Discussiones Mathematicae General Algebra and Applications 35 (2015) 5–19 doi:10.7151/dmgaa.1233

### PSEUDO-BCH-ALGEBRAS

### Andrzej Walendziak

Institute of Mathematics and Physics Siedlee University 3 Maja 54, 08–110 Siedlee, Poland e-mail: walent@interia.pl

#### Abstract

The notion of pseudo-BCH-algebras is introduced, and some of their properties are investigated. Conditions for a pseudo-BCH-algebra to be a pseudo-BCI-algebra are given. Ideals and minimal elements in pseudo-BCH-algebras are considered.

**Keywords:** (pseudo-)BCK/BCI/BCH-algebra, minimal element, (closed) ideal, centre.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 03G25, 06F35.

# 1. Introduction

In 1966, Y. Imai and K. Iséki ([10, 11]) introduced BCK- and BCI-algebras. In 1983, Q.P. Hu and X. Li ([9]) introduced BCH-algebras. It is known that BCK- and BCI-algebras are contained in the class of BCH-algebras. J. Neggers and H.S. Kim ([16]) defined d-algebras which are a generalization of BCK-algebras.

In 2001, G. Georgescu and A. Iorgulescu ([8]) introduced the pseudo-BCK-algebras as an extension of BCK-algebras. In 2008, W.A. Dudek and Y.B. Jun ([3]) introduced pseudo-BCI-algebras as a natural generalization of BCI-algebras and of pseudo-BCK-algebras. These algebras have also connections with other algebras of logic such as pseudo-MV-algebras and pseudo-BL-algebras defined by G. Georgescu and A. Iorgulescu in [6] and [7], respectively. Those algebras were investigated by several authors in [4, 5, 14] and [15]. As a generalization of d-algebras, Y.B. Jun, H.S. Kim and J. Neggers ([13]) introduced pseudo-d-algebras. Recently, R.A. Borzooei et al. ([1]) defined pseudo-BE-algebras.

In this paper we introduce pseudo-BCH-algebras as an extension of BCH-algebras. We give basic properties of pseudo-BCH-algebras and provide some

conditions for a pseudo-BCH-algebra to be a pseudo-BCI-algebra. Moreover we study the set  $\text{Cen}\mathfrak{X}$  of all minimal elements of a pseudo-BCH-algebra  $\mathfrak{X}$ , the so-called centre of  $\mathfrak{X}$ . We also consider ideals in pseudo-BCH-algebras and establish a relationship between the ideals of a pseudo-BCH-algebra and the ideals of its centre. Finally we show that the centre of a pseudo-BCH-algebra  $\mathfrak{X}$  defines a regular congruence on  $\mathfrak{X}$ .

### 2. Definition and examples of pseudo-BCH-algebras

We recall that an algebra  $\mathfrak{X} = (X; *, 0)$  of type (2, 0) is called a *BCH-algebra* if it satisfies the following axioms:

```
(BCH-1) x * x = 0;

(BCH-2) (x * y) * z = (x * z) * y;

(BCH-3) x * y = y * x = 0 \Longrightarrow x = y.
```

A BCH-algebra  $\mathfrak{X}$  is said to be a BCI-algebra if it satisfies the identity

(BCI) 
$$((x*y)*(x*z))*(z*y) = 0.$$

A BCK-algebra is a BCI-algebra  $\mathfrak{X}$  satisfying the law 0 \* x = 0.

**Definition 2.1** ([3]). A pseudo-BCI-algebra is a structure  $\mathfrak{X} = (X; \leq, *, \diamond, 0)$ , where " $\leq$ " is a binary relation on the set X, "\*" and " $\diamond$ " are binary operations on X and "0" is an element of X, satisfying the axioms:

```
 \begin{array}{ll} (\mathrm{pBCI-1}) & (x*y) \diamond (x*z) \leq z*y, \quad (x \diamond y) * (x \diamond z) \leq z \diamond y; \\ (\mathrm{pBCI-2}) & x*(x \diamond y) \leq y, \quad x \diamond (x*y) \leq y; \\ (\mathrm{pBCI-3}) & x \leq x; \\ (\mathrm{pBCI-4}) & x \leq y, \ y \leq x \Longrightarrow x = y; \\ (\mathrm{pBCI-5}) & x \leq y \Longleftrightarrow x*y = 0 \Longleftrightarrow x \diamond y = 0. \end{array}
```

A pseudo-BCI-algebra  $\mathfrak X$  is called a *pseudo-BCK-algebra* if it satisfies the identities

(pBCK) 
$$0 * x = 0 \diamond x = 0$$
.

**Definition 2.2.** A pseudo-BCH-algebra is an algebra  $\mathfrak{X} = (X; *, \diamond, 0)$  of type (2, 2, 0) satisfying the axioms:

```
\begin{array}{ll} \text{(pBCH-1)} & x*x = x \diamond x = 0; \\ \text{(pBCH-2)} & (x*y) \diamond z = (x \diamond z) * y; \\ \text{(pBCH-3)} & x*y = y \diamond x = 0 \Longrightarrow x = y; \\ \text{(pBCH-4)} & x*y = 0 \Longleftrightarrow x \diamond y = 0. \end{array}
```

**Remark 2.3.** Observe that if (X; \*, 0) is a BCH-algebra, then letting  $x \diamond y := x * y$ , produces a pseudo-BCH-algebra  $(X; *, \diamond, 0)$ . Therefore, every BCH-algebra is a pseudo-BCH-algebra in a natural way. It is easy to see that if  $(X; *, \diamond, 0)$  is a pseudo-BCH-algebra, then  $(X; \diamond, *, 0)$  is also a pseudo-BCH-algebra. From Proposition 3.2 of [3] we conclude that if  $(X; \leq, *, \diamond, 0)$  is a pseudo-BCI-algebra, then  $(X; *, \diamond, 0)$  is a pseudo-BCH-algebra.

We say that a pseudo-BCH-algebra  $\mathfrak X$  is *proper* if  $* \neq \diamond$  and it is not a pseudo-BCI-algebra.

**Remark 2.4.** The class of all pseudo-BCH-algebras is a quasi-variety. Therefore, if  $\mathfrak{X}_1$  and  $\mathfrak{X}_2$  are two pseudo-BCH-algebras, then the direct product  $\mathfrak{X} = \mathfrak{X}_1 \times \mathfrak{X}_2$  is also a pseudo-BCH-algebra. In the case when at least one of  $\mathfrak{X}_1$  and  $\mathfrak{X}_2$  is proper, then  $\mathfrak{X}$  is proper.

**Example 2.5.** Let  $X_1 = \{0, a, b, c\}$ . We define the binary operations  $*_1$  and  $\diamond_1$  on  $X_1$  as follows:

*1	0	a	b	c		$\diamond_1$	0	a	b	c
0	0	0	0	0	•	0	0	0	0	0
a	a	0	a	0	and		a			
b	b	b	0	0		b	b	b	0	0
c	c	b	c	0		c	c	c	a	0

It is easy to check that  $\mathfrak{X}_1 = (X_1; *_1, \diamond_1, 0)$  is a pseudo-BCH-algebra. On the set  $X_2 = \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$  consider the operation  $*_2$  given by the following table:

*2	0	1	2	3
0	0	0	0	0
1	1	0	0	1
2	2	2	0	0
3	3	3	3	0

By simple calculation we can get that  $\mathfrak{X}_2 = (X_2; *_2, *_2, 0)$  is a (pseudo)-BCH-algebra. The direct product  $\mathfrak{X} = \mathfrak{X}_1 \times \mathfrak{X}_2$  is a pseudo-BCH-algebra. Observe that  $\mathfrak{X}$  is proper. Let  $x = (a,1), \ y = (a,3)$  and z = (a,2). Then  $(x*y) \diamond (x*z) = (0,1) \diamond (0,0) = (0,1)$  and z\*y = (0,0). Since  $(0,1) \nleq (0,0)$ , we conclude that  $\mathfrak{X}$  is not a pseudo-BCI-algebra, and therefore it is a proper pseudo-BCH-algebra.

**Proposition 2.6.** Any (proper) pseudo-BCH-algebra satisfying (pBCK) can be extended to a (proper) pseudo-BCH-algebra containing one element more.

**Proof.** Let  $\mathfrak{X} = (X; *, \diamond, 0)$  be a pseudo-BCH-algebra satisfying (pBCK) and let  $\delta \notin X$ . On the set  $Y = X \cup \{\delta\}$  consider the operations:

$$x *' y = \begin{cases} x * y & \text{if} \quad x, y \in X, \\ \delta & \text{if} \quad x = \delta \text{ and } y \in X, \\ 0 & \text{if} \quad x \in Y \text{ and } y = \delta, \end{cases}$$

and

$$x \diamond' y = \begin{cases} x \diamond y & \text{if} \quad x, y \in X, \\ \delta & \text{if} \quad x = \delta \text{ and } y \in X, \\ 0 & \text{if} \quad x \in Y \text{ and } y = \delta. \end{cases}$$

Obviously,  $(Y; *', \diamond', 0)$  satisfies the axioms (pBCH-1), (pBCH-3), and (pBCH-4). Further, the axiom (pBCH-2) is easily satisfied for all  $x, y, z \in X$ . Moreover, by routine calculation we can verify it in the case when at least one of x, y, z is equal to  $\delta$ . Thus, by definition,  $(Y; *', \diamond', 0)$  is a pseudo-BCH-algebra. Clearly, if  $\mathfrak{X}$  is a proper pseudo-BCH-algebra, then  $(Y; *', \diamond', 0)$  is also a proper pseudo-BCH-algebra.

From Example 2.5 and Proposition 2.6 we conclude that there are infinite many proper pseudo-BCH-algebras.

# 3. Properties of Pseudo-BCH-algebras

Let  $\mathfrak{X} = (X; *, \diamond, 0)$  be a pseudo-BCH-algebra. Define the relation  $\leq$  on X by  $x \leq y$  if and only if x \* y = 0 (or equivalently,  $x \diamond y = 0$ ).

For any  $x \in X$  and n = 0, 1, 2, ..., we put

$$0 *^{0} x = 0$$
 and  $0 *^{n+1} x = (0 *^{n} x) * x;$   
 $0 \diamond^{0} x = 0$  and  $0 \diamond^{n+1} x = (0 \diamond^{n} x) \diamond x.$ 

**Proposition 3.1.** In a pseudo-BCH-algebra  $\mathfrak{X}$  the following properties hold (for all  $x, y, z \in X$ ):

- (P1)  $x \le y, y \le x \Longrightarrow x = y;$
- (P2)  $x \le 0 \Longrightarrow x = 0$ ;
- (P3)  $x * (x \diamond y) \leq y$ ,  $x \diamond (x * y) \leq y$ ;
- (P4)  $x * 0 = x = x \diamond 0$ ;
- $(P5) \ 0 * x = 0 \diamond x;$
- (P6)  $x \le y \Longrightarrow 0 * x = 0 \diamond y$ ;
- (P7)  $0 \diamond (0 * (0 \diamond x)) = 0 \diamond x$ ,  $0 * (0 \diamond (0 * x)) = 0 * x$ ;
- (P8)  $0*(x*y) = (0 \diamond x) \diamond (0*y);$
- $(P9) \ 0 \diamond (x \diamond y) = (0 * x) * (0 \diamond y).$

**Proof.** (P1) follows from (pBCH-3).

(P2) Let  $x \le 0$ . Then x \* 0 = 0. Applying (pBCH-2) and (pBCH-1) we obtain

$$0 \diamond x = (x * 0) \diamond x = (x \diamond x) * 0 = 0 * 0 = 0,$$

that is,  $0 \le x$ . Therefore x = 0 by (P1).

(P3) Using (pBCH-2) and (pBCH-1) we have  $(x*(x\diamond y))\diamond y=(x\diamond y)*(x\diamond y)=0$ . Hence  $x*(x\diamond y)\leq y$ . Similarly,  $x\diamond (x*y)\leq y$ .

(P4) Putting y=0 in (P3), we have  $x*(x\diamond 0)\leq 0$  and  $x\diamond (x*0)\leq 0$ . From (P2) we obtain  $x*(x\diamond 0)=0$  and  $x\diamond (x*0)=0$ . Thus  $x\leq x\diamond 0$  and  $x\leq x*0$ .

On the other hand,  $(x \diamond 0) * x = (x * x) \diamond 0 = 0 \diamond 0 = 0$  and  $(x * 0) \diamond x = (x \diamond x) * 0 = 0 * 0 = 0$ , and so  $x \diamond 0 \leq x$  and  $x * 0 \leq x$ . By (P1),  $x * 0 = x = x \diamond 0$ .

(P5) Applying (pBCH-1) and (pBCH-2) we get  $0*x = (x \diamond x)*x = (x*x) \diamond x = 0 \diamond x$ .

- (P6) Let  $x \leq y$ . Then  $x \diamond y = 0$  and therefore  $0 * x = (x \diamond y) * x = (x * x) \diamond y = 0 \diamond y$ .
- (P7) From (P3) it follows that  $0*(0\diamond x) \leq x$  and  $0\diamond (0*x) \leq x$ . Hence, using (P5) and (P6) we obtain (P7).
  - (P8) Applying (pBCH-1) and (pBCH-2) we have

$$(0 \diamond x) \diamond (0 * y) = (((x * y) * (x * y)) \diamond x) \diamond (0 * y)$$

$$= (((x * y) \diamond x) * (x * y)) \diamond (0 * y)$$

$$= (((x \diamond x) * y) * (x * y)) \diamond (0 * y)$$

$$= ((0 * y) * (x * y)) \diamond (0 * y)$$

$$= ((0 * y) \diamond (0 * y)) * (x * y)$$

$$= 0 * (x * y).$$

(P9) The proof is similar to the proof of (P8).

From (P1) and (P3) we get

Corollary 3.2. Every pseudo-BCH-algebra satisfies (pBCI-2)-(pBCI-5).

**Remark 3.3.** In any pseudo-BCI-algebra the relation  $\leq$  is transitive (see [3], Proposition 3.2). However, in the pseudo-BCH-algebra  $\mathfrak{X}$  from Example 2.5 we have  $(a,1) \leq (a,2)$  and  $(a,2) \leq (a,3)$  but  $(a,1) \nleq (a,3)$ .

**Theorem 3.4.** Let  $\mathfrak{X}$  be a pseudo-BCH-algebra. Then  $\mathfrak{X}$  is a pseudo-BCI-algebra if and only if it satisfies the following implication:

$$(3.1) x \le y \Longrightarrow x * z \le y * z, \ x \diamond z \le y \diamond z.$$

**Proof.** If  $\mathfrak{X}$  is a pseudo-BCI-algebra, then  $\mathfrak{X}$  satisfies (3.1) by Proposition 3.2 (b7) of [3]. Conversely, let (3.1) hold in  $\mathfrak{X}$  and let  $x, y, z \in X$ . By (P3),  $x \diamond (x * z) \leq z$  and  $x * (x \diamond z) \leq z$ . Hence  $(x \diamond (x * z)) * y \leq z * y$  and  $(x * (x \diamond z)) \diamond y \leq z \diamond y$ , and so  $(x * y) \diamond (x * z) \leq z * y$  and  $(x \diamond y) * (x \diamond z) \leq z \diamond y$ . Therefore,  $\mathfrak{X}$  satisfies (pBCI-1). Consequently,  $\mathfrak{X}$  is a pseudo-BCI-algebra.

**Theorem 3.5.** Let  $\mathfrak{X}$  be a pseudo-BCH-algebra. The following statements are equivalent:

- (i) x \* (y \* z) = (x \* y) \* z for all  $x, y, z \in X$ ;
- (ii)  $0 * x = x = 0 \diamond x$  for every  $x \in X$ ;
- (iii)  $x * y = x \diamond y = y * x$  for all  $x, y \in X$ ;
- (iv)  $x \diamond (y \diamond z) = (x \diamond y) \diamond z$  for all  $x, y, z \in X$ .

**Proof.** (i)  $\Longrightarrow$  (ii). Let  $x \in X$ . We have x = x\*0 = x\*(x\*x) = (x\*x)\*x = 0\*x. By (P5),  $0 \diamond x = x$ .

- $(iv) \Longrightarrow (ii)$ . The proof is similar to the above proof.
- (ii)  $\Longrightarrow$  (iii). Let (ii) hold and  $x,y\in X.$  Applying (P8) and (pBCH-2) we obtain

$$x * y = 0 * (x * y) = (0 \diamond x) \diamond (0 * y)$$
$$= x \diamond y$$
$$= (0 * x) \diamond y = (0 \diamond y) * x = y * x.$$

(iii)  $\Longrightarrow$  (i). Let  $x, y, z \in X$ . Using (iii) and (pBCH-2) we get

$$x*(y*z)=(y\diamond z)*x=(y*x)\diamond z=(x*y)*z.$$

(iii)  $\Longrightarrow$  (iv) has a proof similar to the proof of implication (iii)  $\Longrightarrow$  (i). Hence all the conditions are equivalent.

**Corollary 3.6.** If  $\mathfrak{X}$  is a pseudo-BCH-algebra satisfying the idendity 0 \* x = x, then (X; \*, 0) is an Abelian group each element of which has order 2 (that is, a Boolean group).

### 4. The centre of a pseudo-BCH-algebra. Ideals

An element a of a pseudo-BCH-algebra  $\mathfrak{X}$  is said to be minimal if for every  $x \in X$  the following implication

$$x \le a \Longrightarrow x = a$$

holds.

**Proposition 4.1.** Let  $\mathfrak{X}$  be a pseudo-BCH-algebra and let  $a \in X$ . Then the following conditions are equivalent (for every  $x \in X$ ):

- (i) a is minimal;
- (ii)  $x \diamond (x * a) = a$ ;
- (iii)  $0 \diamond (0 * a) = a$ ;
- (iv)  $a * x = (0 * x) \diamond (0 * a);$
- (v)  $a * x = 0 \diamond (x * a)$ .

**Proof.** (i)  $\Longrightarrow$  (ii). By (P2),  $x \diamond (x * a) \leq a$  for all  $x \in X$ . Since a is minimal, we get (ii).

- $(ii) \Longrightarrow (iii)$ . Obvious.
- (iii)  $\Longrightarrow$  (iv). We have  $a * x = (0 \diamond (0 * a)) * x = (0 * x) \diamond (0 * a)$ .
- (iv)  $\Longrightarrow$  (v). Applying (P5) and (P8) we see that

$$0 \diamond (x*a) = 0 * (x*a) = (0 \diamond x) \diamond (0*a) = (0*x) \diamond (0*a) = a*x.$$

(v)  $\Longrightarrow$  (i). Let  $x \le a$ . Then x \* a = 0 and hence  $a * x = 0 \diamond (x * a) = 0$ . Thus  $a \le x$ . Consequently, x = a.

Replacing \* by  $\diamond$  and  $\diamond$  by \* in Proposition 4.1 we obtain

**Proposition 4.2.** Let  $\mathfrak{X}$  be a pseudo-BCH-algebra and let  $a \in X$ . Then for every  $x \in X$  the following conditions are equivalent:

- (i) a is minimal;
- (ii)  $x * (x \diamond a) = a$ ;
- (iii)  $0 * (0 \diamond a) = a;$
- (iv)  $a \diamond x = (0 \diamond x) * (0 \diamond a);$
- (v)  $a \diamond x = 0 * (x \diamond a)$ .

**Proposition 4.3.** Let  $\mathfrak{X}$  be a pseudo-BCH-algebra and let  $a \in X$ . Then a is minimal if and only if there is an element  $x \in X$  such that a = 0 \* x.

**Proof.** Let a be a minimal element of  $\mathfrak{X}$ . By Proposition 4.2,  $a = 0 * (0 \diamond a)$ . If we set  $x = 0 \diamond a$ , then a = 0 \* x.

Conversely, suppose that a = 0 \* x for some  $x \in X$ . Using (P7) we get

$$0 * (0 \diamond a) = 0 * (0 \diamond (0 * x)) = 0 * x = a.$$

From Proposition 4.2 it follows that a is minimal.

For  $x \in X$ , set

$$\overline{x} = 0 \diamond (0 * x).$$

12 A. WALENDZIAK

By (P5), 
$$\overline{x} = 0 * (0 * x) = 0 \diamond (0 \diamond x) = 0 * (0 \diamond x)$$
.

**Proposition 4.4.** Let  $\mathfrak{X}$  be a pseudo-BCH-algebra. For any  $x, y \in X$  we have:

- (a)  $\overline{x*y} = \bar{x}*\bar{y}$ ;
- (b)  $\overline{x \diamond y} = \overline{x} \diamond \overline{y}$ ;
- (c)  $\bar{x} = \bar{x}$ .

**Proof.** (a) Applying (P8) and (P9) we get

$$\overline{x*y} = 0 \diamond (0*(x*y)) = 0 \diamond [(0 \diamond x) \diamond (0*y)]$$
$$= [0*(0 \diamond x)] * [0 \diamond (0*y)] = \overline{x} * \overline{y}.$$

- (b) has a proof similar to (a).
- (c) By (P7),  $0*(0\diamond(0*x)) = 0*x$ , that is,  $0*\overline{x} = 0*x$ . Hence  $\overline{\overline{x}} = 0\diamond(0*\overline{x}) = 0\diamond(0*x) = \overline{x}$ .

Following the terminology from BCH-algebras (see [2], Definition 5) the set  $\{x \in X : x = \overline{x}\}$  will be called the *centre* of  $\mathfrak{X}$ . We shall denote it by Cen $\mathfrak{X}$ . By Proposition 4.1, Cen $\mathfrak{X}$  is the set of all minimal elements of  $\mathfrak{X}$ . We have

(4.1) 
$$\operatorname{Cen}\mathfrak{X} = \{\overline{x} : x \in X\}.$$

Define  $\Phi: \mathfrak{X} \to \operatorname{Cen}\mathfrak{X}$  by  $\Phi(x) = \overline{x}$  for all  $x \in X$ . By Proposition 4.4,  $\Phi$  is a homomorphism from  $\mathfrak{X}$  onto  $\operatorname{Cen}\mathfrak{X}$ . We also obtain

**Proposition 4.5.** Let  $\mathfrak{X}$  be a pseudo-BCH-algebra. Then  $\operatorname{Cen}\mathfrak{X}$  is a subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{X}$ .

**Proposition 4.6.** Let  $\mathfrak{X}$  be a pseudo-BCH-algebra and let  $x, y \in \text{Cen}\mathfrak{X}$ . Then for every  $z \in X$  we have

$$(4.2) x \diamond (z * y) = y * (z \diamond x).$$

**Proof.** Let  $z \in X$ . Using Propositions 4.2 and 4.1 we obtain

$$x \diamond (z * y) = [z * (z \diamond x)] \diamond (z * y) = [z \diamond (z * y)] * (z \diamond x) = y * (z \diamond x),$$

that is, (4.2) holds.

Following [5], a pseudo-BCI-algebra  $(X; \leq, *, \diamond, 0)$  is said to be *p-semisimple* if it satisfies for all  $x \in X$ ,

$$0 \le x \Longrightarrow x = 0.$$

From Theorem 3.1 of [5] it follows that if  $\mathfrak{X} = (X; \leq, *, \diamond, 0)$  is a pseudo-BCI-algebra, then  $\mathfrak{X}$  is *p*-semisimple if and only if  $x = \overline{x}$  for every  $x \in X$  (that is, Cen $\mathfrak{X} = \mathfrak{X}$ ).

**Theorem 4.7.** Let  $\mathfrak{X}$  be a pseudo-BCH-algebra. Then  $\text{Cen}\mathfrak{X}$  is a p-semisimple pseudo-BCI-algebra.

**Proof.** Since Cen $\mathfrak{X}$  is a subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{X}$ , Cen $\mathfrak{X}$  is a pseudo-BCH-algebra. Let  $x,y,z\in \text{Cen}\mathfrak{X}$  and let  $x\leq y$ . Since x and y are minimal elements of  $\mathfrak{X}$ , we get x=y. Hence  $x*z\leq y*z$  and  $x\diamond z\leq y\diamond z$ . Then, by Theorem 3.4, Cen $\mathfrak{X}$  is a pseudo-BCI-algebra. Obviously,  $x=\overline{x}$  for every  $x\in \text{Cen}\mathfrak{X}$ , and therefore Cen $\mathfrak{X}$  is p-semisimple.

**Remark 4.8.** From Theorem 3.6 of [5] we deduce that  $(\text{Cen}\mathfrak{X}; +, 0)$  is a group, where x + y is  $x * (0 \diamond y)$  for all  $x, y \in \text{Cen}\mathfrak{X}$ .

**Definition 4.9.** Let X be a pseudo-BCH-algebra. A subset I of X is called an ideal of X if it satisfies for all  $x, y \in X$ 

- (I1)  $0 \in I$ ;
- (I2) if  $x * y \in I$  and  $y \in I$ , then  $x \in I$ .

We will denote by  $\mathrm{Id}(\mathfrak{X})$  the set of all ideals of  $\mathfrak{X}$ . Obviously,  $\{0\}, X \in \mathrm{Id}(\mathfrak{X})$ .

**Proposition 4.10.** Let  $\mathfrak{X}$  be a pseudo-BCH-algebra and let  $I \in \mathrm{Id}(\mathfrak{X})$ . For any  $x, y \in X$ , if  $y \in I$  and  $x \leq y$ , then  $x \in I$ .

**Proof.** Straightforward.

**Proposition 4.11.** Let  $\mathfrak{X}$  be a pseudo-BCH-algebra and I be a subset of X satisfying (I1). Then I is an ideal of  $\mathfrak{X}$  if and only if for all  $x, y \in X$ ,

(I2') if  $x \diamond y \in I$  and  $y \in I$ , then  $x \in I$ .

**Proof.** Let I be an ideal of  $\mathfrak{X}$ . Suppose that  $x \diamond y \in I$  and  $y \in I$ . By (P3),  $x * (x \diamond y) \leq y$  and from Proposition 4.10 it follows that  $x * (x \diamond y) \in I$ . Therefore, since  $x \diamond y \in I$  and I satisfies (I2), we obtain  $x \in I$ , that is, (I2') holds. The proof of the implication (I2')  $\Rightarrow$  (I2) is analogous.

**Example 4.12.** Let  $X = \{0, a, b, c, d\}$ . Define binary operations \* and  $\diamond$  on X by the following tables:

*	0	a	b	c	d	$\Diamond$	0	a	b	c	d
0	0	0	0	0	d	0	0	0	0	0	$\overline{d}$
a	a	0	a	0	d	a	a	0	a	0	d
b	b	b	0	0	d	b	b	b	0	0	d
c	c	b	c	0	d	c	c	c	a	0	d
d	d	d	d	d	0	d	d	d	d	d	0

By routine calculation,  $\mathfrak{X}=(X;*,\diamond,0)$  is a pseudo-BCH-algebra. It is easy to see that  $\mathrm{Id}(\mathfrak{X})=\{\{0\},\{0,a\},\{0,b\},\{0,a,b,c\},X\}.$ 

The following two propositions give the homomorphic properties of ideal.

**Proposition 4.13.** Let  $\mathfrak{X}$  and  $\mathfrak{Y}$  be pseudo-BCH-algebras. If  $\varphi : \mathfrak{X} \to \mathfrak{Y}$  is a homomorphism and  $J \in \mathrm{Id}(\mathfrak{Y})$ , then the inverse image  $\varphi^{-1}(J)$  of J is an ideal of  $\mathfrak{X}$ .

**Proof.** Straightforward.

**Proposition 4.14.** Let  $\varphi : \mathfrak{X} \to \mathfrak{Y}$  be a surjective homomorphism. If I is an ideal of  $\mathfrak{X}$  containing  $\varphi^{-1}(0)$ , then  $\varphi(I)$  is an ideal of  $\mathfrak{Y}$ .

**Proof.** Since  $0 \in I$ , we have  $0 = \varphi(0) \in \varphi(I)$ . Let  $x, y \in Y$  and suppose that  $x * y, y \in \varphi(I)$ . Then there are  $a \in X$  and  $b, c \in I$  such that  $x = \varphi(a), y = \varphi(b)$  and  $x * y = \varphi(c)$ . We have  $\varphi(a * b) = \varphi(c)$  and hence  $(a * b) * c \in \varphi^{-1}(0) \subseteq I$ . By the definition of an ideal,  $a \in I$ . Consequently,  $x = \varphi(a) \in \varphi(I)$ . This means that  $\varphi(I)$  is an ideal of  $\mathfrak{Y}$ .

**Definition 4.15.** An ideal I of a pseudo-BCH-algebra  $\mathfrak{X}$  is said to be *closed* if  $0 * x \in I$  for every  $x \in I$ .

**Theorem 4.16.** An ideal I of a pseudo-BCH-algebra  $\mathfrak{X}$  is closed if and only if I is a subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{X}$ .

**Proof.** Suppose that I is a closed ideal of  $\mathfrak{X}$  and let  $x, y \in I$ . By (pBCH-2) and (pBCH-1),

$$[(x*y)*(0*y)] \diamond x = [(x*y) \diamond x] * (0*y)$$
$$= [(x \diamond x) * y] * (0*y)$$
$$= (0*y) * (0*y) = 0.$$

Hence  $[(x*y)*(0*y)] \diamond x \in I$ . Since  $x, 0*y \in I$ , we have  $x*y \in I$ . Similarly,  $x \diamond y \in I$ . Conversely, if I is a subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{X}$ , then  $x \in I$  and  $0 \in I$  imply  $0*x \in I$ .

**Theorem 4.17.** Every ideal of a finite pseudo-BCH-algebra is closed.

**Proof.** Let I be an ideal of a finite pseudo-BCH-algebra  $\mathfrak{X}$  and let  $a \in I$ . Suppose that |X| = n for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . At least two of the n+1 elements:

$$0, 0 * a, 0 *^2 a, \dots, 0 *^n a$$

are equal, for instance,  $0 *^r a = 0 *^s a$ , where  $0 \le s < r \le n$ . Hence

$$0 = (0 *^{r} a) \diamond (0 *^{s} a) = [(0 *^{s} a) \diamond (0 *^{s} a)] *^{r-s} a = 0 *^{r-s} a.$$

Therefore  $0 *^{r-s} a \in I$ . Since  $a \in I$ , by definition,  $0 * a \in I$ . Consequently, I is a closed ideal of  $\mathfrak{X}$ .

For any pseudo-BCH-algebra  $\mathfrak{X}$ , we set

$$K(\mathfrak{X}) = \{ x \in X : 0 < x \}.$$

Observe that  $\operatorname{Cen}\mathfrak{X} \cap \operatorname{K}(\mathfrak{X}) = \{0\}$ . Indeed,  $0 \in \operatorname{Cen}\mathfrak{X} \cap \operatorname{K}(\mathfrak{X})$  and if  $x \in \operatorname{Cen}\mathfrak{X} \cap \operatorname{K}(\mathfrak{X})$ , then  $x = 0 \diamond (0 * x) = 0 \diamond 0 = 0$ .

In Example 4.12, Cen $\mathfrak{X} = \{0, d\}$  and  $K(\mathfrak{X}) = \{0, a, b, c\}$ .

It is easy to see that

$$x \in K(\mathfrak{X}) \iff \overline{x} = 0 \iff x \in \Phi^{-1}(0).$$

Thus

(4.3) 
$$K(\mathfrak{X}) = \Phi^{-1}(0).$$

**Proposition 4.18.** Let  $\mathfrak{X}$  be a pseudo-BCH-algebra. Then  $K(\mathfrak{X})$  is a closed ideal of  $\mathfrak{X}$ .

**Proof.** By (4.3) and Proposition 4.13,  $K(\mathfrak{X})$  is an ideal of  $\mathfrak{X}$ . Let  $x \in K(\mathfrak{X})$ . Then  $\overline{x} = 0$  and hence  $\Phi(0 * x) = 0 * \overline{x} = 0$ . Consequently,  $0 * x \in K(\mathfrak{X})$ . Thus  $K(\mathfrak{X})$  is a closed ideal.

**Corollary 4.19.** For any pseudo-BCH-algebra  $\mathfrak{X}$  the set  $K(\mathfrak{X})$  is a subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{X}$ , and so it is a pseudo-BCH-algebra.

**Proposition 4.20.** Let  $\mathfrak{X}$  and  $\mathfrak{Y}$  be pseudo-BCH-algebras. Then:

- (a)  $\operatorname{Cen}(\mathfrak{X} \times \mathfrak{Y}) = \operatorname{Cen}(\mathfrak{X}) \times \operatorname{Cen}(\mathfrak{Y});$
- (b)  $K(\mathfrak{X} \times \mathfrak{Y}) = K(\mathfrak{X}) \times K(\mathfrak{Y})$ .

**Proof.** This is immediate from definitions.

For any element a of a pseudo-BCH-algebra  $\mathfrak{X}$ , we define a subset V(a) of X as

$$V(a) = \{x \in X : a \le x\}.$$

Note that  $V(a) \neq \emptyset$ , because  $a \leq a$  gives  $a \in V(a)$ . Furthermore,  $V(0) = K(\mathfrak{X})$ .

**Proposition 4.21.** Let  $\mathfrak{X}$  be a pseudo-BCH-algebra. Then for each  $x \in X$  there exists a unique element  $a \in \text{Cen}\mathfrak{X}$  such that  $a \leq x$ .

**Proof.** Let  $x \in X$ . Take  $a = \overline{x}$ , that is,  $a = 0 \diamond (0 * x)$ . By (P3),  $a \leq x$ . From (4.1) it follows that  $a \in \text{Cen}\mathfrak{X}$ . To prove uniqueness, let  $b \in \text{Cen}\mathfrak{X}$  be such that  $b \leq x$ . Then  $b \diamond x = 0$ . Therefore,

$$0 * b = (b \diamond x) * b = (b * b) \diamond x = 0 \diamond x = 0 * x$$

and hence  $b = \overline{b} = 0 \diamond (0 * b) = 0 \diamond (0 * x) = \overline{x} = a$ .

**Lemma 4.22.** Let  $\mathfrak{X}$  be a pseudo-BCH-algebra and  $a \in \text{Cen}\mathfrak{X}$ . Then

$$V(a) = \Phi^{-1}(a).$$

**Proof.** Suppose that  $x \in V(a)$ , that is,  $a \le x$ . We have  $\overline{x} \le x$ . Since  $a, \overline{x} \in \text{Cen}\mathfrak{X}$ , by Proposition 4.21,  $a = \overline{x}$ , that is,  $x \in \Phi^{-1}(a)$ . Conversely, if  $a = \overline{x}$ , then  $a \le x$  by (P3). Hence  $x \in V(a)$ .

**Proposition 4.23.** Let  $\mathfrak{X}$  be a pseudo-BCH-algebra. Then:

- (a)  $X = \bigcup_{a \in \text{Cen} \mathfrak{X}} V(a);$
- (b) if  $a, b \in \text{Cen}\mathfrak{X}$  and  $a \neq b$ , then  $V(a) \cap V(b) = \emptyset$ .

**Proof.** (a) Clearly,  $\bigcup_{a \in \text{Cen}\mathfrak{X}} V(a) \subseteq X$  and let  $x \in X$ . Obviously,  $x \in V(\overline{x})$  and  $\overline{x} \in \text{Cen}\mathfrak{X}$ . Therefore,  $x \in \bigcup_{a \in \text{Cen}\mathfrak{X}} V(a)$ .

(b) Let  $a, b \in \text{Cen}(\mathfrak{X})$  and  $a \neq b$ . On the contrary suppose that  $V(a) \cap V(b) \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $x \in V(a) \cap V(b)$ . Then  $a \leq x$  and  $b \leq x$ . From Proposition 4.21 it follows that a = b, a contradition.

We now establish a relationship between the ideals of a pseudo-BCH-algebra and the ideals of its centre.

**Proposition 4.24.** Let  $\mathfrak{X}$  be a pseudo-BCH-algebra and let  $A \subseteq \operatorname{Cen} \mathfrak{X}$ . The following statements are equivalent:

- (i) A is an ideal of  $Cen \mathfrak{X}$ ;
- (ii)  $\bigcup_{a \in A} V(a)$  is an ideal of  $\mathfrak{X}$ .

**Proof.** Let  $I = \bigcup_{a \in A} V(a)$ . From Lemma 4.22 we have  $I = \bigcup_{a \in A} \Phi^{-1}(a) = \Phi^{-1}(A)$ .

- (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii). Let  $A \in Id(Cen\mathfrak{X})$ . By Proposition 4.13, I is an ideal of  $\mathfrak{X}$ .
- (ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i). Since  $I = \Phi^{-1}(A)$ , we conclude that  $A = \Phi(I)$ . Obviously,  $0 \in A$  and hence  $\Phi^{-1}(0) \subseteq I$ . Applying Proposition 4.14 we deduce that A is an ideal of Cen $\mathfrak{X}$ .

**Theorem 4.25.** There is a one-to-one correspondence between ideals of a pseudo-BCH-algebra  $\mathfrak{X}$  containing  $K(\mathfrak{X})$  and ideals of Cen $\mathfrak{X}$ .

**Proof.** Set  $\mathcal{I} = \{I \in \mathrm{Id}(\mathfrak{X}) : I \supseteq \mathrm{K}(\mathfrak{X})\}$  and  $\mathcal{C} = \mathrm{Id}(\mathrm{Cen}\mathfrak{X})$ . We consider two functions:

$$f: I \in \mathcal{I} \to \{\overline{x}: x \in I\}$$
 and  $g: A \in \mathcal{C} \to \bigcup_{a \in A} V(a)$ .

Since  $f(I) = \Phi(I)$ , from Proposition 4.14 we conclude that f maps  $\mathcal{I}$  into  $\mathcal{C}$ . By Proposition 4.24,  $g(A) = \bigcup_{a \in A} V(a) \in \mathcal{I}$  for all  $A \in \mathcal{C}$ , and therefore g maps  $\mathcal{C}$  into  $\mathcal{I}$ . We have

$$(4.4) (f \circ g)(A) = \Phi(\Phi^{-1}(A)) = A \text{for all} A \in \mathcal{C}.$$

Obviously,  $I \subseteq \Phi^{-1}(\Phi(I))$ . Let now  $x \in \Phi^{-1}(\Phi(I))$ , that is,  $\overline{x} = \overline{a}$  for some  $a \in I$ . Then  $\Phi(x * a) = 0$ , and hence  $x * a \in \Phi^{-1}(0)$ . Therefore,  $x * a \in I$  (since  $\Phi^{-1}(0) = K(\mathfrak{X}) \subseteq I$ ). By definition,  $x \in I$ . Thus  $\Phi^{-1}(\Phi(I)) = I$ . Consequently,

$$(4.5) (g \circ f)(I) = \Phi^{-1}(\Phi(I)) = I \text{for all} I \in \mathcal{I}.$$

We conclude from (4.4) and (4.5) that  $f \circ g = \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{C}}$  and  $g \circ f = \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{I}}$ , hence that f and g are inverse bijections between  $\mathcal{I}$  and  $\mathcal{C}$ .

**Example 4.26.** Let  $\mathfrak{X}_1 = (\{0, a, b, c\}; *_1, \diamond_1, 0)$  be the pseudo-BCH-algebra from our Example 2.5. Consider the set  $X_2 = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$  with the operation  $*_2$  defined by the following table:

*2	0	1	2	3	4
0	0	0	4	3	2
1	1	0	4	3	2
2	2	0 0 2 3 4	0	4	3
3	3	3	2	0	4
4	4	4	3	2	0

From Example 3 of [17] it follows that  $\mathfrak{X}_2 = (X_2; *_2, *_2, 0)$  is a (pseudo)-BCH-algebra. The direct product  $\mathfrak{X} = \mathfrak{X}_1 \times \mathfrak{X}_2$  is a pseudo-BCH-algebra. From Proposition 4.20 we have  $\operatorname{Cen}\mathfrak{X} = \{0\} \times \{0,2,3,4\}$  and  $\operatorname{K}(\mathfrak{X}) = X_1 \times \{0,1\}$ . It is easy to see that  $\operatorname{Id}(\operatorname{Cen}\mathfrak{X}) = \{\{(0,0)\}, \{(0,0),(0,3)\}, \operatorname{Cen}\mathfrak{X}\}$ . Then, by Theorem 4.25,  $\mathfrak{X}$  has three ideals containing  $\operatorname{K}(\mathfrak{X})$ , namely:  $\operatorname{K}(\mathfrak{X})$ ,  $\operatorname{K}(\mathfrak{X}) \cup \{(0,3),(a,3),(b,3),(c,3)\}$  and  $\mathfrak{X}$ .

Now we shall show that the centre  $\operatorname{Cen}\mathfrak{X}$  defines a regular congruence on a pseudo-BCH-algebra  $\mathfrak{X}$ . Let  $\operatorname{Con}\mathfrak{X}$  denote the set of all congruences on  $\mathfrak{X}$  and let

18 A. WALENDZIAK

 $\theta \in \text{Con}\mathfrak{X}$ . For  $x \in X$ , we write  $x/\theta$  for the congruence class containing x, that is,  $x/\theta = \{y \in X : y \theta x\}$ . Set  $X/\theta = \{x/\theta : x \in X\}$ . It is easy to see that the factor algebra  $\mathfrak{X}/\theta = \langle X/\theta; *, \diamond, 0/\theta \rangle$  satisfies (pBCH-1) and (pBCH-2). The axioms (pBCH-3) and (pBCH-4) are not necessarity satisfied. If  $\mathfrak{X}/\theta$  is a pseudo-BCH-algebra, then we say that  $\theta$  is regular.

**Remark 4.27.** A. Wroński has shown that non-regular congruences exist in BCK-algebras (see [18]) and hence in pseudo-BCH-algebras.

**Theorem 4.28.** Let  $\mathfrak{X}$  be a pseudo-BCH-algebra and let  $\theta_c = \{(x,y) \in X^2 : \overline{x} = \overline{y}\}$ . Then  $\theta_c$  is a regular congruence on  $\mathfrak{X}$  and  $\mathfrak{X}/\theta_c \cong \operatorname{Cen}\mathfrak{X}$ .

**Proof.** The mapping  $\Phi$  is a homomorphism from  $\mathfrak X$  onto Cen $\mathfrak X$ . Moreover we have

$$Ker\Phi = \{(x, y) \in X^2 : \Phi(x) = \Phi(y)\} = \theta_c.$$

By the Isomorphism Theorem we get  $\mathfrak{X}/\theta_c \cong \operatorname{Cen}\mathfrak{X}$ , and therefore  $\theta_c$  is a regular congruence on  $\mathfrak{X}$ .

# Acknowledgments

The author is indebted to the referee for his/her very careful reading and suggestions.

## REFERENCES

- R.A. Borzooei, A.B. Saeid, A. Rezaei, A. Radfar and R. Ameri, On pseudo-BE-algebras, Discuss. Math. General Algebra and Appl. 33 (2013) 95–97. doi:10.7151/dmgaa.1193
- [2] M.A. Chaudhry, On BCH-algebras, Math. Japonica 36 (1991) 665–676.
- [3] W.A. Dudek and Y.B. Jun, Pseudo-BCI-algebras, East Asian Math. J. 24 (2008) 187–190.
- [4] G. Dymek, Atoms and ideals of pseudo-BCI-algebras, Comment. Math. **52** (2012) 73–90
- [5] G. Dymek, p-semisimple pseudo-BCI-algebras, J. Mult.-Valued Logic Soft Comput. 19 (2012) 461–474.
- [6] G. Georgescu and A. Iorgulescu, Pseudo-MV algebras: a noncommutative extension of MV algebras, in: The Proc. of the Fourth International Symp. on Economic Informatics (Bucharest, Romania, May 1999) 961–968.
- [7] G. Georgescu and A. Iorgulescu, *Pseudo-BL algebras: a noncommutative extension of BL algebras*, in: Abstracts of the Fifth International Conference FSTA 2000 (Slovakia, February, 2000) 90–92.

- [8] G. Georgescu and A. Iorgulescu, Pseudo-BCK algebras: an extension of BCK algebras, in: Proc. of DMTCS'01: Combinatorics, Computability and Logic (Springer, London, 2001) 97–114.
- [9] Q.P. Hu and X. Li, On BCH-algebras, Math. Seminar Notes 11 (1983) 313–320.
- [10] Y. Imai and K. Iséki, On axiom systems of propositional calculi XIV, Proc. Japan Academy 42 (1966) 19–22.
- [11] K. Iséki, An algebra related with a propositional culculus, Proc. Japan Academy **42** (1966) 26–29.
- [12] Y.B. Jun, H.S. Kim and J. Neggers, On pseudo-BCI ideals of pseudo-BCI-algebras, Matem. Vesnik 58 (2006) 39–46.
- [13] Y.B. Jun, H.S. Kim and J. Neggers, *Pseudo-d-algebras*, Information Sciences **179** (2009) 1751–1759. doi:10.1016/j.ins.2009.01.021
- [14] Y.H. Kim and K.S. So, *On minimality in pseudo-BCI-algebras*, Commun. Korean Math. Soc. **27** (2012) 7–13. doi:10.4134/CKMS.2012.27.1.007
- [15] K.J. Lee and Ch.H. Park, Some ideals of pseudo-BCI-algebras, J. Appl. & Informatics 27 (2009) 217–231.
- [16] J. Neggers and H.S. Kim, On d-algebras, Math. Slovaca 49 (1999) 19–26.
- [17] A.B. Saeid and A. Namdar, On n-fold ideals in BCH-algebras and computation algorithms, World Applied Sciences Journal 7 (2009) 64–69.
- [18] A. Wroński, BCK-algebras do not form a variety, Math. Japon. 28 (1983) 211–213.

Received 10 July 2013 Revised 13 November 2014