# ON THE GENUS OF THE IDEMPOTENT GRAPH
# OF A FINITE COMMUTATIVE RING

G. GOLD BELSI[1],  S. KAVITHA[2]

AND

K. SELVAKUMAR[1]

*Reg. No. 18114012092031*
[1]*Department of Mathematics*
*Manonmaniam Sundaranar University*
*Tirunelveli 627 012, Tamil Nadu, India*

[2]*Department of Mathematics*
*Gobi Arts and Science College*
*Gobichettipalayam 638 476, Tamilnadu*

**e-mail:** goldbelsi@gmail.com
kavithaashmi@gmail.com
selva_158@yahoo.co.in

**Abstract**

Let $R$ be a finite commutative ring with identity. The *idempotent graph* of $R$ is the simple undirected graph $I(R)$ with vertex set, the set of all non-trivial idempotents of $R$ and two distinct vertices $x$ and $y$ are adjacent if and only if $xy = 0$. In this paper, we have determined all isomorphism classes of finite commutative rings with identity whose $I(R)$ has genus one or two. Also we have determined all isomorphism classes of finite commutative rings with identity whose $I(R)$ has crosscap one. Also we study the the book embedding of toroidal idempotent graphs and classify finite commutative rings whose $I(R)$ is a ring graph.

**Keywords:** idempotent graph, planar, genus, crosscap.

**2010 Mathematics Subject Classification:** 13A15, 13M05, 05C25, 05C75.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The study on linking commutative ring theory with graph theory has been started with the concept of the zero-divisor graph of a commutative ring which was first

launched by I. Beck [3]. Recall that the *idempotent graph* of a commutative ring $R$, is a simple undirected graph $I(R)$ with vertex set, the set of all non-trivial idempotents of $R$ and two distinct vertices $x$ and $y$ are adjacent if and only if $xy = 0$. The concept was first initiated by, Akbari, Habibi, Majidinya and Manaviyat [1]. They obtained some basic results of $I(M_n(R))$, for a division ring $R$. Influenced by the ideas of the above authors, we try to classify the finite commutative rings with unity whose idempotent graph is planar, ring graph, has genus 1 or 2 and crosscap 1.

## 2.  Preliminaries

In this section, we recollect some definitions and theorems which are required for the subsequent sections.

Let $G$ be a graph with $n$ vertices and $q$ edges. Let $C$ be a cycle of $G$. We say $C$ is a primitive cycle if it has no chords. Also a graph $G$ has the primitive cycle property (PCP) if any two primitive cycles intersect in at most one edge. The number $frank(G)$ is called the free rank of $G$ and it is the number of primitive cycles of $G$. Also the number $rank(G) = q + n - r$, is called the cycle rank of $G$, where $r$ is the number of connected components of $G$. A graph $G$ is called a *ring graph* if it satisfies one of the following equivalent conditions: 1. $rank(G) = frank(G)$; 2. $G$ satisfies the PCP and $G$ does not contain a subdivision of $K_4$ as a subgraph. A *split graph* is one whose vertex set can be partitioned as the disjiont union of an independent set and a clique (either of which may be empty).

A graph is said to be *planar* if it can be drawn in the plane so that its edges intersect only at their ends. A planar graph, which has all the vertices in the outer face of the embedding, is called an *outerplanar* graph. For non-negative integers $g$ and $k$, let $S_g$ denote the sphere with $g$ handles and $N_k$ denote the sphere with $k$ crosscaps attached to it. It is well-known that every connected compact surface is homeomorphic to $S_g$ or $N_k$ for some non-negative integers $g$ and $k$. The *genus* of a graph $G$, denoted by $g(G)$, is the minimum integer $n$ such that $G$ can be embedded in $S_n$. Similarly the *crosscap* (nonorientable genus) $\overline{g}(G)$ is the minimum $k$ such that $G$ can be embedded in $N_k$ and $G$ is *toroidal* if $g(G) = 1$. For details on the notion of embedding of graphs in surface, one can refer to White [14] and for graph theory definitions one can refer [4]. Also for ring theory definitions we refer [2].

The following results are useful for further reference in this paper.

**Theorem 1** [14, Kuratowski's]. *A graph $G$ is planar if and only if it contains no subdivision of $K_5$ or $K_{3,3}$.*

**Theorem 2** [8, Theorem 1]. *A graph $G$ is outerplanar if and only if it contains no subgraph homeomorphic to $K_{2,3}$ or $K_4$.*

**Lemma 3** [14, Theorem 4.4.7]. $g(K_{m,n}) = \left\lceil \frac{(m-2)(n-2)}{4} \right\rceil$ *if $m, n \geq 2$. In particular, $g(K_{4,4}) = g(K_{3,n}) = 1$ if $n = 3, 4, 5, 6$. Also $g(K_{5,4}) = g(K_{6,4}) = g(K_{m,3}) = 2$ if $m = 7, 8, 9, 10$.*

**Lemma 4** [14, Theorem 4.4.7]. *Let m,n be positive integers. Then we have the following $\overline{g}(K_{m,n}) = \left\lceil \frac{1}{2}(m-2)(n-2) \right\rceil$ if $m, n \geq 2$.*

## 3.  The idempotent graph with $g(I(R)) \leq 2$

In this section, we characterize all finite commutative rings $R$ with identity whose $I(R)$ has genus at most two. Using the Euler characteristic formula and a technique of deletion and insertion, we are able to successfully exclude some cases of higher genus.

**Remark 5** [1]. Let $R$ be a finite commutative ring. Then

  (i)  $I(R)$ is a null graph if and only if $R$ is a field or a local ring.

 (ii)  $I(R)$ is a complete graph if and only if $I(R)$ is a complete graph of order 2.

   In view of Remark 5, throughout this paper we assume that $R$ is a finite commutative nonlocal ring with nonzero identity. Recall that every Artinian (finite) ring $R$ is decomposed into Artinian local rings, i.e., $R = R_1 \times \cdots \times R_n$, where each $(R_i, \mathfrak{m}_i)$ is a local ring.

   We are now in a position to classify all finite nonlocal rings such that the idempotent graph is planar. Note that, $a_i$'s notates the non-trivial idempotents of $R$.

**Theorem 6.** *Let $R$ be a finite commutative nonlocal ring. Then $I(R)$ planar (outerplanar) if and only if $n \leq 3$, where $n$ is the number of distinct maximal ideals of $R$.*

**Proof.** If $n = 2$, then $I(R) \cong K_2$. When $n = 3$, then the proof follows from Figure 1.



Figure 1. $I(R_1 \times R_2 \times R_3)$.

Conversely, assume that $I(R)$ is planar. Since $R$ is finite, $R \cong R_1 \times R_2 \times \cdots \times R_n$, where each $R_i$ is a local ring. Suppose $n > 3$. Let $\Omega = \{a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_6\}$ where, $a_1 = (1,0,0,\ldots,0)$, $a_2 = (0,1,0,\ldots,0)$, $a_3 = (1,1,0,\ldots,0)$, $a_4 = (0,0,1,\ldots,0)$, $a_5 = (0,0,0,1,0,\ldots,0)$, $a_6 = (0,0,1,1,0,\ldots,0)$. Then the subgraph induced by $\Omega$ in $I(R)$ contains $K_{3,3}$ as a subgraph and by Theorem 1, we get a contradiction. Hence $n \leq 3$. The proof for outerplanarity can be easily obtained, using a similar argument and Theorem 2. ∎



Figure 2. An embedding of $I(R_1 \times R_2 \times R_3 \times R_4)$ in $S_1$.

Now we characterize all finite commutative nonlocal rings $R$ such that the idempotent graph is toroidal.

**Theorem 7.** *Let $R$ be a finite commutative nonlocal ring. Then $g(I(R)) = 1$ if and only if $n = 4$, where $n$ is the number of distinct maximal ideals of $R$.*

**Proof.** Suppose that $g(I(R)) = 1$. Since $R$ is finite, $R \cong R_1 \times R_2 \times \cdots \times R_n$, where each $R_i$ is a local ring. Suppose $n > 4$. Let $B = \{a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_{10}\}$ where, $a_1 = (1,0,0,\ldots,0)$, $a_2 = (0,1,0,\ldots,0)$, $a_3 = (1,1,0,\ldots,0)$, $a_4 = (0,0,1,0,\ldots,0)$, $a_5 = (0,0,0,1,0,\ldots,0)$, $a_6 = (0,0,0,0,1,0,\ldots,0)$, $a_7 = (0,0,1,1,0,\ldots,0)$, $a_8 = (0,0,1,0,1,0,\ldots,0)$, $a_9 = (0,0,0,1,1,0,\ldots,0)$, $a_{10} = (0,0,1,1,1,0,\ldots,0)$. Then the subgraph induced by $B$ in $I(R)$ contains $K_{3,7}$ as a subgraph and by Lemma 3, $g(I(R)) \geq 2$, a contradiction. By Theorem 6, $n = 4$.

Conversely, assume that $n = 4$. Let $C = \{a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_{14}\}$ where, $a_1 = (1,0,0,0)$, $a_2 = (0,1,0,0)$, $a_3 = (1,1,0,0)$, $a_4 = (1,0,1,0)$, $a_5 = (1,0,0,1)$, $a_6 = (0,0,1,0)$, $a_7 = (0,0,0,1)$, $a_8 = (0,0,1,1)$, $a_9 = (0,1,1,0)$, $a_{10} = (0,1,0,1)$, $a_{11} = (0,1,1,1)$, $a_{12} = (1,0,1,1)$, $a_{13} = (1,1,0,1)$, $a_{14} = (1,1,1,0)$. Then the subgraph induced by $C$ in $I(R)$ contains $K_{3,3}$ as a subgraph. By Theorem 1, $g(I(R)) \geq 1$, whereas an embedding of $I(R)$ given in Figure 2 explicitly shows that $g(I(R)) = 1$. ∎

**Theorem 8.** *There is no finite commutative nonlocal ring $R$ for which $g(I(R)) = 2$.*

**Proof.** Since $R$ is finite, $R \cong R_1 \times R_2 \times \cdots \times R_n$, where each $R_i$ is a local ring. By Theorems 6 and 7, $n \geq 5$. Let $E = \{a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_{30}\}$, where $a_1 = (1, 0, 0, 0, \ldots, 0)$, $a_2 = (0, 1, 0, 0, \ldots, 0)$, $a_3 = (0, 0, 1, 0, \ldots, 0)$, $a_4 = (0, 0, 0, 1, 0, \ldots, 0)$, $a_5 = (0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, \ldots, 0)$, $a_6 = (1, 1, 0, 0, \ldots, 0)$, $a_7 = (1, 0, 1, 0, \ldots, 0)$, $a_8 = (1, 0, 0, 1, 0, \ldots, 0)$, $a_9 = (1, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, \ldots, 0)$, $a_{10} = (0, 1, 1, 0, \ldots, 0)$, $a_{11} = (0, 1, 0, 1, 0, \ldots, 0)$, $a_{12} = (0, 1, 0, 0, 1, 0, \ldots, 0)$, $a_{13} = (0, 0, 1, 1, 0, \ldots, 0)$, $a_{14} = (0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 0, \ldots, 0)$, $a_{15} = (0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, \ldots, 0)$, $a_{16} = (1, 1, 1, 0, \ldots, 0)$, $a_{17} = (0, 1, 1, 1, 0, \ldots, 0)$, $a_{18} = (0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 0, \ldots, 0)$, $a_{19} = (0, 1, 0, 1, 1, 0, \ldots, 0)$, $a_{20} = (0, 1, 1, 0, 1, 0, \ldots, 0)$, $a_{21} = (1, 0, 1, 1, 0, \ldots, 0)$, $a_{22} = (1, 0, 0, 1, 1, 0, \ldots, 0)$, $a_{23} = (1, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, \ldots, 0)$, $a_{24} = (1, 1, 0, 0, 1, 0, \ldots, 0)$, $a_{25} = (1, 1, 0, 1, 0, \ldots, 0)$, $a_{26} = (0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, \ldots, 0)$, $a_{27} = (1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 0, \ldots, 0)$, $a_{28} = (1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 0, \ldots, 0)$, $a_{29} = (1, 1, 1, 0, 1, 0, \ldots, 0)$, $a_{30} = (1, 1, 1, 1, 0, \ldots, 0)$. Then the subgraph induced by $E$ in $I(R)$ contains a subdivision of $K_{3,12}$ as a subgraph and by Lemma 3, $g(I(R)) \geq 3$. ∎



Figure 3. The subgraph induced by $B$.

We are now in a point to classify all finite nonlocal rings such that the idempotent graph is projective.

**Theorem 9.** *Let $R$ be a finite commutative ring. Then $\overline{g}(I(R)) = 1$ if and only if $n = 4$, where $n$ is the number of distinct maximal ideals of $R$.*

**Proof.** Suppose $\overline{g}(I(R)) = 1$. We have, $R \cong R_1 \times R_2 \times \cdots \times R_n$, where each $R_i$ is a local ring. When $n \geq 5$, let $H = \{a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_{10}\}$ where $a_1 = (1, 0, 0, \ldots, 0)$, $a_2 = (0, 1, 0, \ldots, 0)$, $a_3 = (1, 1, 0, \ldots, 0)$, $a_4 = (0, 0, 1, 0, \ldots, 0)$, $a_5 = (0, 0, 0, 1, 0, \ldots, 0)$, $a_6 = (0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, \ldots, 0)$, $a_7 = (0, 0, 1, 1, 0, \ldots, 0)$, $a_8 = (0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 0, \ldots, 0)$, $a_9 = (0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, \ldots, 0)$, $a_{10} = (0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 0, \ldots, 0)$. Then the subgraph induced by $H$ in $I(R)$ contains $K_{3,7}$ as a subgraph. By Lemma 4, $\overline{g}(I(R)) \geq 3$, a contradiction. Hence $n = 4$.

Conversely, assume that $n = 4$. Let $J = \{a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_{14}\}$ where, $a_1 = (1, 0, 0, 0)$, $a_2 = (0, 1, 0, 0)$, $a_3 = (1, 1, 0, 0)$, $a_4 = (1, 0, 1, 0)$, $a_5 = (1, 0, 0, 1)$,

$a_6 = (0, 0, 1, 0)$, $a_7 = (0, 0, 0, 1)$, $a_8 = (0, 0, 1, 1)$, $a_9 = (0, 1, 1, 0)$, $a_{10} = (0, 1, 0, 1)$, $a_{11} = (0, 1, 1, 1)$, $a_{12} = (1, 0, 1, 1)$, $a_{13} = (1, 1, 0, 1)$, $a_{14} = (1, 1, 1, 0)$. Then the subgraph induced by $J$ in $I(R)$ contains $K_{3,3}$ as a subgraph. By Theorem 4, $\overline{g}(I(R)) \geq 1$, whereas an embedding of $I(R)$ given in Figure 4, explicitly shows that $\overline{g}(I(R)) = 1$. ∎



Figure 4. An embedding of $I(R_1 \times R_2 \times R_3 \times R_4)$ in $N_1$.

**Theorem 10.** *There is no finite commutative ring $R$ for which $\overline{g}(I(R)) = 2$.*

**Proof.** Since $R$ is finite, $R \cong R_1 \times R_2 \times \cdots \times R_n$, where each $R_i$ is a local ring. By Theorem 9, $n \geq 5$. Let $K = \{a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_{10}\}$ where $a_1 = (1, 0, 0, \ldots, 0)$, $a_2 = (0, 1, 0, \ldots, 0)$, $a_3 = (1, 1, 0, \ldots, 0)$, $a_4 = (0, 0, 1, 0, \ldots, 0)$, $a_5 = (0, 0, 0, 1, 0, \ldots, 0)$, $a_6 = (0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, \ldots, 0)$, $a_7 = (0, 0, 1, 1, 0, \ldots, 0)$, $a_8 = (0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 0, \ldots, 0)$, $a_9 = (0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, \ldots, 0)$, $a_{10} = (0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 0, \ldots, 0)$. Then the subgraph induced by $K$ in $I(R)$ contains $K_{3,7}$ as a subgraph and by Lemma 4, $\overline{g}(I(R)) \geq 3$. ∎

**Theorem 11.** *Let $R$ be a finite commutative ring. Then $I(R)$ is not a split graph for $n \geq 4$, where $n$ is the number of distinct maximal ideals of $R$.*

**Proof.** By the structure of $I(R)$, $I(R)$ contains $K_n$ for $n \geq 4$. Consider an vertex $a$ of $I(R)$ that is not in $V(K_n)$, which has 1 in the $i, j$ th places and 0 in the remaining places. This vertex must adjacent with the vertex $b$ that has 0 in the $i, j$ th places and 1 in the remaining places. Hence the remaining vertices cannot form an independent set. Hence the theorem. ∎

**Theorem 12.** *Let $R$ be a finite commutative ring. Then $I(R)$ is a ring graph if and only if $n = 3$, where $n$ is the number of distinct maximal ideals of $R$.*

**Proof.** Assume that $I(R)$ is a ring graph. Since every ring graph is planar it is enough to consider whether $I(R)$ is a ring graph for each ring in Theorem 6.

When $n = 3$, $I(R)$ has $rank(I(R)) = frank(I(R))$. Hence $I(R)$ is a ring graph. The converse is obvious. ∎

## 4. Book thickness of $I(R)$

A standard $n$-book is formed by joining $n$ half-planes, called pages, together at a common line, called spine. When embedding a graph in a book, the vertices are placed along the spine. Each edge is embedded on a single page of the book so that no two edges cross each on a page. The *book thickness* of a graph $G$ is the smallest $n$, for which $G$ has an $n$-book embedding. Yannakakis [10] has shown that all planar graphs have book thickness at most 4.



Figure 5. Book embedding of $I(R_1 \times R_2 \times R_3)$.

**Theorem 13** [5, Theorem 3.4]**.** *The book thickness of the complete graph $K_n$ is equal to $\lceil n/2 \rceil$, when $n \geq 4$.*

**Theorem 14** [5, Theorem 2.5]**.** *A graph has book thickness one if and only if it is outer planar.*

**Theorem 15** [5, Theorem 2.5]**.** *The book thickness of a graph is at most two if and only if it is a subgraph of a planar graph that has a Hamiltonian cycle.*

Now we classify all rings such that the book thickness of idempotent graph is 1.

**Theorem 16.** *Let $R$ be a finite commutative ring. Then the book thickness of $I(R)$ is 1 if and only if $n \leq 3$, where n is the number of distinct maximal ideals of $R$.*

**Proof.** The proof is clear by Theorem 6 and Theorem 14. ∎

Now we characterize all finite commutative rings $R$ such that the book thickness of the idempotent graph is 3.

**Theorem 17.** *Let $R$ be a finite commutative ring. The book thickness of $I(R)$ is 3 if and only if $R$ has exactly 4 distinct maximal ideals.*

**Proof.** Suppose $R$ has exactly 4 distinct maximal ideals, then by Theorem 7, we know that $R = R_1 \times R_2 \times R_3 \times R_4$, which is toroidal. By Theorem 15, one can note that, a two page book embedding is corresponding to a planar structure. Hence a toroidal graph has book thickness at least three. But the three pages of $I(R)$ are represented by the sets of dashed and solid edges above and below the spine in Figure 6 (For $a_i$, $1 \le i \le 14$ refer Figure 2). Hence the book thickness of $I(R)$ is 3.

Conversely assume that the book thickness of $I(R)$ is 3. Suppose that $R$ has at least 5 distinct maximal ideals. Let $J = \{a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_5, a_6, a_7, a_{10}, a_{11}, a_{13}, a_{18}\}$ be a subset of $E$ in Theorem 8. Then, the subgraph induced by $J$ in $I(R)$ must contain a subdivision (each edge should be subdivided at most once) of $K_7$. By [11, Theorem 3.1], we come to know that, book thickness of $K_n$ does not change, even though we subdivide its edges at most once. Hence by Theorem 13, the book thickness of $I(R)$ is at least 4, a contradiction. ∎

**Corollary 18.** *There exists no finite commutative ring $R$, for which book thickness of $I(R)$ is 2.*



Figure 6. Book embedding of $I(R_1 \times R_2 \times R_3 \times R_4)$.

## References

[1] S. Akbari, M. Habibi, A. Majidinya and R. Manaviyat, *On the Idempotent Graph of a Ring*, J. Algebra **12** (2013) 1–14.
doi:10.1142/S0219498813500035

[2] M.F. Atiyah and I.G. Macdonald, Introduction to Commutative Algebra (Addison – Wiley Publishing Company, London, 1969).

[3] I. Beck, *Coloring of commutative rings*, J. Algebra **116** (1988) 208–226.
doi:10.1016/0021-8693(88)90202-5

[4] J.A. Bondy and U.S.R. Murty, Graph Theory and its Applications (American Elsevier, New York, 1976).

[5] F.R. Bernhart and P.C. Kainen, *The book thickness of a graph*, J. Comb. Theory, Ser. B **27** (1979) 320–331.
doi:10.1016/0095-8956(79)90021-2

[6] S. Kavitha and R. Kala, *On the genus of graphs from commutative rings*, AKCE Int. J. Graphs Combin. **14** (2017) 27–34.
doi:10.1016/j.akcej.2016.11.006

[7] T.Y. Lam, A First Course in Non-commutative Rings (Springer-Verlag, New York, 2001).

[8] M.M. Sysło, *Characterizations of Outer planar graphs*, Discrete Math. **26** (1979) 47–53.
doi:10.1016/0012-365X(79)90060-8

[9] A. Mallika and R. Kala, *Nilpotent graphs with crosscap at most two*, AKCE Int. J. Graphs Combin. **15** (2018) 229–237.
doi:10.1016/j.akcej.2017.11.006

[10] M. Yannakakis, *Embedding planar graphs in four pages*, J. Comput. Syst. Sci. **38** (1989) 36–67.
doi:10.1016/0022-0000(89)90032-9

[11] R. Blankenship and B. Oporowski, Drawing subdivisions of complete and complete bipartite graphs on books (Tech Rep. 1994-4, Department of Mathematics, Louisiana State University, 1999).

[12] T. McKenzie and S. Overbay, *Book thickness of toroidal zero-divisor graphs*, Africa Matematika **28** (2017) 823–830.
doi:10.35834/mjms/1449161362

[13] T. Endo, *The page number of toroidal graph is at most seven*, Discrete Math. **175** (1997) 87–96.
doi:10.1016/S0012-365X(96)00144-6

[14] A.T. White, Graphs, Groups and Surfaces (North-Holland-Amsterdam, 1984).