpha, \beta) \in D$. Then the following
288 statement are equivalent:*

- 289 1. A is β -pure;
290 2. $B \cap A \subseteq \left(f_\beta^S(B, A)\right]$ for all $\beta_{2 \rightarrow 0}$ -ideal B of \mathbf{S} .

291 **Proof.** (1) \Rightarrow (2) Let B be an $\beta_{2 \rightarrow 0}$ -ideal of \mathbf{S} and $x \in B \cap A$. Since A is β -pure,
292 $x \in \left(f_\beta^S(x, A)\right] \subseteq \left(f_\beta^S(B, A)\right]$. This shows that $B \cap A \subseteq \left(f_\beta^S(B, A)\right]$.

293 (2) \Rightarrow (1) Let $x \in A$. Then,

$$294 \quad \langle x \rangle_{\beta_{2 \rightarrow 0}} = \left(\bigcup_{w \in \langle \beta_{2 \rightarrow 0} \rangle} f_w^S(x, S) \right) = \left(\bigcup_{w \in \langle \beta_{2 \rightarrow 0} \rangle} f_w^S(x, A) \right)$$

295 is a $\beta_{2 \rightarrow 0}$ -ideal of \mathbf{S} containing x . By assumption, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} 296 \quad x &\in \left(\bigcup_{w \in \langle \beta_{2 \rightarrow 0} \rangle} f_w^S(x, A) \right) \cap A \\ 297 \quad &\subseteq \left(f_\beta^S \left(\left(\bigcup_{w \in \langle \beta_{2 \rightarrow 0} \rangle} f_w^S(x, A) \right), A \right) \right) \\ 298 \quad &\subseteq \left(f_\beta^S \left(\bigcup_{w \in \langle \beta_{2 \rightarrow 0} \rangle} f_w^S(x, A), A \right) \right) \\ 299 \quad &= \left(\bigcup_{\mathbf{x} \in \pi(\beta)} f_{\mathbf{x}}^S(x, A) \right) \quad (\text{by Lemma 8}) \\ 300 \quad &= \bigcup_{\mathbf{x} \in \pi(\beta)} \left(f_{\mathbf{x}}^S(x, A) \right). \end{aligned}$$

301 Thus, $x \in \left(f_{\mathbf{x}}^S(x, A)\right]$ for some $\mathbf{x} \in \pi(\beta)$. Since $(\alpha, \beta) \in D$, we have that
302 $r_\alpha^n(\mathbf{x}_I) = \beta$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $I \in \mathbf{l}$. By Proposition 7, $x \in \left(f_{\mathbf{x}_I}^S(x, A)\right] \subseteq$
303 $\left(f_{r_\alpha^n(\mathbf{x}_I)}^S(x, A)\right] = \left(f_\beta^S(x, A)\right]$. Therefore, we have that A is β -pure. \blacksquare

304 In our final result, we characterize a class of ordered semigroups using the
 305 notion of purities of α -ideals. We establish a notion to describe this class of
 306 ordered semigroups.

307 Let $\beta \in \{1, 2\}^* \setminus \{1\}^*$ such that $\beta = b_1 \cdots b_n$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. For any
 308 $\alpha \in \{0, 1\}^* \setminus \{1\}^*$, we define an n -tuple $\beta(\alpha)$ obtained by α and β by $(\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n)$,
 309 where

$$310 \quad \gamma_i = \begin{cases} \beta_{2 \rightarrow 0} & \text{if } b_i = 1, \\ \alpha & \text{if } b_i = 2, \end{cases}$$

311 for all $1 \leq i \leq n$.

312 **Theorem 10.** *Let \mathbf{S} an ordered semigroup, $\alpha \in \{0, 1\}^+ \setminus \{1\}^+$, $\beta \in \{1, 2\}_f^+$
 313 such that $|\beta| = n$ for some $n \geq 2$ and $\gamma \in \{0, 1\}_f^+$. Suppose that $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathbf{D}$ and
 314 $\beta(\alpha) = (\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n) \in \mathbf{K}_\gamma^{(n)}$. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- 315 1. \mathbf{S} is γ -regular;
- 316 2. every α -ideal A of \mathbf{S} is β -pure.

317 **Proof.** (1) \Rightarrow (2). Let A be an α -ideal and B a $\beta_{2 \rightarrow 0}$ -ideal of \mathbf{S} . Since \mathbf{S} is
 318 γ -regular, $\beta(\alpha) \in \mathbf{K}_\gamma^{(n)}$ and Theorem 5, we have $C_1 \cap \cdots \cap C_n \subseteq (C_1 \cdots C_n]$ for
 319 any γ_i -ideal C_i of \mathbf{S} , where

$$320 \quad C_i = \begin{cases} B & \text{if } \gamma_i = \beta_{2 \rightarrow 0}, \\ A & \text{if } \gamma_i = \alpha, \end{cases}$$

321 for all $1 \leq i \leq n$. That is, $A \cap B \subseteq (C_1 \cdots C_n]$, where $\beta = b_1 \cdots b_n$ and

$$322 \quad C_i = \begin{cases} B & \text{if } b_i = 1, \\ A & \text{if } b_i = 2, \end{cases}$$

323 for all $1 \leq i \leq n$. Therefore, $A \cap B \subseteq \left(f_\beta^S(B, A)\right]$. By Theorem 9, we have that
 324 A is β -pure.

325 (2) \Rightarrow (1). Let A be an α -ideal and B a $\beta_{2 \rightarrow 0}$ -ideal of \mathbf{S} . Then $A \cap B \subseteq$
 326 $\left(f_\beta^S(B, A)\right]$. We note that $f_\beta^S(B, A) = C_1 \cdots C_n$, where

$$327 \quad C_i = \begin{cases} B & \text{if } \gamma_i = \beta_{2 \rightarrow 0}, \\ A & \text{if } \gamma_i = \alpha, \end{cases}$$

328 for all $1 \leq i \leq n$. By Theorem 5, \mathbf{S} is a γ -regular ordered semigroup. ■

4. CONCLUSION

This study introduces the idea of β -pure α -ideals in ordered semigroups. As we can see, there are several kinds of purities of α -ideals; hence, our study may provide some insight into researching other forms of purities. In our first main consequence, we establish a relationship between various purities of an α -ideal. With the aid of an appropriate ideal, β -pure α -ideals are described. The characterization of a class of ordered semigroups is given using the idea of β -pure of α -ideals. We can ask if we can examine something similar for other algebraic systems through this work.

Acknowledgment

We sincerely thank the referee for their valuable comments and suggestions, which have helped improve the clarity and quality of our work. The first and second authors would like to thank Khon Kaen University, and the corresponding author would like to thank Rajamangala University of Technology Isan, Khon Kaen Campus.

REFERENCES

- [1] J. Ahsan and M. Takahashi, *Pure spectrum of a monoid with zero*, Kobe J. Math. **6** (1989) 163–181.
- [2] Y. Cao, *Characterizations of regular ordered semigroups by strong quasi-ideals*, Vietnam J. Math. **30** (2002) 239–250.
- [3] T. Changphas and B. Davvaz, *On pure hyperideals in ordered semihypergroups*, Bol. Soc. Mat. **27** (2020) 63–75.
- [4] T. Changphas and J. Sanborisoot, *Pure ideals in ordered semigroups*, Kyungpook Math. J. **54** (2014) 123–129.
<https://doi.org/10.5666/KMJ.2014.54.1.123>
- [5] R.D. Jagatap, *Right k -weakly regular Γ -semirings*, Algebra **2014** (2014) Article ID 948032, 5 pages.
<https://doi.org/10.1155/2014/948032>
- [6] N. Kehayopulu, *On weakly prime ideals of ordered semigroups*, Math. Japon. **35** (1990) 1051–1056.
- [7] D.M. Lee and S.K. Lee, *On intra-regular ordered semigroups*, Kangwon-Kyungki Math. Jour. **14** (2006) 95–100.
- [8] M.M. Miccoli and B. Pondělíček, *On α -ideals and generalized α -ideals in semigroups*, Czechoslov. Math. J. **39** (1989) 522–527.
<https://doi.org/10.21136/CMJ.1989.102324>
- [9] S. Omid, B. Davvaz and J.M. Zhan, *An investigation on ordered algebraic hyperstructures*, Acta Math. Sin. Engl. Ser. **33** (2017) 1107–1124.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10114-017-6093-7>

- [10] P. Palakawong na Ayutthaya and B. Pibaljomme, *Purities of ordered ideals of ordered semirings*, Kyungpook Math. J. **60** (2020) 455–465.
<https://doi.org/10.5666/KMJ.2020.60.3.455>
- [11] P. Palakawong na Ayutthaya and B. Pibaljomme, *Characterizations of ordered k -regularities on ordered semirings*, Quasigroups Related Systems **29** (2021) 107–121.
- [12] B. Pibaljomme and P. Palakawong na Ayutthaya, *Characterizations of weakly ordered k -regular hemirings by k -ideals*, Discuss. Math. Gen. Algebra Appl. **39** (2019) 289–301.
<https://doi.org/10.7151/dmgaa.1315>
- [13] J. Sanborisoot and T. Changphas, *On pure ideals in ordered ternary semigroups*, Thai J. Math. **12** (2014) 455–464.
- [14] S. Bashir and M. Shabir, *Pure ideals in ternary semigroups*, Quasigroups Related Systems **17** (2009) 149–160.
- [15] M. Shabir and R. Anjum, *Right k -weakly regular hemirings*, Quasigroups Related Systems **20** (2012) 97–112.
- [16] Z. Shao, X. Chen, S. Kosari and S. Omid, *On some properties of right pure (bi-quasi-) hyperideals in ordered semihyperrings*, UPB Sci. Bull. A: Appl. Math. Phys. **83** (2021) 95–104.
- [17] N. Tiprachot, N. Lekkoksung and B. Pibaljomme, *On regularities of ordered semigroups*, Asian-Eur. J. Math. **15** (2022) 2250207.
<https://doi.org/10.1142/S1793557122502072>
- [18] P. Towwun and T. Changphas, *On complete α -ideals in ordered semigroups*, Int. J. Pure Appl. Math. **86** (2013) 465–470.
<https://doi.org/10.12732/ijpam.v86i3.1>

Received 20 November 2022

Revised 10 February 2025

Accepted 10 February 2025