- ¹ Discussiones Mathematicae
- ² General Algebra and Applications xx (xxxx) 1–15
- 3

16

4 DERIVATIONS AND SEMIDERIVATIONS IN SEMIRINGS

5	GANESH SWAMINATHAN
6	Department of Mathematics
7	Guru Nanak College
8	Velachery, Chennai – 600042, India
9	e-mail: madmaths007@gmail.com
10	AND
11	Selvan Venkatachalam ¹
12	Department of Mathematics
13	RKM Vivekananda College
14	Mylapore, Chennai – 600004, India
15	e-mail: venselvan@gmail.com

Abstract

17 In this paper, we prove that if a non-trivial derivation of a semiring commutes then the semiring is commutative. We define semiderivation in 18 semirings and derive some of its fundamental results. We prove that a map 19 associated with a semiderivation is always a homomorphism in an additively 20 cancellative yoked prime semiring. We also prove that a semiderivation σ 21 of a semiring R induces a corresponding semiderivation σ^{Δ} in R^{Δ} , the ring 22 of differences of the semiring R. This serves as a passage between rings and 23 semirings and enable us to establish other conditions for commutativity of 24 semirings with semiderivations. Our results generalizes the classical results 25 in prime rings. 26

27 **Keywords:** derivations, semiderivations, semirings and commutativity.

28 **2020** Mathematics Subject Classification: 16N60, 16U80, 16W25, 16Y60.

¹Corresponding author.

1. INTRODUCTION

Posner's theorems [21] served as a foundation for Algebraists to investigate deriva-30 tions in prime rings, semiprime rings and other algebraic structures. Researchers 31 have extended the concept of derivations in many directions by defining Lie 32 derivations [17], Jordan derivations [11, 14], inner derivations [18], reverse deriva-33 tions [12, 14], generalized derivations [5], generalized reverse derivations [1, 12], 34 semiderivations [6, 19] and many more in various algebraic structures. For more 35 details on derivations in rings and semirings one may read [2] and [9] respec-36 tively. A part of our research work is motivated by the classical results due to 37 Herstein [15, 16]. He proved that if a derivation of a prime ring (characteristic 38 not two) commutes, then the ring is a commutative integral domain [15]. Further, 39 he proved that if a fixed element of a prime ring (characteristic not two) com-40 mutes with the derivation then it must be in the centre [16]. Inspired by these 41 works, we prove the analogous results in additively cancellative prime semirings. 42 In 1983, Bergen [6] introduced a class of functions, called as semiderivations, 43 which are more general than derivations. Several articles explored the structure 44 of semiderivations and established commutativity conditions in prime rings with 45 semiderivations [3, 4, 7, 8] (and references within). In 2015, Sindhu, et al. [20] de-46 fined semiderivations in semirings and generalized some properties of prime rings 47 with derivations to semiprime semirings with semiderivations. Recently, Kim & 48 Lee [19] introduced the notion of orthogonal reverse semiderivations in prime 49 semirings and investigated conditions for two reverse semiderivations to be or-50 thogonal. In this article, we generalize the results obtained by Chang in [7] to the 51 class of additively cancellative prime semirings by obtaining some commutativity 52 conditions. 53

54

2. Definitions and examples

In this section we define the concept of semiderivation in semirings. We adopt the basic definitions in semirings from Golan [13]. In particular, the following definitions are useful throughout the paper.

⁵⁸ **Definition 2.1** [13]. Let R be an additively cancellative semiring and then the ⁵⁹ corresponding ring of difference, denoted by R^{Δ} is defined as follows

$$R^{\Delta} = \{a - b : a, b \in R\}.$$

In R^{Δ} , we have a - b = c - d if and only if there exists $r, r' \in R$ such that a + r = c + r' and b + r = d + r'. The zero element and multiplicative identity of R^{Δ} are r - r and 1 - 0 respectively. For $a - b, c - d \in R^{\Delta}$, addition and

64 multiplication is given by

$$(a-b) + (c-d) = (a+c) - (b+d)$$

$$(a-b)(c-d) = (ac+bd) - (ad+bc).$$

We also note that the embedding of R to the ring of differences R^{Δ} is due to the map $r \mapsto r - 0$, for each $r \in R$.

Definition 2.2 [13]. A function d of R into R is called a derivation of a semiring
R if it satisfies the following conditions.

71 (i)
$$d(r+s) = d(r) + d(s), \quad \forall r, s \in \mathbb{R}$$
 and

72 (ii)
$$d(rs) = d(r)s + rd(s), \quad \forall r, s \in R$$

Ganesh and Selvan [10] extended the above definition by defining derivation d^{Δ} in the ring of differences (R^{Δ}) of a semiring (R) corresponding to the derivation d in R.

Definition 2.3 [10]. Let R be a semiring and d be a derivation from R into R. Then, R^{Δ} be the ring of differences of the semiring R. Then, d^{Δ} is a function in R^{Δ} induced by d, defined as follows

79
$$d^{\Delta} : R^{\Delta} \to R^{\Delta}$$

80 $d^{\Delta}(a-b) = d(a) - d(b), \quad \forall a, b \in R.$

It is easy to see that d^{Δ} is indeed a derivation in R^{Δ} [10].

⁸² **Definition 2.4.** Let R be a semiring. An additive mapping σ from R into R is ⁸³ called a semiderivation if there exists a map f also from R into R such that it ⁸⁴ satisfies the following conditions.

$$\text{ (i) } \sigma(rs) = \sigma(r)f(s) + r\sigma(s), \quad \forall \ r,s \in R,$$

86 (ii)
$$\sigma(rs) = \sigma(r)s + f(r)\sigma(s), \quad \forall r, s \in R \text{ and}$$

87 (iii)
$$\sigma(f(r)) = f(\sigma(r)), \quad \forall r \in \mathbb{R}$$

The following example demonstrate the existence of maps that are semiderivation but not derivation and serves as motivation to study such maps.

Example 2.5. Let S be a semiring and define $R := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix} : a, b \in S \right\}$. It is easy to note that R is a semiring. Now we define maps σ and f both from R to R as follows

94
$$\sigma\begin{pmatrix}a&0\\0&b\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}a&0\\0&0\end{pmatrix}; \text{ and } f\begin{pmatrix}x&0\\0&y\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}0&0\\0&y\end{pmatrix}.$$

⁹⁵ Then, for
$$A, B \in R$$
, where $A = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix}, a, b \in S$ and $B = \begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & y \end{pmatrix}, x, y \in S$ we
⁹⁶ have the following.

- 97 (i) $\sigma(AB) \neq \sigma(A)B + A\sigma(B)$, implying that σ is not a derivation.
- 98 (ii) $\sigma(AB) = \sigma(A)f(B) + A\sigma(B)$, satisfying condition (i) in Definition 2.4.
- 99 (iii) $\sigma(AB) = \sigma(A)B + f(A)\sigma(B)$, satisfying condition (ii) in Definition 2.4.
- 100 (iv) $\sigma(f(A)) = f(\sigma(A))$, satisfying condition (iii) in Definition 2.4.
- 101 Thus, σ is a semiderivation (associated with f) but not a derivation.

3. Some results on semiderivations in semirings

In this section, we introduce a map σ^{Δ} in R^{Δ} induced by a map σ in R. We first 103 prove that σ^{Δ} is a semiderivation in the ring of differences (R^{Δ}) corresponding to 104 a semiderivation σ of a semiring (R). We present some of the basic properties of 105 semiderivations in semirings. In particular, we prove that sum of two semideriva-106 tions of a semiring is again a semiderivation of the semiring (if the underlying 107 map remains same). We move on to prove the main result for this section: a map 108 associated with the semiderivation is always a homomorphism. Throughout the 109 section we assume the underlying semiring to be additively cancellative (unless 110 stated otherwise) whenever the ring R^{Δ} is being used. 111

Definition 3.1. Let R be a semiring and σ be a map from R into R. Let R^{Δ} be the corresponding ring of differences of the semiring R. Then, σ^{Δ} is a function in R^{Δ} induced by σ , defined as follows

115
$$\sigma^{\Delta} : R^{\Delta} \to R^{\Delta}$$

116
$$\sigma^{\Delta}(a-b) = \sigma(a) - \sigma(b), \quad \forall a, b \in R.$$

In the following lemma we prove that σ^{Δ} is indeed a semiderivation in R^{Δ} , if σ Is a semiderivation in R.

Lemma 3.2. Let R be a semiring and R^{Δ} be the corresponding ring of differences of R. Let σ be a semiderivation corresponding to a map f of R. Then, σ^{Δ} is a semiderivation of R^{Δ} (corresponding to σ) associated with the map f^{Δ} (corresponding to f).

123 **Proof.** Let $x, y \in R^{\Delta}$ where x = a - b and y = c - d for $a, b, c, d \in R$. Then we 124 have

125

$$\sigma^{\Delta}(xy) = \sigma^{\Delta}\left((a-b)(c-d)\right)$$

$$= \sigma^{\Delta} \left((ac + bd) - (ad + bc) \right)$$

$$= \sigma(ac+bd) - \sigma(ad+bc)$$

$$= \sigma(ac) + \sigma(bd) - \sigma(ad) - \sigma(bc)$$

$$= \sigma(a)f(c) + a\sigma(c) + \sigma(b)f(d) + b\sigma(d)$$

130
$$-\sigma(a)f(d) - a\sigma(d) - \sigma(b)f(c) - b\sigma(c)$$

131
$$= \sigma(a) \left((f(c) - f(d)) - \sigma(b) \left((f(c) - f(d)) \right) \right)$$

$$+ a(\sigma(c) - \sigma(d)) - b(\sigma(c) - \sigma(d))$$

133
$$= ((\sigma(a) - \sigma(b)) ((f(c) - f(d)) + (a - b) ((\sigma(c) - \sigma(d))))$$

$$= \sigma^{\Delta}(x)f^{\Delta}(y) + x\sigma^{\Delta}(y).$$

135 We also have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sigma^{\Delta}(xy) = \sigma^{\Delta} \left((a-b)(c-d) \right) \\ & = \sigma^{\Delta} \left((ac+bd) - (ad+bc) \right) \\ & = \sigma(a)c + f(a)\sigma(c) + \sigma(b)d + f(b)\sigma(d) \\ & = \sigma(a)d - f(a)\sigma(d) - \sigma(b)c - f(b)\sigma(c) \\ & = \sigma(a)(c-d) - \sigma(b)(c-d) + f(a)\left(\sigma(c) - \sigma(d)\right) - f(b)\left(\sigma(c) - \sigma(d)\right) \\ & = (\sigma(a) - \sigma(b))\left(c - d\right) + (f(a) - f(b))\left(\sigma(c) - \sigma(d)\right) \\ & = (\sigma(a) - \sigma(b))\left(c - d\right) + (f(a) - f(b))\left(\sigma(c) - \sigma(d)\right) \end{aligned}$$

142 $= \sigma^{\Delta}(x)y + f^{\Delta}(x)\sigma(y).$

¹⁴³ Thus conditions (i) and (ii) in the Definition 2.4 are true. Now let us prove the ¹⁴⁴ condition (iii) as follows.

145
$$\sigma^{\Delta}(f^{\Delta}(x)) = \sigma^{\Delta}(f(a) - f(b))$$
146
$$= \sigma(f(a)) - \sigma(f(b))$$

$$= f(\sigma(a)) - f(\sigma(b))$$

$$=f^{\Delta}(\sigma(a)-\sigma(b))$$

149
$$= f^{\Delta}(\sigma^{\Delta}(a-b))$$

150
$$= f^{\Delta}(\sigma^{\Delta}(x)).$$

Remark 3.3. We denote σ_f as the semiderivation associated with the map f of a semiring R and $\sigma_{f\Delta}^{\Delta}$ be the semiderivation of R^{Δ} corresponding to σ , where f^{Δ} is a map of R^{Δ} corresponding to f of R.

We now present some of the basic properties of semiderivations in semirings and in ring of differences. Proof of these properties can be obtained through a routine procedure and hence not shown.

Lemma 3.4. If σ_f and τ_f are semiderivations of R, then $(\sigma + \tau)_f$ is also a semiderivation of R.

Remark 3.5. In general, if σ_f and τ_g are two semiderivations then one might expect $\sigma + \tau$ to be a semiderivation of f + g, which turns out to be false. That is, if the underlying map is different, then the sum of the semiderivation need not be a semiderivation.

Lemma 3.6. If σ_f and τ_f are semiderivations of R and if $\sigma_{f^{\Delta}}^{\Delta}$ and $\tau_{f^{\Delta}}^{\Delta}$ are the corresponding semiderivations of R^{Δ} respectively, then we have

$$(\sigma + \tau)^{\Delta}(a - b) = (\sigma^{\Delta} + \tau^{\Delta})(a - b), \quad \forall \ a - b \in R^{\Delta}, a, b \in R.$$

¹⁶⁷ Remark 3.7. We note the following.

(i) Since every derivation is a semi-derivation, it is easy to note that the iterative
 of a semiderivation need not be a semiderivation again.

(ii) If the iterative $\sigma\tau$ of semiderivations is a semiderivation, then it is an easy routine to check that $(\sigma\tau)^{\Delta}$ is also a semiderivation of R^{Δ} . One may follow along the lines of proof in Lemma 2.8 [10] to verify the same.

Lemma 3.8. Let σ_f and τ_f be semiderivations of R and $\sigma_{f\Delta}^{\Delta}$ and $\tau_{f\Delta}^{\Delta}$ be the corresponding semiderivations of R^{Δ} respectively. If the iterative of semiderivations $\sigma \tau$ is also a semiderivation, then we have

$$(\sigma\tau)^{\Delta}(a-b) = \sigma^{\Delta}\tau^{\Delta}(a-b), \quad \forall \ a-b \in R^{\Delta}, a, b \in R.$$

Lemma 3.9. Let R be an additively cancellative prime semiring and σ_f be a non-zero semiderivation of R. Let R^{Δ} be the corresponding ring of differences of R and $\sigma_{f^{\Delta}}^{\Delta}$ be the semiderivations of R^{Δ} corresponding to σ_f of R. If f is surjective then so is f^{Δ} .

¹⁸¹ Now we prove that in an additively cancellative yoked prime semiring, the ¹⁸² map f associated with the semiderivation σ is always a semiring homomorphism. ¹⁸³ This extends the result of Chang (Theorem 1) in [7]. The following lemma is ¹⁸⁴ crucial to prove this result.

Lemma 3.10. Let R be an additively cancellative yoked prime semiring. Let $a, b, c \in R$,

(i) if $a \neq 0$ and arb = arc for all $r \in R$, then b = c

(ii) if $c \neq 0$ and arc = brc for all $r \in R$, then a = b.

Proof. We only prove (i) and the proof of (ii) can be obtained in a similar manner. Let $a \neq 0 \in R$. Since R is yoked, for any b, c in R we have either b = c+sor c = b + s for some $s \in R$. If b = c + s, then arc = arb = ar(c+s) = arc + arsimplies that ars = 0 for all $r \in R$ (since R is additively cancellative). Now, by the primeness of R we must have s = 0 (since $a \neq 0$) and thus we get b = c. On the other hand, if c = b + s, then arb = arc = ar(b + s) = arb + ars and citing the same reasons mentioned earlier we get s = 0 implying b = c.

Theorem 3.11. Let R be an additively cancellative yoked prime semiring and σ_f be a non-zero semiderivation of R, then f is a homomorphism of R.

198 **Proof.** Let $r, s, t \in R$. Then we note the following

199
$$\sigma(r(s+t)) = \sigma(rs+rt)$$

$$\sigma(r)f(s+t) + r\sigma(s+t) = \sigma(rs) + \sigma(rt)$$
 (by Definition 2.4(i))

$$\sigma(r)f(s+t) + r\sigma(s) + r\sigma(t) = \sigma(r)f(s) + r\sigma(s) + \sigma(r)f(t) + r\sigma(t)$$

$$\sigma(r)f(s+t) = \sigma(r)f(s) + \sigma(r)f(t)$$

$$u\sigma(r)f(s+t) = u\sigma(r)f(s) + \sigma(r)f(t), \text{ for } u \in R.$$

Now we apply Lemma 3.10(i) to see that $f(s + t) = f(s) + f(t), \forall s, t \in R$, proving additive nature of f. Next, to prove multiplicative property we again let $r, s, t \in R$. Then we have

 $\sigma((rs)t) = \sigma(r(st))$

207

201

203

$$\begin{split} f(rs)\sigma(t) + \sigma(rs)t &= f(r)\sigma(st) + \sigma(r)st\\ f(rs)\sigma(t) + f(r)\sigma(s)t + \sigma(r)st &= f(r)f(s)\sigma(t) + f(r)\sigma(s)t + \sigma(r)st \end{split}$$

210

$$f(rs)\sigma(t) = f(r)f(s)\sigma(t)$$

f(rs)
$$\sigma(t)u = f(r)f(s)\sigma(t)u$$
, for $u \in R$.

Now we apply Lemma 3.10(ii) to see that $f(rs) = f(r)f(s), \forall r, s \in \mathbb{R}$.

(by Definition 2.4(ii))

Before we present the commutativity theorems, we shall state a basic result of Chang from [7] (Lemma 1).

Lemma 3.12 [7]. Let R be a prime ring and σ be a non-zero semiderivation of R. If $r\sigma(s) = 0$ (or $\sigma(s)r = 0$) for all $s \in R$, then r = 0.

218 We extend the above result to prime semirings as follows.

Lemma 3.13. Let R be an additively cancellative prime semiring and σ be a non-zero semiderivation of R. If $r\sigma(s) = 0$ (or $\sigma(s)r = 0$) for all $s \in R$, then r = 0. 222 **Proof.** Let $r \in R$. Then we have

$$r\sigma(s) = 0$$

224
$$r\sigma(st) = 0, \forall t \in R$$

$$r\sigma(s)f(t) + rs\sigma(t) = 0$$

226
$$rs\sigma(t) = 0, \forall s, t \in R$$

$$rR\sigma(R) = 0.$$

²²⁸ This imples r = 0 since R is prime and σ is non-zero.

4. Commutativity conditions in semirings

To begin with, we generalize two of the classical results of Herstein about derivations in prime rings [15, 16] to prime semirings. Then, we move on to generalize the results about semiderivations in prime rings by Chang [7] to additively cancellative prime semirings with semiderivations.

Throughout this section we denote R to be an additively cancellative prime semiring, R^{Δ} to be the corresponding prime ring of differences of the semiring R, Z(R) to be the centre of R, $Z(R^{\Delta})$ to be the centre of R^{Δ} and [x, y] = xy - yx, for $x, y \in R^{\Delta}$, the commutator in R^{Δ} . We also assume the map f corresponding to semiderivation σ as surjective throughout unless stated otherwise.

Remark 4.1. When R is additively cancellative semiring (which is not a ring), we observe that the characteristic of R^{Δ} must be zero. Otherwise, if characteristic of R^{Δ} is n, then nx = 0 for all $x \in R^{\Delta}$ and in particular nr = 0 for all $r \in R$. This will imply that R is a ring and so R will coincide with R^{Δ} .

Theorem 4.2. Let $d \neq 0$ be a derivation of R. If d(r)d(s) = d(s)d(r) for all r, $s \in R$, then R is a commutative semi-integral domain (a semiring where the product of two non-zero elements is always non-zero).

Proof. Let d^{Δ} be the derivation of R^{Δ} corresponding to the derivation d of R. We first prove that $d^{\Delta}(x)d^{\Delta}(y) = d^{\Delta}(y)d^{\Delta}(x)$ for all $x, y \in R^{\Delta}$. Let x = a - band y = c - d be any two elements of R^{Δ} where $a, b, c, d \in R$. Then we have

$$d^{\Delta}(x)d^{\Delta}(y) = d^{\Delta}(a-b)d^{\Delta}(c-d)$$

250
$$= (d(a) - d(b))(d(c) - d(d))$$

$$= d(a)d(c) - d(a)d(d) - d(b)d(c) + d(b)d(d)$$

$$= d(c)d(a) - d(d)d(a) - d(c)d(b) + d(d)d(b)$$

253 = (d(c) - d(d))(d(a) - d(b))

229

$$= d^{\Delta}(c-d)d^{\Delta}(a-b)$$

$$= d^{\Delta}(y)d^{\Delta}(x).$$

Also, it is clear that $char(R^{\Delta}) \neq 2$ by Remark 4.1. Now we see that the hypotheses of Theorem 2 [15] are satisfied for R^{Δ} and thus R^{Δ} is a commutative integral domain. Hence we get the desired result since R is embedded in R^{Δ} and the restriction of d^{Δ} to R is simply the derivation d.

Theorem 4.3. Let $d \neq 0$ be a derivation of R and suppose $a \in R$ such that ad(r) = d(r)a for all $r \in R$. Then $a \in Z(R)$.

Proof. Let d^{Δ} be the derivation of R^{Δ} corresponding to the derivation d of R. We note that by Remark 4.1 R^{Δ} must be of characteristic not two. Now let $a \in R$ and then we prove that $ad^{\Delta}(x) = d^{\Delta}(x)a$ for all $x \in R^{\Delta}$. Let x = u - vfor $u, v \in R$

$$ad^{\Delta}(x) = ad^{\Delta}(u-v)$$

$$=a(d(u)-d(v))$$

$$= ad(u) - ad(v)$$

$$= d(u)a - d(v)a$$

270
$$= d^{\Delta}(u-v)a$$

271
$$= d^{\Delta}(x)a$$

Now by part (1) of Herstein's Theorem part in [16] we get that $a \in Z(R^{\Delta})$ and thus $a \in Z(R)$.

Lemma 4.4. Let σ_f be a semiderivation of R and $\sigma_{f^{\Delta}}^{\Delta}$ be the corresponding semiderivation of R^{Δ} . Then, we have $(\sigma(R))^{\Delta} = \sigma^{\Delta}(R^{\Delta})$.

Proof. Let $x \in (\sigma(R))^{\Delta}$ such that x = a - b for $a, b \in \sigma(R)$. Since $a, b \in \sigma(R)$ there exists r, s such that $\sigma(r) = a$ and $\sigma(s) = b$. Then, $x = a - b = \sigma(r) - \sigma(s) = \sigma^{\Delta}(r - s)$ and hence $x \in \sigma^{\Delta}(R^{\Delta})$. Thus we have $(\sigma(R))^{\Delta} \subseteq \sigma^{\Delta}(R^{\Delta})$.

On the other had, let $x \in \sigma^{\Delta}(R^{\Delta})$. Then, $x = \sigma^{\Delta}(u-v)$ for some $u-v \in R^{\Delta}$ where $u, v \in R$. So, $x = \sigma(u) - \sigma(v) = a' - b'$, where $a', b' \in \sigma(R)$ and hence $x \in (\sigma(R))^{\Delta}$. Thus we have $\sigma^{\Delta}(R^{\Delta}) \subseteq (\sigma(R))^{\Delta}$ and so we are done.

Lemma 4.5. Let $\sigma \neq 0$ be a semiderivation of R such that $\sigma(R) \subset Z(R)$, then R is a commutative semi-integral domain.

Proof. Let $x \in \sigma^{\Delta}(R^{\Delta})$ where σ^{Δ} is the semiderivation of R^{Δ} corresponding to σ of R. Let x = a - b where $a, b \in \sigma(R)$. If we let $y \in R^{\Delta}$, such that y = c - d for $c, d \in R$, then we have xy = (a - b)(c - d) = (ac + bd) - (ad + bc) = (ca + db) - (da + cb) = (c - d)(a - b) = yx. Thus we have $x \in Z(R^{\Delta})$ implying that $\sigma^{\Delta}(R^{\Delta}) \subset Z(R^{\Delta})$. Hence, by Lemma 2 in [7] R^{Δ} is a commutative integral domain. Therefore R must be semi-integral domain since R is embedded in R^{Δ} .

Theorem 4.6. Let $\sigma \neq 0$ be a semiderivation of R such that $\sigma(r)\sigma(s) = \sigma(s)\sigma(r)$ for all $r, s \in R$. Then R is a commutative semi-integral domain.

Proof. Let σ^{Δ} be the semiderivation of R^{Δ} corresponding to the semiderivation σ of R. Note that by Remark 4.1 we have $char(R^{\Delta}) \neq 2$. Next, let $x, y \in R^{\Delta}$, we prove $\sigma^{\Delta}(x)\sigma^{\Delta}(y) = \sigma^{\Delta}(y)\sigma^{\Delta}(x)$. Consider x = a - b and y = c - d for $a, b, c, d \in R$, then we have

296 $\sigma^{\Delta}(x)\sigma^{\Delta}(y) = \sigma^{\Delta}(a-b)\sigma^{\Delta}(c-d)$ $= (\sigma(a) - \sigma(b))(\sigma(c) - \sigma(d))$ $= \sigma(a)\sigma(c) - \sigma(a)\sigma(d) - \sigma(b)\sigma(c) + \sigma(b)\sigma(d)$ $= \sigma(c)\sigma(a) - \sigma(d)\sigma(a) - \sigma(c)\sigma(b) + \sigma(d)\sigma(b)$ $= (\sigma(c) - \sigma(d))(\sigma(a) - \sigma(b))$ $= \sigma^{\Delta}(c-d)\sigma^{\Delta}(a-b)$

 $= \sigma^{\Delta}(y)\sigma^{\Delta}(x).$

Then by Theorem 2 in [7], we must have R^{Δ} to be a commutative integral domain and thus R must be semi-integral domain since R is embedded in R^{Δ} .

Theorem 4.7. Let $\sigma \neq 0$ be a semiderivation of R and $r \neq 0 \in R$. If $r\sigma(R) \subset Z(R)$ then R is commutative.

Proof. Let σ^{Δ} be the semiderivation of R^{Δ} corresponding to the semiderivation of σ of R. Let $r \neq 0 \in R$, $x \in \sigma^{\Delta}(R^{\Delta})$ and $y \in R^{\Delta}$. Consider x = a - b and y = c - dwhere $a, b \in \sigma(R)$ and $c, d \in R$. Then we have

(rx)y = r(a-b)(c-d) = r(ac - ad - bc + db)

$$= r(ac - ad - bc + db)$$
$$= rac - rad - rbc + rbd$$

$$= rac - raa - roc + roa$$

$$= cra - dra - crb + drb$$

$$(c - d)(r(a - b))$$

$$= y(rx).$$

Thus, $r\sigma^{\Delta}(R^{\Delta}) \subset Z(R^{\Delta})$. Now, by Theorem 3 of Chang [7] we get R^{Δ} is a commutative ring. Since R is embedded in R^{Δ} , R must be commutative as well. **Theorem 4.8.** Let $\sigma \neq 0$ be a semiderivation of R and $r \in R$. If $r\sigma(R) = \sigma(R)r$ then $r \in Z(R)$.

Proof. Let σ^{Δ} be the semiderivation of R^{Δ} corresponding to the semiderivation or of R. Let $r \in R$ and let $x \in \sigma^{\Delta}(R^{\Delta})$. Consider x = a - b where $a, b \in \sigma(R)$. Then we have rx = r(a - b) = ra - rb = ar - br = (a - b)r = xr. Hence we get $[r, \sigma^{\Delta}(R^{\Delta})] = 0$. So, by Theorem 4 in [7] we have $r \in Z(R^{\Delta})$ and hence $r \in Z(R)$.

Theorem 4.9. Let $\sigma \neq 0$ be a semiderivation of R and $r \in R$. For all $s, u \in R$, if $r\sigma(s)u + u\sigma(s)r = ur\sigma(s) + \sigma(s)ru$ then $r \in Z(R)$.

Proof. Let σ^{Δ} be the semiderivation of R^{Δ} corresponding to the semiderivation σ of R. Let $r \in R$ and let $x, y \in R^{\Delta}$. We now prove that $[r, \sigma^{\Delta}(R^{\Delta})] \subset Z(R^{\Delta})$. That is, we need to prove the following equation

$$(r\sigma^{\Delta}(x) - \sigma^{\Delta}(x)r)y = y(r\sigma^{\Delta}(x) - \sigma^{\Delta}(x)r), \quad \text{for all } x, y \in R^{\Delta}.$$

332 That is, to prove

$$r\sigma^{\Delta}(x)y + y\sigma^{\Delta}(x)r = yr\sigma^{\Delta}(x) + \sigma^{\Delta}(x)ry, \quad \text{for all } x, y \in R^{\Delta}.$$

Assume x = a - b, y = c - d where $a, b, c, d \in R$. Then we have

$$r\sigma^{\Delta}(x)y + y\sigma^{\Delta}(x)r = r(\sigma^{\Delta}(a-b))(c-d) + (c-d)(\sigma^{\Delta}(a-b))r$$

$$= r(\sigma(a) - \sigma(b))(c-d) + (c-d)(\sigma(a) - \sigma(b))r$$

$$= (r\sigma(a) - r\sigma(b))(c-d) + (c-d)(\sigma(a)r - \sigma(b)r)$$

$$= r\sigma(a)c - r\sigma(a)d - r\sigma(b)c + r\sigma(b)d$$

$$= (cr\sigma(a) + \sigma(a)rc) + (dr\sigma(b) + \sigma(b)rd)$$

$$= (cr\sigma(a) + \sigma(a)rc) + (ar\sigma(b) + \sigma(b)ra)$$

- $(dr\sigma(a) + \sigma(a-)rd) - (cr\sigma(b) + \sigma(b)rc)$

$$= (c-d)(r\sigma(a) - r\sigma(b)) + (\sigma(a)r - \sigma(b)r)(c-d)$$

$$= yr\sigma^{\Delta}(x) + \sigma^{\Delta}(x)ry$$

Thus by Theorem 5 in [7], we have $r \in Z(R^{\Delta})$ which implies that $r \in R$.

Theorem 4.10. Let $\sigma \neq 0$ be a semiderivation of R such that $\sigma(r)\sigma(s)u + u\sigma(s)\sigma(r) = \sigma(s)\sigma(r)u + u\sigma(r)\sigma(s)$ for all $r, s, u \in R$. Then R is commutative.

³⁴⁷ **Proof.** Applying Theorem 4.9 we see that $\sigma(r) \subset Z(R)$ and then Lemma 4.5 ³⁴⁸ implies that R must be commutative.

Theorem 4.11. Let $\sigma \neq 0$ be a semiderivation of R. If $\sigma^2(R) \subset Z(R)$, then Ris commutative.

Proof. Let $g = \sigma^{\Delta}$ be the semiderivation of R^{Δ} corresponding to the semideriva-351 tion σ of R. Let $r \in R$ and by hypothesis we have $\sigma^2(r)s = s\sigma^2(r)$ for all 352 $r, s \in R$. Now, let $x, y \in R^{\Delta}$ and x = a - b, y = c - d for $a, b, c, d \in R$. We prove 353 $g^2(R^{\Delta}) \subset Z(R^{\Delta})$ as follows 354

355
$$g^2(x)y = (g^2(a-b))(c-d)$$

356
$$= (\sigma^2(a) - \sigma^2(b))(c - d)$$

$$= \sigma^2(a)c - \sigma^2(a)d - \sigma^2(b)c - \sigma^2(b)d$$

358
$$= c\sigma^2(a) - d\sigma^2(a) - c\sigma^2(b) - d\sigma^2(b)$$

359
$$= (c - d)(\sigma^2(a)\sigma^2(b))$$

360
$$= (c-d)(g^2(a-b))$$

361 $= yg^2(x).$

$$361 \qquad \qquad = yg^2(x)$$

Hence by Theorem 7 in [7], we must have R^{Δ} to be commutative and so is R. 362

Theorem 4.12. Let $\sigma_f, \tau_f \neq 0$ be two semiderivation of R. If $\sigma\tau(R) \subset Z(R)$ 363 and if the iterative $(\sigma \tau)_f$ is also a semiderivation of R, then R is commutative. 364

Proof. Let σ^{Δ} and τ^{Δ} be the semiderivation of R^{Δ} corresponding to the semideriva-365 tion σ and τ respectively of R. Let $r, s \in R$ and by the assumption of the the-366 orem we have $(\sigma\tau(r))s = s(\sigma\tau(r))$ for all $r, s \in \mathbb{R}$. Now, let $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^{\Delta}$ and x = a - b, y = c - d for $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{R}$. We prove $(\sigma\tau)^{\Delta}(\mathbb{R}^{\Delta}) \subset Z(\mathbb{R}^{\Delta})$ as follows 367 368

$$((\sigma\tau)^{\Delta}(x))y = ((\sigma\tau)^{\Delta}(a-b))(c-d)$$

370
$$= (\sigma\tau(a) - \sigma\tau(b))(c - d)$$

$$= \sigma \tau(a)c - \sigma \tau(a)d - \sigma \tau(b)c - \sigma \tau(b)d$$

$$= c\sigma \tau(a) - d\sigma \tau(a) - c\sigma \tau(b) - d\sigma \tau(b)$$

$$= (c - d)(\sigma \tau(a) - \sigma \tau(b))$$

$$= c\sigma\tau(a) - d\sigma\tau(a) - c\sigma\tau(b) - d\sigma\tau(b)$$

$$= (c-d)(\sigma\tau(a) - \sigma\tau(b))$$

$$= (c-d)((\sigma\tau)^{\Delta}(a-b))$$

$$= y((\sigma\tau)^{\Delta}(x)).$$

Hence by Theorem 8 in [7], we must have R^{Δ} to be commutative and so is R. 376

Lemma 4.13. Let R be an additively cancellative yoked semiring. Let σ_f be a 377 semiderivation of R such that $r\sigma(r) = \sigma(r)r$, for all $r \in R$, then 378

379 (i)
$$s\sigma(u) + u\sigma(s) = \sigma(s)u + \sigma(u)s$$
, for some $u \in R$

380 (ii)
$$r\sigma(s) + s\sigma(r) = \sigma(r)s + \sigma(s)r, \quad \forall r, s \in \mathbb{R}$$

Proof. Follow along the lines of the proof in Lemma 2.11 in [10]. 381

Remark 4.14. In fact, the above result is true for any additive map of an additively cancellative yoked semiring R.

We conclude this paper with a theorem that extends the results due to Posner and Chang [7].

Theorem 4.15. Let R be an additively cancellative yoked prime semiring. Let $\sigma_f \neq 0$ be a semiderivation of R. If $r\sigma(r) = \sigma(r)r$, then R is commutative.

Proof. Let σ^{Δ} be the semiderivation of R^{Δ} corresponding to the semiderivation σ of R. Let $r \in R$ and let $x, y \in R^{\Delta}$. We first note that $char(R^{\Delta}) \neq 2$ since R is additively cancellative (Remark 4.1). Next, we notice that R^{Δ} is a prime ring since R is a prime semiring (Lemma 2.6 in [10]). Finally, we prove that $[x, \sigma^{\Delta}(x)] \in Z(R^{\Delta})$. That is, we need to prove the following equation

393
$$(x\sigma^{\Delta}(x) - \sigma^{\Delta}(x)x)y = y(x\sigma^{\Delta}(x) - \sigma^{\Delta}(x)x), \text{ for all } x, y \in R^{\Delta}.$$

394 That is, to prove

$$x\sigma^{\Delta}(x)y + y\sigma^{\Delta}(x)x = yx\sigma^{\Delta}(x) + \sigma^{\Delta}(x)xy, \quad \text{ for all } x, y \in R^{\Delta}.$$

396 Assume x = a - b, y = c - d where $a, b, c, d \in R$. Then we have

$$x\sigma^{\Delta}(x)y + y\sigma^{\Delta}(x)x = (a-b)(\sigma^{\Delta}(a-b))(c-d) + (c-d)(\sigma^{\Delta}(a-b))(a-b)$$

$$= (a-b)(\sigma(a) - \sigma(b))(c-d) + (c-d)(\sigma(a) - \sigma(b))(a-b)$$

$$= (a\sigma(a) - a\sigma(b) - b\sigma(a) + b\sigma(b))(c-d) + (c-d)(\sigma(a)a - \sigma(a)b - \sigma(b)a + \sigma(b)b)$$

$$= (\sigma(a)a - \sigma(a)b - \sigma(b)a + \sigma(b)b)(c-d) + (c-d)(a\sigma(a) - a\sigma(b) - b\sigma(a) + b\sigma(b))$$

$$= (\sigma(a) - \sigma(b))(a - b)(c - d) + (c - d)(a - b)(\sigma(a) - \sigma(b))$$

$$= (\sigma^{\Delta}(a - b))(a - b)(c - d) + (c - d)(a - b)(\sigma^{\Delta}(a - b))$$

$$= (\sigma^{\Delta}(x))xy + yx(\sigma^{\Delta}(x))$$

$$= yx\sigma^{\Delta}(x) + \sigma^{\Delta}(x)xy.$$

Now, we apply Theorem 9 of Chang [7] to see that R^{Δ} is commutative and thus we obtain R to be commutative (since the R is embedded into R^{Δ}).

413 https://doi.org/10.1134/S0037446615020019

⁴¹⁰ References

 ^[1] A. Aboubakr and S. González, Generalized reverse derivation on semiprime rings,
 Siberian Math. J. 56 (2) (2015) 199-205.
 https://doi.org/10.1124/S0027446615020010

https://doi.org/10.1090/S0002-9939-1990-1007488-X 421 422 [5] M. Brešar, On the distance of the composition of two derivations to the generalized derivations, Glasgow Math. J. **33(1)** (1991) 89–93. 423 https://doi.org/10.1017/S0017089500008077 424 [6] J. Bergen, Derivations in prime rings, Canad. Math. Bull. 26(3) (1983) 267–270. 425 https://doi.org/10.4153/CMB-1983-042-2 426 [7] J.C. Chang, On Semi-derivations of Prime Rings, Chinese J. Math. 12(4) (1984) 427 255 - 262.428 https://www.jstor.org/stable/43836229 429 [8] C.L. Chuang, On the structure of semiderivations in prime rings, Proc. Amer. Math. 430 Soc. **108(4)** (1990) 867–869. 431 https://doi.org/10.1090/S0002-9939-1990-1002154-9 432 [9] S.I. Dimitrov, *Derivations on semirings*, Appl. Math. Eng. and Econ. – 43th. Int. 433 Conf., AIP Conf. Proc. 1910 (2017) 060011. 434 https://doi.org/10.1063/1.5014005 435 [10]S. Ganesh and V. Selvan, *Posner's theorems in Semirings*, Indian J. Pure and Appl. 436 Math. 55(2) (2024) 597–602. 437 https://doi.org/10.1007/s13226-023-00388-0 438 [11] S. Ganesh and V. Selvan, Jordan Structures in Semirings, Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo 439 II. Ser 72(7) (2023) 3587–3596. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12215-022-00838-4 440 [12] S. Ganesh and V. Selvan, Reverse derivations and generalized reverse deriva-441 tions in semirings, Discuss. Math. Gen. Alg. and Appl. 44 (1) (2024) 217–232. 442 https://doi.org/10.7151/dmgaa.1439 443 [13] J.S. Golan, Semirings and Their Applications (Kluwer Academic Publishers, 1999). 444 [14] I.N. Herstein, Jordan Derivations of Prime Rings, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 08(6) 445 (1957) 1104–1110. 446 https://doi.org/10.1090/S0002-9939-1957-0095864-2 447 [15] I.N. Herstein, A note on Derivations, Canad. Math. Bull. 21(3) (1978) 369–370. 448 https://doi.org/10.4153/CMB-1978-065-x 449

[2] M. Ashraf, S. Ali and C. Haetinger, On derivations in rings and their applications,

[4] M. Brešar, Semiderivations of Prime rings, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 108(4) (1990)

H.E. Bell and W.S. Martindale, Semiderivations and commutativity in prime rings,

Aligarh Bull. Math. **25(2)** (2006) 79–107.

Canad. Math. Bull. 31(4) (1988) 500-508.

https://doi.org/10.4153/CMB-1988-072-9

- [16] I.N. Herstein, A note on Derivations II, Canad. Math. Bull. 22(4) (1979) 509-511.
 https://doi.org/10.4153/CMB-1979-066-2
- [17] I.N. Herstein, Lie and Jordan structures in simple, associative rings, Bull. Amer.
 Math. Soc. 67(6) (1961) 517–531.

3

859-860.

414

415

416

417

418

419

- [18] B. Hvala, Generalized Lie derivations in prime rings, Taiwanese J. Math. 11(5)
 (2007) 1425–1430.
- [19] K.H. Kime and Y.H. Lee, On orthogonal reverse semiderivations on prime semir-*ings*, Gulf J. Math. 5(1) ((2017)) 63-72.
- 458 https://doi.org/10.56947/gjom.v5i1.87
- [20] K. Kanak Sindhu, R. Murugesan and P. Namasivayam, Some results on Semiderivations of Semiprime Semirings, Int. J. Sci. and Res. Publ. 5(6) (2015).
 http://www.ijsrp.org/research-paper-0615.php?rp=P424147
- 462 [21] E.C. Posner, *Derivations in Prime Rings*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 8(6) (1985) 1093 463 1100.
- 464 https://doi.org/10.1090/S0002-9939-1957-0095863-0
- 465 466

467

Received Revised Accepted

This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/