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#### Abstract
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## 1. Introduction

A semigroup $(S, \cdot)$ with an order relation $\leq$ is called an ordered semigroup $([2],[7])$ if for all $a, b, x \in S, a \leq b$ implies $x a \leq x b$ and $a x \leq b x$. It is denoted by $(S, \cdot, \leq)$. Let $(S, \cdot, \leq)$ be an ordered semigroup. For a subset $A$ of $S$, let $(A]=\{x \in S$ : $x \leq a$, for some $a \in A\}$.

An element $a$ of $S$ is said to be regular (completely regular) [9] if there exists $x \in S$ such that $a \leq a x a\left(a \leq a^{2} x a^{2}\right) . S$ is called a regular (completely regular) ordered semigroup if every element of $S$ is regular (completely regular). Note that $S$ is regular (completely regular) if and only if $a \in(a S a]\left(a \in\left(a^{2} S a^{2}\right]\right)$ for all $a \in S$.

An element $b \in S$ is called an inverse [5] of $a$ if $a \leq a b a$ and $b \leq b a b$. The set of all inverses of an element $a \in S$ is denoted by $V_{\leq}(a) . a^{\prime}, a^{\prime \prime}$ are the inverse of $a$ unless otherwise stated.

An element $e \in S$ is said to be an ordered idempotent if $e \leq e^{2}$. The set of all ordered idempotents of $S$ is denoted by $E_{\leq}(S)$.

Bhuniya and Hansda [1] studied the ordered semigroups in which any two inverses of an element are $\mathcal{H}$-related. Class of these ordered semigroups are natural generalization of the class of all inverse semigroups. Hansda and Jamadar [5]
named these ordered semigroups as inverse ordered semigroups and studied their different aspects. In this paper, we further extend inverse ordered semigroups to $\pi$-inverse ordered semigroups.

A nonempty subset $A$ of $S$ is called a left (right) ideal [8] of $S$, if $S A \subseteq A$ $(A S \subseteq A)$ and $(A]=A$. A nonempty subset $A$ is called a (two-sided)ideal of $S$ if it is both a left and a right ideal of $S$. Following Kehayopulu [9], a nonempty subset $B$ of an ordered semigroup $S$ is called a bi-ideal of $S$ if $B S B \subseteq B$ and $(B]=B$. Hansda [4] studied algebraic properties of bi-ideals in completely regular and Clifford ordered semigroups.

The principal [8] left ideal, right ideal, ideal and bi-ideal [9] generated by $a \in S$ are denoted by $L(a), R(a), I(a)$ and $B(a)$ respectively. It is easy to show that

$$
L(a)=(a \cup S a], R(a)=(a \cup a S], I(a)=(a \cup S a \cup a S \cup S a S] \text { and } B(a)=(a \cup a S a]
$$

Kehayopulu [8] defined Green's relations $\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{R}, \mathcal{J}$ and $\mathcal{H}$ on an ordered semigroup $S$ as follows:
$a \mathcal{L} b$ if $L(a)=L(b), a \mathcal{R} b$ if $R(a)=R(b), a \mathcal{J} b$ if $I(a)=I(b)$ and $\mathcal{H}=\mathcal{L} \cap \mathcal{R}$.
These four relations are equivalence relations on $S$.
An ordered semigroup $S$ is called $\pi$-regular (resp. completely $\pi$-regular) [3] if for every $a \in S$ there is $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $a^{m} \in\left(a^{m} S a^{m}\right.$ ] (resp. $a^{m} \in\left(a^{2 m} S a^{2 m}\right]$ ). The set of all regular, completely regular, inverse and $\pi$-regular elements in an ordered semigroup $S$ is denoted by $R e g_{\leq}(S), G r_{\leq}(S)$, $V_{\leq}(S)$ and $\pi R e g_{\leq}(S)$ respectively.

Let $S$ be an ordered semigroup and $\rho$ be an equivalence relation on $S$. Following Hansda and Jamadar [5], an element $a \in S$ of type $\tau$ is said to be a $\rho$-unique element in $S$ if for every other element $b \in S$ of type $\tau$ we have $a \rho b$.

Theorem 1 [5]. The following conditions are equivalent on an ordered semigroup $S$.

1. $S$ is an inverse ordered semigroup;
2. $S$ is regular and its idempotents are $\mathcal{H}$-commutative;
3. For every $e, f \in E_{\leq}(S)$, e $\mathcal{L} f(e \mathcal{R} f)$ implies e $\mathcal{H} f$.

## 2. $\pi$-INVERSE ORDERED SEMIGROUP

This section deals with the characterization of the class of $\pi$-inverse ordered semigroups.

Let $S$ be a $\pi$-regular ordered semigroup. Then for every $a \in S$ there is $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $a^{m} \leq a^{m} x a^{m} \leq a^{m}\left(x a^{m} x\right) a^{m}$ and $x a^{m} x \leq x a^{m} x\left(a^{m}\right) x a^{m} x$. Thus for every $a \in S$ there is $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $V_{\leq}\left(a^{m}\right) \neq \phi$.

Definition. A $\pi$-regular ordered semigroup $S$ is called $\pi$-inverse if for every $a \in S$, there is $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that any two inverses of $a^{m}$ are $\mathcal{H}$-related.

For $a \in S$, there is $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that every principal left ideal and every principal right ideal generated by $a^{m}$ in a $\pi$-inverse ordered semigroup have $\mathcal{H}$ unique ordered idempotent generator. This has been shown in the following theorem.

Theorem 2. A $\pi$-regular ordered semigroup $S$ is $\pi$-inverse if and only if for every $a \in S$ there is $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\left(S a^{m}\right]$ and $\left(a^{m} S\right]$ are generated by an $\mathcal{H}$-unique ordered idempotent.

Proof. Suppose that $S$ is $\pi$-inverse. Let $a \in S$. Since $S$ is $\pi$-regular, there is $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $a^{m} \leq a^{m} z a^{m}$ for some $z \in S$. Let $I=\left(S a^{m}\right]$. Then clearly $I=\left(S a^{m} z a^{m}\right]=(S e]$, where $e=z a^{m} \in E_{\leq}(S)$. If possible let $I=(S f]$ for some $f \in E_{\leq}(S)$. Then $e \mathcal{L} f$ and so $e \leq x f$ and $f \leq y e$ for some $x, y \in S$. Now $e \leq e e \leq e e e \leq e x f e$. Therefore exf $\leq \operatorname{exfexf}$ so that exf $\in E_{\leq}(S)$. Also $e x f \leq \operatorname{exfexf} \leq \operatorname{exf} f(f e) \operatorname{exf}$ and $f e \leq f e e e \leq f e x f e \leq f e(e x f) f e$. Therefore $f e \in V_{\leq}(e x f)$. Also exf $\in V_{\leq}(e x f)$. Since $S$ is $\pi$-inverse for $f e, e x f \in V_{\leq}(e x f)$ we have feHexf. Then $e \leq e e \leq e e e \leq e x f e \leq e x f f e \leq f e t t_{1} e x f$ for some $t, t_{1} \in S$ and so $e \leq f z_{1}$, where $z_{1}=e t t_{1} e x f$. Similarly $f \leq e z_{2}$ for some $z_{2} \in S$. So $e \mathcal{R} f$. Hence $e \mathcal{H} f$. Likewise $\left(a^{m} S\right]$ is generated by an $\mathcal{H}$-unique ordered idempotent.

Conversely assume that given condition holds in $S$. Then $S$ is $\pi$-regular. Let $a \in S$ and $a^{\prime}, a^{\prime \prime} \in V_{\leq}\left(a^{m}\right)$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Clearly $\left(S a^{m}\right]=\left(S a^{\prime} a^{m}\right]=\left(S a^{\prime \prime} a^{m}\right]$. Since $a^{\prime} a^{m}, a^{\prime \prime} a^{m} \in E_{\leq}(S)$ we have that $a^{\prime} a^{m} \mathcal{H} a^{\prime \prime} a^{m}$, by given condition. Then there are $s, v \in S$ such that $a^{\prime} \leq a^{\prime} a^{m} a^{\prime} \leq a^{\prime \prime} a^{m} s a^{\prime}$ and $a^{\prime \prime} \leq a^{\prime} a^{m} v a^{\prime \prime}$. Thus $a^{\prime} \mathcal{R} a^{\prime \prime}$. Likewise $a^{\prime} \mathcal{L} a^{\prime \prime}$, that is $a^{\prime} \mathcal{H} a^{\prime \prime}$. Hence $S$ is a $\pi$-inverse ordered semigroup.

The following theorem shows some equivalent conditions for an ordered semigroup $S$ to be $\pi$-inverse.

Theorem 3. The following conditions are equivalent on an ordered semigroup $S$.

1. $S$ is a $\pi$-inverse ordered semigroup;
2. $S$ is $\pi$-regular and for every $e, f \in E_{\leq}(S)$, there is $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $(e f)^{m} \in$ ( $f$ Se];
3. $S$ is $\pi$-regular and for every $e, f \in E_{\leq}(S)$, e $\mathcal{L} f(e \mathcal{R} f)$ implies eH $f$.

Proof. (1) $\Rightarrow(2)$ : First suppose $S$ is $\pi$-inverse. Then $S$ is $\pi$-regular. Let $e, f \in$ $E_{\leq}(S)$. Since $S$ is $\pi$-regular, for $e f \in S$ there is $x \in S$ such that $x \in V_{\leq}(e f)^{m}$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$. We consider the following cases.

Case 1: If $m=1$ then $e f \in(f S e]$ holds, by Theorem 1.
Case 2: If $m>1$ then $x \leq x(e f)^{m} x$ implies that $f x e \leq f x e(e f)^{m} f x e$. Also $(e f)^{m} \leq(e f)^{m} x(e f)^{m}$ implies that $(e f)^{m} \leq(e f)^{m}(f x e)(e f)^{m}$. Thus $(e f)^{m} \in$ $V_{\leq}(f x e)$. Now $x \leq x(e f)^{m} x=x e(f e)^{m-1} f x$ so that $f x e \leq f x e(f e)^{m-1} f x e \leq$ $f x e(f e)^{m-1} f x e(f e)^{m-1} f x e$ and $(f e)^{m-1} f x e(f e)^{m-1} \leq(f e)^{m-1} f x e(f e)^{m-1} f x e$ $(f e)^{m-1} \leq(f e)^{m-1} f x e(f e)^{m-1} f x e(f e)^{m-1} f x e$ $(f e)^{m-1}$. This gives $(f e)^{m-1} f x e(f e)^{m-1} \in V_{\leq}(f x e)$. Thus $(e f)^{m},(f e)^{m-1} f x e(f e)^{m-1} \in$ $V_{\leq}(f x e)$. Since $S$ is $\pi$-inverse, we have that $(f e)^{m-1} f x e(f e)^{m-1} \mathcal{H}(e f)^{m}$. Then there are $s_{1}, s_{2} \in S$ such that $(e f)^{m} \leq(f e)^{m-1} f x e(f e)^{m-1} s_{1}$ and $(e f)^{m} \leq$ $s_{2}(f e)^{m-1} f x e(f e)^{m-1}$. Thus from the inequality $(e f)^{m} \leq(e f)^{m} x(e f)^{m}$ we have that $(e f)^{m} \leq(f e)^{m-1} f x e(f e)^{m-1}$ $s_{1} x s_{2}(f e)^{m-1} f x e(f e)^{m-1} \leq f(f e)^{m-1} f x e(f e)^{m-1} s_{1} x s_{2}(f e)^{m-1} f x e(f e)^{m-1} e$. Therefore $(e f)^{m} \leq f y e$, where $y=(f e)^{m-1} f x e(f e)^{m-1} s_{1} x s_{2}(f e)^{m-1} f x e(f e)^{m-1} \in S$. Hence $(e f)^{m} \in(f S e]$.
$(2) \Rightarrow(3)$ : Let $e, f \in E_{\leq}(S)$ be such that $e \mathcal{L} f$. Then $e \leq x f$ and $f \leq y e$ for some $x, y \in S$. Now $e \leq x f$ implies $e \leq e x f$ and so $e \leq e e \leq e x f e$, which implies that exf $\leq$ exfexf. So exf $\in E_{\leq}(S)$. Similarly $f \leq f y e$ and fye $\in E_{\leq}(S)$. Now

$$
\begin{equation*}
e \leq e x f \leq e x f f \leq(e x f)(f y e) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $e x f, f y e \in E_{\leq}(S)$, there exists $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $(e x f f y e)^{m} \in((f y e) S(e x f)]$, by condition (2). Then there exists $z \in S$ such that (exffye) ${ }^{m} \leq(f y e) z(e x f)$. Thus $e \leq e^{m}$ together with (1) implies that $e \leq(e x f f y e)^{m}$ and therefore $e \in((f y e) S(e x f)] \subseteq(f S]$. Likewise $f \in(e S]$, that is, $e \mathcal{R} f$. Hence $e \mathcal{H} f$.

For $e \mathcal{R} f, e \mathcal{H} f$ follows dually.
$(3) \Rightarrow(1):$ Let $a \in S$ and $a^{\prime}, a^{\prime \prime} \in V_{\leq}\left(a^{m}\right)$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Now $a^{m} a^{\prime} \leq$ $a^{m} a^{\prime \prime} a^{m} a^{\prime}$ and $a^{m} a^{\prime \prime} \leq a^{m} a^{\prime} a^{m} a^{\prime \prime}$ which gives $a^{m} a^{\prime} \mathcal{R} a^{m} a^{\prime \prime}$ so that $a^{m} a^{\prime} \mathcal{H} a^{m} a^{\prime \prime}$, by the condition (3). Likewise $a^{\prime} a^{m} \mathcal{H} a^{\prime \prime} a^{m}$. Then $a^{\prime} \leq a^{\prime} a^{m} a^{\prime}$ gives that $a^{\prime} \leq$ $a^{\prime \prime} a^{m} x a^{m}$ for some $x \in S$. Therefore $a^{\prime} \leq a^{\prime \prime} t$ where $t=a^{m} x a^{m}$. In a similar manner it is possible to get $u, v, w \in S$ such that $a^{\prime} \leq u a^{\prime \prime}, a^{\prime \prime} \leq a^{\prime} v$ and $a^{\prime \prime} \leq w a^{\prime}$. So $a^{\prime} \mathcal{H} a^{\prime \prime}$. Hence $S$ is a $\pi$-inverse ordered semigroup.

Let $S$ be a $\pi$-regular ordered semigroup. Then for every $a \in S$ there is $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $a^{m} \leq a^{m} x a^{m}$ for some $x \in S$ which gives that $a^{m} \leq a^{m} x\left(a^{m}\right) x a^{m}$. Here $a^{m} x, x a^{m} \in E_{\leq}(S)$ so that $a^{m} \in(e S f]$, for $e=a^{m} x$ and $f=x a^{m}$.

Following this idea we find a condition for a $\pi$-regular ordered semigroup to be $\pi$-inverse.

Theorem 4. A $\pi$-regular ordered semigroup $S$ is $\pi$-inverse if and only if for every $e, f \in E_{\leq}(S)$ and $x \in S$ whenever $x^{m} \in(e S f]$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$, then $x^{\prime} \in(f S e]$ for every $x^{\prime} \in V_{\leq}\left(x^{m}\right)$.

Proof. First suppose that $S$ is a $\pi$-inverse ordered semigroup. Then there is $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $V_{\leq}\left(x^{m}\right) \neq \phi$. Let $x^{\prime} \in V_{\leq}\left(x^{m}\right)$. Suppose $x^{m} \in(e S f]$ for $e, f \in E_{\leq}(S)$. Then $x^{m} \leq e s_{1} f$ for some $s_{1} \in S$. Now $x^{\prime} \leq x^{\prime} x^{m} x^{\prime} \leq x^{\prime} e s_{1} f x^{\prime}$ and so $e s_{1} f x^{\prime} \leq e s_{1} f x^{\prime} e s_{1} f x^{\prime}$, that is $e s_{1} f x^{\prime} \in E_{\leq}(S)$. Similarly $x^{\prime} e s_{1} f \in$ $E_{\leq}(S)$. Therefore $x^{\prime} \leq x^{\prime}\left(e s_{1} f x^{\prime}\right)^{r}$ and $x^{\prime} \leq\left(x^{\prime} e s_{1} f\right)^{r} x^{\prime}$ for all $r \in \mathbb{N}$. Now since $S$ is $\pi$-inverse, for $f, x^{\prime} e s_{1} f \in E_{\leq}(S)$ there are $s_{2} \in S$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\left(x^{\prime} e s_{1} f f\right)^{n} \leq f s_{2} x^{\prime} e s_{1} f$, by Theorem 3(2). Similarly for $e, e s_{1} f x^{\prime} \in E_{\leq}(S)$ we have $\left(e e s_{1} f x^{\prime}\right)^{k} \leq e s_{1} f x^{\prime} s_{3} e$, for some $s_{3} \in S$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $x^{\prime} \leq x^{\prime} x^{m} x^{\prime}$ implies that $x^{\prime} \leq\left(x^{\prime} e s_{1} f f\right)^{n} x^{\prime}\left(e e s_{1} f x^{\prime}\right)^{k} \leq f s_{2} x^{\prime} e s_{1} x^{\prime} f e s_{1} f x^{\prime} s_{3} e$. Hence $x^{\prime} \in$ ( $f S e]$.

Conversely, assume that the given condition holds in $S$. Let $e, f \in E_{\leq}(S)$ be such that $e \mathcal{L} f$, this yields that $e \leq e e \leq e z f$ for some $z \in S$. Therefore $e^{m} \in(e S f]$. Since $e \in V_{\leq}\left(e^{m}\right)$ we have $e \in(f S e]$, by given condition. Likewise $f \in(e S f]$. This implies that $e \mathcal{R} f$ and so $e \mathcal{H} f$. Thus by Theorem $3, S$ is a $\pi$-inverse ordered semigroup.

Corollary 5. The following conditions are equivalent on a $\pi$-regular ordered semigroup $S$.

1. $S$ is a $\pi$-inverse ordered semigroup;
2. Let $a \in S$. Then there are $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\left(a^{m} a^{\prime} a^{\prime} a^{m}\right)^{n} \in\left(a^{\prime} S a^{\prime}\right]$, for every $a^{\prime} \in V_{\leq}\left(a^{m}\right)$;
3. Any two inverses of an ordered idempotent in $S$ are $\mathcal{H}$-related;
4. All inverses of e are $\mathcal{H}$-commutative, for every $e \in E_{\leq}(S)$;
5. For any $e \in E_{\leq}(S)$ and $e^{\prime} \in V_{\leq}(e), e e^{\prime} e^{\prime} e \in\left(e^{\prime} S e^{\prime}\right]$.

Proof. $(1) \Rightarrow(2),(2) \Rightarrow(3),(3) \Rightarrow(4)$ : These are obvious.
$(4) \Rightarrow(5)$ : Let $e \in E_{\leq}(S)$ and $e^{\prime} \in V_{\leq}(e)$. Then $e e^{\prime} e^{\prime} e \leq e^{\prime} s_{1} e e s_{2} e^{\prime}$ for some $s_{1}, s_{2} \in S$. Hence $e e^{\prime} e^{\prime} e \in\left(e^{\prime} S e^{\prime}\right]$.
$(5) \Rightarrow(1)$ : Let $a \in S$ and $a^{\prime}, a^{\prime \prime} \in V_{\leq}\left(a^{m}\right)$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $a^{\prime} \leq$ $a^{\prime} a^{m} a^{\prime} \leq a^{\prime} a^{m} a^{\prime \prime} a^{m} a^{\prime} \leq a^{\prime \prime} a^{m} s_{4} a^{\prime} a^{m} a^{\prime}$, for some $s_{4} \in S$. Therefore $a^{\prime} \leq a^{\prime \prime} t_{1}$ where $t_{1}=a^{m} s_{4} a^{\prime} a^{m} a^{\prime}$. Similarly there exists $t_{2} \in S$ such that $a^{\prime} \leq t_{2} a^{\prime \prime}$. Also there are $t_{3}, t_{4} \in S$ such that $a^{\prime \prime} \leq t_{3} a^{\prime}$ and $a^{\prime \prime} \leq a^{\prime} t_{4}$. Thus $a^{\prime} \mathcal{H} a^{\prime \prime}$. Hence $S$ is a $\pi$-inverse ordered semigroup.

Corollary 6. Let $S$ be a $\pi$-inverse ordered semigroup and $a, b \in S$. If $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ are such that $V_{\leq}\left(a^{m}\right), V_{\leq}\left(b^{n}\right) \neq \phi$, then the following statements hold in $S$.

1. $a^{m} \mathcal{L} b^{n}$ if and only if $a^{\prime} a^{m} \mathcal{H} b^{\prime} b^{n}$ for every $a^{\prime} \in V_{\leq}\left(a^{m}\right)$ and $b^{\prime} \in V_{\leq}\left(b^{n}\right)$;
2. $a^{m} \mathcal{R} b^{n}$ if and only if $a^{m} a^{\prime} \mathcal{H} b^{n} b^{\prime}$ for every $a^{\prime} \in V_{\leq}\left(a^{m}\right)$ and $b^{\prime} \in V_{\leq}\left(b^{n}\right)$;
3. $a^{m} \mathcal{H} b^{n}$ if and only if $a^{\prime} a^{m} \mathcal{H} b^{\prime} b^{n}$ and $a^{m} a^{\prime} \mathcal{H} b^{n} b^{\prime}$ for every $a^{\prime} \in V_{\leq}\left(a^{m}\right)$ and $b^{\prime} \in V_{\leq}\left(b^{n}\right)$.

Proof. (1): Let $a, b \in S$. Since $S$ is $\pi$-inverse, there are $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $V_{\leq}\left(a^{m}\right), V_{\leq}\left(b^{n}\right) \neq \phi$. Let $a^{\prime} \in V_{\leq}\left(a^{m}\right), b^{\prime} \in V_{\leq}\left(b^{n}\right)$. Let $a^{m} \mathcal{L} b^{n}$. Since $a^{m} \leq$ $a^{m} a^{\prime} a^{m}$ and $a^{\prime} a^{m} \leq a^{\prime} a^{m} a^{\prime} a^{m}$, we have $a^{m} \mathcal{L} a^{\prime} a^{m}$, which implies that $b^{n} \mathcal{L} a^{\prime} a^{m}$. Also $b^{n} \mathcal{L} b^{\prime} b^{n}$. Hence $a^{\prime} a^{m} \mathcal{L} b^{\prime} b^{n}$. Since $a^{\prime} a^{m}, b^{\prime} b^{n} \in E_{\leq}(S)$ and $S$ is $\pi$-inverse we have $a^{\prime} a^{m} \mathcal{H} b^{\prime} b^{n}$, by Theorem 3(3).

Conversely suppose that given condition holds in $S$. Let $a, b \in S$ with $a^{\prime} \in$ $V_{\leq}\left(a^{m}\right)$ and $b^{\prime} \in V_{\leq}\left(b^{n}\right)$ for some $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then by given condition $a^{\prime} a^{m} \mathcal{H} b^{\prime} b^{n}$. Also we have $a^{m} \mathcal{L} a^{\prime} a^{m}$ and $b^{n} \mathcal{L} b^{\prime} b^{n}$ so that $a^{m} \mathcal{L} b^{n}$.
(2) and (3): These follow dually.

## 3. Bi-IDEALS IN $\pi$-INVERSE ORDERED SEMIGROUPS

In this section we characterize a $\pi$-inverse ordered semigroup $S$ by the principal bi-ideals of $S$.

Theorem 7. Let $S$ be a $\pi$-regular ordered semigroup. Then the following conditions are equivalent.

1. $S$ is a $\pi$-inverse ordered semigroup;
2. For any $a \in S$, there is $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $B\left(a^{\prime}\right)=B\left(a^{\prime \prime}\right)$ for every $a^{\prime}, a^{\prime \prime} \in$ $V_{\leq}\left(a^{m}\right) ;$
3. For any $e, f \in E_{\leq}(S), B\left((e f)^{m}\right) \subseteq B(e) \cap B(f)$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$;
4. For any $e \in E_{\leq}(S)$ and $x \in V_{\leq}(e), B(e x)=B(x e)$.

Proof. (1) $\Rightarrow(2)$ : First suppose that $S$ is a $\pi$-inverse ordered semigroup. Let $a \in S$. Then there is $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $a^{\prime}, a^{\prime \prime} \in V_{\leq}\left(a^{m}\right)$. Suppose $x \in B\left(a^{\prime}\right)$. Therefore $x \leq a^{\prime}$ or $x \leq a^{\prime} y a^{\prime}$ for some $y \in S$. Since $S$ is $\pi$-inverse, $a^{\prime} \mathcal{H} a^{\prime \prime}$. If $x \leq a^{\prime}$ then $x \leq a^{\prime} a^{m} a^{\prime} \leq a^{\prime \prime} s_{1} a^{m} s_{2} a^{\prime \prime}$ for some $s_{1}, s_{2} \in S$. Therefore $x \leq a^{\prime \prime} s a^{\prime \prime}$ where $s=s_{1} a^{m} s_{2}$. If $x \leq a^{\prime} y a^{\prime}$ then there is $s_{3} \in S$ such that $x \leq a^{\prime \prime} s_{3} a^{\prime \prime}$. Thus in either case $x \in B\left(a^{\prime \prime}\right)$. Also $a^{\prime} \in B\left(a^{\prime \prime}\right)$ implies that $B\left(a^{\prime}\right) \subseteq B\left(a^{\prime \prime}\right)$. Similarly $B\left(a^{\prime \prime}\right) \subseteq B\left(a^{\prime}\right)$. Hence $B\left(a^{\prime}\right)=B\left(a^{\prime \prime}\right)$.
$(2) \Rightarrow(3)$ : Let $e, f \in E_{\leq}(S)$ and $x \in V_{\leq}(e f)^{m}$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Clearly $(e f)^{m},(f e)^{m-1} f x e(f e)^{m-1} \in V_{\leq}(f x e)$ and so by the condition (2) it follows that
$B\left((e f)^{m}\right)=B\left((f e)^{m-1} f x e(f e)^{m-1}\right)$. Now $(e f)^{m} \in B\left((f e)^{m-1} f x e(f e)^{m-1}\right) \mathrm{im}-$
plies $(e f)^{m} \leq(f e)^{m-1} f x e(f e)^{m-1}$ or $(e f)^{m} \leq(f e)^{m-1} f x e(f e)^{m-1} h(f e)^{m-1} f x e(f e)^{m-1}$
for some $h \in S$. So in either case $(e f)^{m} \leq h_{1}(f e)^{m-1} f x e(f e)^{m-1}$ and $(e f)^{m} \leq$
$(f e)^{m-1} f x e(f e)^{m-1} h_{2}$ for some $h_{1}, h_{2} \in S$. Likewise there are $h_{3}, h_{4} \in S$ such
that $(f e)^{m-1} f x e(f e)^{m-1} \leq h_{3}(e f)^{m}$ and $(f e)^{m-1} f x e(f e)^{m-1} \leq(e f)^{m} h_{4}$. Hence
$(e f)^{m} \mathcal{H}(f e)^{m-1}$
$f x e(f e)^{m-1}$.

Let $w \in B(e f)^{m}$. Then either $w \leq(e f)^{m}$ or $w \leq(e f)^{m} s_{1}(e f)^{m}$ for some $s_{1} \in$ $S$. If $w \leq(e f)^{m}$ then $w \leq(e f)^{m} \leq(e f)^{m} x(e f)^{m} \leq(e f)^{m} x s_{2}(f e)^{m-1} f x e(f e)^{m-1}$ for some $s_{2} \in S$.

Also $w \leq(e f)^{m} s_{1}(e f)^{m}$ gives $w \leq e f s_{1} s_{3}(f e)^{m-1} f x e(f e)^{m-1}$ for some $s_{3} \in$ $S$. So in either case $w \in B(e)$. Likewise $w \in B(f)$. Therefore $w \in B(e) \cap B(f)$ and hence $B(e f)^{m} \subseteq B(e) \cap B(f)$.
$(3) \Rightarrow(4)$ : Let $e \in E_{\leq}(S)$ and $x \in V_{\leq}(e)$. Then $e, x e, e x \in E_{\leq}(S)$. Now by condition $(3) B\left((e x e)^{m}\right) \subseteq B(e) \cap B(x e)$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $y \in B(e)$. Then either $y \leq e$ or $y \leq e s_{3} e$ for some $s_{3} \in S$. If $y \leq e$ then $y \leq e x e \leq$ $e e x e \leq e x e e x e \leq \ldots \leq(e x e)^{m}$. So $y \in B\left((e x e)^{m}\right)$. Likewise $y \in B\left((e x e)^{m}\right)$ for the case $y \leq e s_{3} e$. Therefore $B(e)=B\left((e x e)^{m}\right)$ and so $B(e) \subseteq B(x e)$. Also $B\left((x e e)^{n}\right) \subseteq B(e) \cap B(x e)$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then by a similar argument $B(x e) \subseteq B(e)$. Therefore $B(e)=B(x e)$. Likewise $B(e)=B(e x)$. Therefore $B(x e)=B(e x)$.
$(4) \Rightarrow(1)$ : By condition (4) we have $e x \mathcal{H} x e$. Also $e x \in B(e)$ and $e x \in B(x)$. Then $e x \leq e$ or $e x \leq e b_{1} e$ and $e x \leq x$ or $e x \leq x b_{2} x$ for some $b_{1}, b_{2} \in S$. Here following cases arise.

Case(1): If $e x \leq e$ and $e x \leq x$ then $e x \leq e x e x \leq x e \leq x e x e=x a e$ where $a=e x$.

Case(2): If $e x \leq e$ and $e x \leq x b_{2} x$ then $e x \leq e x e x \leq x b_{2} x e=x b e$ where $b=b_{2} x$.

Case(3): If $e x \leq e b_{1} e$ and $e x \leq x$ then $e x \leq e x e x \leq x e b_{1} e=x c e$ where $c=e b_{1}$.

Case(4): If $e x \leq e b_{1} e$ and $e x \leq x b_{2} x$ then $e x \leq e x e x \leq x b_{2} x e b_{1} e=x d e$ where $d=b_{2} x e b_{1}$. Therefore in either case $e x \leq x$ se for some $s \in S$. Similarly $x e \leq e t x$ for some $t \in S$. Thus $e, x$ are $\mathcal{H}$-commutative. Hence by Corollary $5, S$ is a $\pi$-inverse ordered semigroup.

Corollary 8. A $\pi$-regular ordered semigroup $S$ is $\pi$-inverse if and only if for any $e \in E_{\leq}(S)$ and $x \in V_{\leq}(e), B(e x)=B(e) \cap B(x)=B(x e)=B(e)=B(x)$.

Proof. This follows from Theorem 7.
Corollary 9. $A \pi$-regular ordered semigroup $S$ is $\pi$-inverse if and only if for any $e, f \in E_{\leq}(S)$, e $\mathcal{L} f(e \mathcal{R} f)$ implies $B(e)=B(f)$.

Proof. Let $S$ be a $\pi$-inverse ordered semigroup. Since $S$ is $\pi$-inverse $e \mathcal{L} f(e \mathcal{R} f)$ implies $e \mathcal{H} f$ by Theorem 3. So it is easy to check that $B(e)=B(f)$.

Conversely suppose that the condition holds in $S$. Now $B(e)=B(f)$ gives that $e \in B(f)$ and $f \in B(e)$. Therefore $e \leq f$ or $e \leq f x f$ and $f \leq e$ or $f \leq e y e$ for some $x, y \in S$. In either case $e \mathcal{R} f$. So $e \mathcal{L} f$ implies $e \mathcal{H} f$. Hence $S$ is a $\pi$-inverse ordered semigroup, by Theorem 3 .

Corollary 10. Let $S$ be a $\pi$-inverse ordered semigroup and $a, b \in S$. If $a^{\prime} \in$ $V_{\leq}\left(a^{m}\right), b^{\prime} \in V_{\leq}\left(b^{n}\right)$, for some $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, then the following conditions hold on $S$.

1. $a^{m} \mathcal{L} b^{n}$ if and only if $B\left(a^{\prime} a^{m}\right)=B\left(b^{\prime} b^{n}\right)$.
2. $a^{m} \mathcal{R} b^{n}$ if and only if $B\left(a^{m} a^{\prime}\right)=B\left(b^{n} b^{\prime}\right)$.

Proof. (1): Let $S$ be a $\pi$-inverse ordered semigroup and $a, b \in S$. Also let $a^{\prime} \in V_{\leq}\left(a^{m}\right), b^{\prime} \in V_{\leq}\left(b^{n}\right)$ for some $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, such that $a^{m} \mathcal{L} b^{n}$. So by Corollary $6 a^{\prime} a^{m} \mathcal{H} b^{\prime} b^{n}$. Let $x \in B\left(a^{\prime} a^{m}\right)$. Therefore $x \leq a^{\prime} a^{m}$ or $x \leq a^{\prime} a^{m} s_{1} a^{\prime} a^{m}$ for some $s_{1} \in S$. So it is easy to verify that $x \in B\left(b^{\prime} b^{n}\right)$. Also $a^{\prime} a^{m} \in B\left(b^{\prime} b^{n}\right)$. So $B\left(a^{\prime} a^{m}\right) \subseteq B\left(b^{\prime} b^{n}\right)$. Similarly $B\left(b^{\prime} b^{n}\right) \subseteq B\left(a^{\prime} a^{m}\right)$. So $B\left(a^{\prime} a^{m}\right)=B\left(b^{\prime} b^{n}\right)$.

Converse follows easily.
(2): This is similar to (1).
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