

SOME RESULTS IN BIPOLAR-VALUED FUZZY ORDERED \mathcal{AG} -GROUPOIDS

FAISAL

Department of Mathematics
COMSATS Institute of Information Technology, Attock, Pakistan

e-mail: yousafzaimath@yahoo.com

NAVEED YAQOUB

Department of Mathematics
Quaid-i-Azam University, Islamabad, Pakistan

e-mail: nayaqoob@ymail.com

AND

ARSHAM BORUMAND SAEID

Department of Mathematics
Shahid Bahonar University of Kerman, Kerman, Iran

e-mail: arsham@mail.uk.ac.ir

Abstract

In this paper, we introduce the concept of bipolar-valued fuzzification of ordered \mathcal{AG} -groupoids and discuss some structural properties of bipolar-valued fuzzy two-sided ideals of an intra-regular ordered \mathcal{AG} -groupoid.

Keywords: Ordered \mathcal{AG} -groupoid, intra-regular ordered \mathcal{AG} -groupoid, bipolar-valued fuzzy two-sided ideal, (strong) negative s-cut, (strong) positive t-cut.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 20M10, 20N99.

1. INTRODUCTION

A fuzzy subset f of a set S is an arbitrary mapping $f : S \rightarrow [0, 1]$, where $[0, 1]$ is the unit segment of a real line. This fundamental concept of fuzzy set was given by Zadeh [25] in 1965. Fuzzy groups have been first considered by Rosenfeld [17]

and fuzzy semigroups by Kuroki [11]. Yaqoob and others [20] applied rough set theory and fuzzy set theory to ordered ternary semigroups.

There are many kinds of extensions in the fuzzy set theory, like intuitionistic fuzzy sets, interval-valued fuzzy sets, vague sets, etc. Bipolar-valued fuzzy set is another extension of fuzzy set theory. Lee [12] introduced the notion of bipolar-valued fuzzy sets. Bipolar-valued fuzzy sets are an extension of fuzzy sets whose membership degree range is enlarged from the interval $[0, 1]$ to $[-1, 1]$. In a bipolar-valued fuzzy set, the membership degree 0 indicate that elements are irrelevant to the corresponding property, the membership degrees on $(0, 1]$ assign that elements somewhat satisfy the property, and the membership degrees on $[-1, 0)$ assign that elements somewhat satisfy the implicit counter-property. The concept of bipolar-valued fuzzification in an LA-semigroup was first introduced by Yaqoob [21]. Also Abdullah [1, 2, 3], Faisal [5, 6, 10], Yaqoob [22, 23, 24] and others added many results to the theory of fuzzy LA-semigroups (\mathcal{AG} -groupoid). In [4], Borumand Saeid introduced the concept of bipolar-valued fuzzy BCK/BCI-algebras.

The concept of an Abel-Grassmann's groupoid (\mathcal{AG} -groupoid) [8] was first studied by Kazim and Naseeruddin in 1972 and they called it left almost semi-group (LA-semigroup). Holgate called it left invertive groupoid [7]. An \mathcal{AG} -groupoid is a groupoid having the left invertive law

$$(1) \quad (ab)c = (cb)a,$$

for all $a, b, c \in S$. In an \mathcal{AG} -groupoid, the medial law [8] holds

$$(2) \quad (ab)(cd) = (ac)(bd),$$

for all $a, b, c, d \in S$. In an \mathcal{AG} -groupoid S with left identity, the paramedial law [14] holds

$$(3) \quad (ab)(cd) = (dc)(ba),$$

for all $a, b, c, d \in S$. If an \mathcal{AG} -groupoid contain a left identity, then by using medial law, the following law [14] holds

$$(4) \quad a(bc) = b(ac),$$

for all $a, b, c \in S$. An \mathcal{AG} -groupoid is a non-associative and non-commutative algebraic structure mid way between a groupoid and a commutative semigroup, nevertheless, it posses many interesting properties which we usually find in associative and commutative algebraic structures. The left identity in an \mathcal{AG} -groupoid if exists is unique [14]. The connection of a commutative inverse semigroup with an \mathcal{AG} -groupoid has been given in [15] as, a commutative inverse semigroup

(S, \circ) becomes an \mathcal{AG} -groupoid (S, \cdot) under $a \cdot b = b \circ a^{-1}$, for all $a, b \in S$. An \mathcal{AG} -groupoid S with left identity becomes a semigroup (S, \circ) defined as, for all $x, y \in S$, there exists $a \in S$ such that $x \circ y = (xa)y$ [18]. An \mathcal{AG} -groupoid is the generalization of a semigroup theory and has vast applications in collaboration with semigroup like other branches of mathematics. An \mathcal{AG} -groupoid has wide range of applications in theory of flocks [16].

The concept of an ordered \mathcal{AG} -groupoid was first given by Khan and Faisal in [9] which is infect the generalization of an ordered semigroup.

2. PRELIMINARIES AND BASIC DEFINITIONS

Throughout the paper S will be considered as an ordered \mathcal{AG} -groupoid unless otherwise specified.

Definition [9]. An ordered \mathcal{AG} -groupoid (po- \mathcal{AG} -groupoid) is a structure (S, \cdot, \leq) in which the following conditions hold:

- (i) (S, \cdot) is an \mathcal{AG} -groupoid.
- (ii) (S, \leq) is a poset (reflexive, anti-symmetric and transitive).
- (iii) For all a, b and $x \in S$, $a \leq b$ implies $ax \leq bx$ and $xa \leq xb$.

Example 1 [9]. Consider an open interval $\mathbb{R}_0 = (0, 1)$ of real numbers under the binary operation of multiplication. Define $a * b = ba^{-1}r^{-1}$, for all $a, b, r \in \mathbb{R}_0$, then it is easy to see that $(\mathbb{R}_0, *, \leq)$ is an ordered \mathcal{AG} -groupoid under the usual order " \leq " and we have called it a real ordered \mathcal{AG} -groupoid.

For a non-empty subset A of an ordered \mathcal{AG} -groupoid S , and for some $a \in A$, we define

$$[A] = \{t \in S \mid t \leq a\}.$$

For $A = \{a\}$, we usually write it as $[a]$.

Definition [9]. A non-empty subset A of an ordered \mathcal{AG} -groupoid S is called a left (right) ideal of S if

- (i) $SA \subseteq A$ ($AS \subseteq A$).
- (ii) If $a \in A$ and $b \in S$ such that $b \leq a$, then $b \in A$.

Equivalently, a non-empty subset A of an ordered \mathcal{AG} -groupoid S is called a left (right) ideal of S if $(SA) \subseteq A$ ($(AS) \subseteq A$). A non-empty subset A of an ordered \mathcal{AG} -groupoid S is called a two sided ideal of S if it is both a left and a right ideal of S .

Definition. A subset A of S is called semiprime if $a^2 \in A$ implies $a \in A$.

Definition [9]. An element a of an ordered \mathcal{AG} -groupoid S is called intra-regular if there exist $x, y \in S$ such that $a \leq (xa^2)y$, and S is called intra-regular if every element of S is intra-regular or equivalently, $A \subseteq ((SA^2)S]$ for all $A \subseteq S$ and $a \in ((Sa^2)S]$ for all $a \in S$.

Definition. A fuzzy subset f is a class of objects with grades of membership having the form

$$f = \{(x, f(x))/x \in S\}.$$

Definition. A bipolar-valued fuzzy set (briefly, BVF -subset) \mathcal{B} in a non-empty set S is an object having the form

$$\mathcal{B} = \{(x, \mu_{\mathcal{B}}^+(x), \mu_{\mathcal{B}}^-(x))/x \in S\}.$$

Where $\mu_{\mathcal{B}}^+ : S \rightarrow [0, 1]$ and $\mu_{\mathcal{B}}^- : S \rightarrow [-1, 0]$.

The positive membership degree $\mu_{\mathcal{B}}^+$ denote the satisfaction degree of an element x to the property corresponding to a BVF -subset \mathcal{B} , and the negative membership degree $\mu_{\mathcal{B}}^-$ denotes the satisfaction degree of x to some implicit counter property of BVF -subset \mathcal{B} . Bipolar-valued fuzzy sets and intuitionistic fuzzy sets look similar each other. However, they are different from each other [12, 13].

For the sake of simplicity, we will use the symbol $\mathcal{B} = (\mu_{\mathcal{B}}^+, \mu_{\mathcal{B}}^-)$ for a BVF -subset $\mathcal{B} = \{(x, \mu_{\mathcal{B}}^+(x), \mu_{\mathcal{B}}^-(x))/x \in S\}$.

Let $\Gamma = \{(x, \mathcal{S}_{\Gamma}^+(x), \mathcal{S}_{\Gamma}^-(x))/\mathcal{S}_{\Gamma}^+(x) = 1, \mathcal{S}_{\Gamma}^-(x) = -1/x \in S\} = (\mathcal{S}_{\Gamma}^+, \mathcal{S}_{\Gamma}^-)$ be a BVF -subset, then Γ will be carried out in operations with a BVF -subset $\mathcal{B} = (\mu_{\mathcal{B}}^+, \mu_{\mathcal{B}}^-)$ such that \mathcal{S}_{Γ}^+ and \mathcal{S}_{Γ}^- will be used in collaboration with $\mu_{\mathcal{B}}^+$ and $\mu_{\mathcal{B}}^-$, respectively.

Let $x \in S$, then $A_x = \{(y, z) \in S \times S : x \leq yz\}$.

Let $\mathcal{A} = (\mu_{\mathcal{A}}^+, \mu_{\mathcal{A}}^-)$ and $\mathcal{B} = (\mu_{\mathcal{B}}^+, \mu_{\mathcal{B}}^-)$ be any two BVF -subsets of an ordered \mathcal{AG} -groupoid S , then for some $a, b, c \in S$, the product $\mathcal{A} \circ \mathcal{B}$ is defined by,

$$(\mu_{\mathcal{A}}^+ \circ \mu_{\mathcal{B}}^+)(a) = \begin{cases} \bigvee_{(b,c) \in A_a} \{\mu_{\mathcal{A}}^+(b) \wedge \mu_{\mathcal{B}}^+(c)\} & \text{if } a \leq bc (A_a \neq \emptyset). \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

$$(\mu_{\mathcal{A}}^- \circ \mu_{\mathcal{B}}^-)(a) = \begin{cases} \bigwedge_{(b,c) \in A_a} \{\mu_{\mathcal{A}}^-(b) \vee \mu_{\mathcal{B}}^-(c)\} & \text{if } a \leq bc (A_a \neq \emptyset) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Let \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} be any two BVF -subsets of an ordered \mathcal{AG} -groupoid S , then $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{B}$ means that

$$\mu_{\mathcal{A}}^+(x) \leq \mu_{\mathcal{B}}^+(x) \text{ and } \mu_{\mathcal{A}}^-(x) \geq \mu_{\mathcal{B}}^-(x)$$

for all x in S . Let $\mathcal{A} = (\mu_{\mathcal{A}}^+, \mu_{\mathcal{A}}^-)$ and $\mathcal{B} = (\mu_{\mathcal{B}}^+, \mu_{\mathcal{B}}^-)$ be BVF -subsets of an ordered \mathcal{AG} -groupoid S . The symbol $\mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{B}$ will mean the following BVF -subset of S

$$\begin{aligned} (\mu_{\mathcal{A}}^+ \cap \mu_{\mathcal{B}}^+)(x) &= \min\{\mu_{\mathcal{A}}^+(x), \mu_{\mathcal{B}}^+(x)\} = \mu_{\mathcal{A}}^+(x) \wedge \mu_{\mathcal{B}}^+(x) \\ (\mu_{\mathcal{A}}^- \cup \mu_{\mathcal{B}}^-)(x) &= \max\{\mu_{\mathcal{A}}^-(x), \mu_{\mathcal{B}}^-(x)\} = \mu_{\mathcal{A}}^-(x) \vee \mu_{\mathcal{B}}^-(x), \end{aligned}$$

for all x in S . The symbol $\mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{B}$ will mean the following BVF -subset of S

$$\begin{aligned} (\mu_{\mathcal{A}}^+ \cup \mu_{\mathcal{B}}^+)(x) &= \max\{\mu_{\mathcal{A}}^+(x), \mu_{\mathcal{B}}^+(x)\} = \mu_{\mathcal{A}}^+(x) \vee \mu_{\mathcal{B}}^+(x) \\ (\mu_{\mathcal{A}}^- \cap \mu_{\mathcal{B}}^-)(x) &= \min\{\mu_{\mathcal{A}}^-(x), \mu_{\mathcal{B}}^-(x)\} = \mu_{\mathcal{A}}^-(x) \wedge \mu_{\mathcal{B}}^-(x), \end{aligned}$$

for all x in S . Let S be an ordered \mathcal{AG} -groupoid and let $\emptyset \neq W \subseteq S$, then the bipolar-valued characteristic function $\Omega_W = (\mu_{\Omega_w}^+, \mu_{\Omega_w}^-)$ of W is defined as

$$\mu_{\Omega_w}^+(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \in W \\ 0 & \text{if } x \notin W \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad \mu_{\Omega_w}^-(x) = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } x \in W \\ 0 & \text{if } x \notin W. \end{cases}$$

3. BIPOLAR-VALUED FUZZY IDEALS IN ORDERED \mathcal{AG} -GROUPOIDS

Definition. A BVF -subset $\mathcal{B} = (\mu_{\mathcal{B}}^+, \mu_{\mathcal{B}}^-)$ of an ordered \mathcal{AG} -groupoid S is called a bipolar-valued fuzzy left ideal of S if

- (i) $x \leq y \Rightarrow \mu_{\mathcal{B}}^+(x) \geq \mu_{\mathcal{B}}^+(y)$ and $\mu_{\mathcal{B}}^-(x) \leq \mu_{\mathcal{B}}^-(y)$ for all $x, y \in S$.
- (ii) $\mu_{\mathcal{B}}^+(xy) \geq \mu_{\mathcal{B}}^+(y)$ and $\mu_{\mathcal{B}}^-(xy) \leq \mu_{\mathcal{B}}^-(y)$ for all $x, y \in S$.

Definition. A BVF -subset $\mathcal{B} = (\mu_{\mathcal{B}}^+, \mu_{\mathcal{B}}^-)$ of an ordered \mathcal{AG} -groupoid S is called a bipolar-valued fuzzy right ideal of S if

- (i) $x \leq y \Rightarrow \mu_{\mathcal{B}}^+(x) \geq \mu_{\mathcal{B}}^+(y)$ and $\mu_{\mathcal{B}}^-(x) \leq \mu_{\mathcal{B}}^-(y)$ for all $x, y \in S$.
- (ii) $\mu_{\mathcal{B}}^+(xy) \geq \mu_{\mathcal{B}}^+(x)$ and $\mu_{\mathcal{B}}^-(xy) \leq \mu_{\mathcal{B}}^-(x)$ for all $x, y \in S$.

A BVF -subset $\mathcal{B} = (\mu_{\mathcal{B}}^+, \mu_{\mathcal{B}}^-)$ of an ordered \mathcal{AG} -groupoid S is called a bipolar-valued fuzzy two-sided ideal of S if it is both a bipolar-valued fuzzy left and a bipolar-valued fuzzy right ideal of S .

Example 2. Let $S = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$ be an ordered \mathcal{AG} -groupoid with left identity 4 with the following multiplication table and order below.

.	1	2	3	4	5
1	1	1	1	1	1
2	1	2	2	2	2
3	1	2	4	5	3
4	1	2	3	4	5
5	1	2	5	3	4

$$\leq := \{(1, 1), (1, 2), (2, 2), (3, 3), (4, 4), (5, 5)\}$$

It is easy to see that S is intra-regular. Define a BVF -subset $\mathcal{A} = (\mu_{\mathcal{A}}^+, \mu_{\mathcal{A}}^-)$ of S as follows:

$$\mu_{\mathcal{A}}^+(1) = 1, \mu_{\mathcal{A}}^+(2) = \mu_{\mathcal{A}}^+(3) = \mu_{\mathcal{A}}^+(4) = \mu_{\mathcal{A}}^+(5) = 0,$$

and

$$\mu_{\mathcal{A}}^-(1) = -0.6, \mu_{\mathcal{A}}^-(2) = -0.4 \text{ and } \mu_{\mathcal{A}}^-(3) = \mu_{\mathcal{A}}^-(4) = \mu_{\mathcal{A}}^-(5) = -0.2,$$

then by routine calculation one can easily verify that $\mathcal{A} = (\mu_{\mathcal{A}}^+, \mu_{\mathcal{A}}^-)$ is a bipolar-valued fuzzy two-sided ideal of S .

Let $BVF(S)$ denote the set of all BVF -subsets of an ordered \mathcal{AG} -groupoid S .

Theorem 3. *The family of bipolar-valued fuzzy right (left, two-sided) ideals of an ordered \mathcal{AG} -groupoid S forms a complete distributive lattice under the ordering of bipolar-valued fuzzy set inclusion \subset .*

Proof. Let $\{B_i \mid i \in I\}$ be a family of bipolar-valued fuzzy right ideals of an ordered \mathcal{AG} -groupoid S . Since $[0, 1]$ is a completely distributive lattice with respect to the usual ordering in $[0, 1]$, it is sufficient to show that $\bigcap B_i = (\bigvee \mu_{B_i}^+, \bigwedge \mu_{B_i}^-)$ is a bipolar-valued fuzzy subalgebra of X . It is clear that if $x \leq y$, then $\mu_{B_i}^+(x) \geq \mu_{B_i}^+(y)$ and $\mu_{B_i}^-(x) \leq \mu_{B_i}^-(y)$. Also

$$\left(\bigvee \mu_{B_i}^+\right)(xy) = \sup\{\mu_{B_i}^+(xy) \mid i \in I\} \geq \sup\{\mu_{B_i}^+(y) \mid i \in I\} = \bigvee \mu_{B_i}^+(y),$$

also we have

$$\left(\bigwedge \mu_{B_i}^-\right)(xy) = \inf\{\mu_{B_i}^-(xy) \mid i \in I\} \leq \inf\{\mu_{B_i}^-(x) \mid i \in I\} = \bigwedge \mu_{B_i}^-(x).$$

Hence $\bigcap B_i = (\bigvee \mu_{B_i}^+, \bigwedge \mu_{B_i}^-)$ is a bipolar-valued fuzzy subalgebra of X . ■

Definition. Let $B = (\mu_B^+, \mu_B^-)$ be a bipolar-valued fuzzy set and $(s, t) \in [-1, 0] \times [0, 1]$. Define:

- (1) the sets $B_t^+ = \{x \in X \mid \mu^+(x) \geq t\}$ and $B_s^- = \{x \in G \mid \nu^-(x) \leq s\}$, which are called positive t -cut of $B = (\mu_B^+, \mu_B^-)$ and the negative s -cut of $B = (\mu_B^+, \mu_B^-)$, respectively,
- (2) the sets ${}^>B_t^+ = \{x \in X \mid \mu_B^+(x) > t\}$ and ${}^<B_s^- = \{x \in G \mid \mu_B^-(x) < s\}$, which are called strong positive t -cut of $B = (\mu_B^+, \mu_B^-)$ and the strong negative s -cut of $B = (\mu_B^+, \mu_B^-)$, respectively,
- (3) the set $X_B^{(t,s)} = \{x \in X \mid \mu_B^+(x) \geq t, \mu_B^-(x) \leq s\}$ is called an (s, t) -level subset of B ,
- (4) the set ${}^S X_B^{(t,s)} = \{x \in X \mid \mu_B^+(x) > t, \mu_B^-(x) < s\}$ is called a strong (s, t) -level subset of B ,
- (5) the set of all $(s, t) \in \text{Im}(\mu_B^+) \times \text{Im}(\mu_B^-)$ is called the image of $B = (\mu^+, \nu^-)$.

Theorem 4. Let B be a bipolar-valued fuzzy subset of S such that the least upper bound t_0 of $\text{Im}(\mu_B^+)$ and the greatest lower bound s_0 of $\text{Im}(\mu_B^-)$ exist. Then the following condition are equivalent:

- (i) B is a bipolar-valued fuzzy subalgebra of S ,
- (ii) For all $(s, t) \in \text{Im}(\mu_B^-) \times \text{Im}(\mu_B^+)$, the non-empty level subset $X_B^{(t,s)}$ of B is a (crisp) subalgebra of S .
- (iii) For all $(s, t) \in \text{Im}(\mu_B^-) \times \text{Im}(\mu_B^+) \setminus (s_0, t_0)$, the non-empty strong level subset ${}^S X_B^{(t,s)}$ of B is a (crisp) subalgebra of S .
- (iv) For all $(s, t) \in [-1, 0] \times [0, 1]$, the non-empty strong level subset ${}^S X_B^{(t,s)}$ of B is a (crisp) subalgebra of S .
- (v) For all $(s, t) \in [-1, 0] \times [0, 1]$, the non-empty level subset $X_B^{(t,s)}$ of B is a (crisp) subalgebra of S .

Proof. (i) \rightarrow (iv) Let B be a bipolar-valued fuzzy subalgebra of S , $(s, t) \in [0, 1] \times [0, 1]$ and $x, y \in {}^S X_B^{(t,s)}$. Then we have

$$\mu_B^+(xy) \geq \mu_B^+(y) \geq t \quad \text{and} \quad \mu_B^-(xy) \leq \mu_B^-(y) < s,$$

thus $xy \in {}^S X_B^{(t,s)}$. Hence ${}^S X_B^{(t,s)}$ is a (crisp) subalgebra of S .

(iv)→(iii) It is clear.

(iii)→(ii) Let $(s, t) \in Im(\mu_{\mathcal{B}}^+) \times Im(\mu_{\mathcal{B}}^-)$. Then $X_B^{(t,s)}$ is nonempty. Since $X_B^{(t,s)} = \bigcap_{t > \beta, s < \alpha}^S X_B^{(\beta, \alpha)}$, where $\beta \in Im(\mu_{\mathcal{B}}^+) \setminus s_0$ and $\alpha \in Im(\mu_{\mathcal{B}}^-) \setminus t_0$. Then by (iii) we get that $X_B^{(t,s)}$ is a (crisp) subalgebra of S .

(ii)→(v) Let $(s, t) \in [0, 1] \times [0, 1]$ and $X_B^{(t,s)}$ be non-empty. Suppose that $x, y \in X_B^{(t,s)}$. Let $\alpha = \min\{\mu_{\mathcal{B}}^+(x), \mu_{\mathcal{B}}^+(y)\}$ and $\beta = \max\{\mu_{\mathcal{B}}^-(x), \mu_{\mathcal{B}}^-(y)\}$. It is clear that $\alpha \geq s$ and $\beta \leq t$. Thus $x, y \in X_B^{(t,s)}$ and $\alpha \in Im(\mu_{\mathcal{B}}^+)$ and $\beta \in Im(\mu_{\mathcal{B}}^-)$, by (ii) $X_B^{(\alpha, \beta)}$ is a subalgebra of X , hence $xy \in X_B^{(\alpha, \beta)}$. Then we have

$$\mu_{\mathcal{B}}^+(xy) \geq \mu_{\mathcal{B}}^+(y) \geq \alpha \geq s \quad \text{and} \quad \mu_{\mathcal{B}}^-(xy) \leq \mu_{\mathcal{B}}^-(y) \leq \beta \leq t.$$

Therefore $xy \in X_B^{(t,s)}$. Then $X_B^{(t,s)}$ is a (crisp) subalgebra of S .

(v)→(i) Assume that the non-empty set $X_B^{(t,s)}$ is a (crisp) subalgebra of S , for any $(s, t) \in [0, 1] \times [0, 1]$. In contrary, let $x_0, y_0 \in X$ be such that

$$\mu_{\mathcal{B}}^+(x_0 y_0) < \mu_{\mathcal{B}}^+(y_0) \quad \text{and} \quad \mu_{\mathcal{B}}^-(x_0 y_0) > \mu_{\mathcal{B}}^-(y_0).$$

Let $\mu_{\mathcal{B}}^+(y_0) = \beta$, $\mu_{\mathcal{B}}^+(x_0 y_0) = \lambda$, $\mu_{\mathcal{B}}^-(y_0) = \gamma$ and $\mu_{\mathcal{B}}^-(x_0 y_0) = \nu$. Then $\lambda < \beta$ and $\nu > \gamma$. Put

$$\lambda_1 = \frac{1}{2}(\mu_{\mathcal{B}}^+(x_0 y_0) + \mu_{\mathcal{B}}^+(y_0)) \quad \text{and} \quad \nu_1 = \frac{1}{2}(\mu_{\mathcal{B}}^-(x_0 y_0) + \mu_{\mathcal{B}}^-(y_0)),$$

therefore $\lambda_1 = \frac{1}{2}(\lambda + \beta)$ and $\nu_1 = \frac{1}{2}(\nu + \gamma)$. Hence $\nu > \nu_1 = \frac{1}{2}(\nu + \gamma) > \theta$.

Thus

$$\beta > \lambda_1 > \lambda = \mu_{\mathcal{B}}^+(x_0 y_0) \quad \text{and} \quad \theta < \nu_1 < \nu = \mu_{\mathcal{B}}^-(x_0 y_0),$$

so that $x_0 y_0 \notin X_B^{(\lambda_1, \nu_1)}$. Which is a contradiction, since

$$\mu_{\mathcal{B}}^+(y_0) = \beta > \lambda_1 \quad \text{and} \quad \mu_{\mathcal{B}}^-(y_0) = \gamma < \nu_1,$$

imply that $x_0, y_0 \in X_B^{(\lambda_1, \nu_1)}$. Thus $\mu_{\mathcal{B}}^+(xy) \geq \mu_{\mathcal{B}}^+(y)$ and $\mu_{\mathcal{B}}^-(xy) \leq \mu_{\mathcal{B}}^-(y)$, for all $x, y \in S$. The proof is completed. \blacksquare

Theorem 5. *Each subalgebra of X is a level subalgebra of a bipolar-valued fuzzy subalgebra of X .*

Proof. Let Y be a subalgebra of S and B be a bipolar-valued fuzzy subset of S which is defined by:

$$\mu_{\mathcal{B}}^+(x) = \begin{cases} \alpha & \text{if } x \in Y \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad \mu_{\mathcal{B}}^-(x) = \begin{cases} \beta & \text{if } x \in Y \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ and $\beta \in [-1, 0]$. It is clear that $X_B^{(t,s)} = Y$. Let $x, y \in X$. We consider the following cases:

Case 1. If $x, y \in Y$, then $xy \in Y$, therefore $\mu_{\mathcal{B}}^+(xy) = \alpha = \mu_{\mathcal{B}}^+(y)$ and $\mu_{\mathcal{B}}^-(xy) = \beta\mu_{\mathcal{B}}^-(y)$.

Case 2. If $x, y \notin Y$, then $0 = \mu_{\mathcal{B}}^+(y)$ and $0 = \mu_{\mathcal{B}}^-(y)$ and so $\mu_{\mathcal{B}}^+(xy) \geq 0 = \mu_{\mathcal{B}}^+(y)$ and $\mu_{\mathcal{B}}^-(xy) \leq 0 = \mu_{\mathcal{B}}^-(y)$.

Case 3. If $x \in Y$ and $y \notin Y$, then $\mu_{\mathcal{B}}^+(y) = 0 = \mu_{\mathcal{B}}^-(y)$. Thus $\mu_{\mathcal{B}}^+(xy) \geq 0 = \mu_{\mathcal{B}}^+(y)$ and $\mu_{\mathcal{B}}^-(xy) \leq 0 = \mu_{\mathcal{B}}^-(y)$.

Case 4. If $x \notin Y$ and $y \in Y$, then by the same argument as in Case 3, we can conclude the results.

Therefore B is a bipolar-valued fuzzy subalgebra of S . ■

Lemma 6. Let S be an ordered \mathcal{AG} -groupoid, then the set $(BVF(S), \circ, \subseteq)$ is an ordered \mathcal{AG} -groupoid.

Proof. Clearly $BVF(S)$ is closed. Let $\mathcal{A} = (\mu_{\mathcal{A}}^+, \mu_{\mathcal{A}}^-)$, $\mathcal{B} = (\mu_{\mathcal{B}}^+, \mu_{\mathcal{B}}^-)$ and $\mathcal{C} = (\mu_{\mathcal{C}}^+, \mu_{\mathcal{C}}^-)$ be in $BVF(S)$. If $A_x = \emptyset$ for any $x \in S$, then

$$((\mu_{\mathcal{A}}^+ \circ \mu_{\mathcal{B}}^+) \circ \mu_{\mathcal{C}}^+)(x) = 0 = ((\mu_{\mathcal{C}}^+ \circ \mu_{\mathcal{B}}^+) \circ \mu_{\mathcal{A}}^+)(x),$$

and

$$((\mu_{\mathcal{A}}^- \circ \mu_{\mathcal{B}}^-) \circ \mu_{\mathcal{C}}^-)(x) = 0 = ((\mu_{\mathcal{C}}^- \circ \mu_{\mathcal{B}}^-) \circ \mu_{\mathcal{A}}^-)(x).$$

Let $A_x \neq \emptyset$, then there exist y and z in S such that $(y, z) \in A_x$. Therefore by using (1), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
((\mu_{\mathcal{A}}^+ \circ \mu_{\mathcal{B}}^+) \circ \mu_{\mathcal{C}}^+)(x) &= \bigvee_{(y,z) \in A_x} \{(\mu_{\mathcal{A}}^+ \circ \mu_{\mathcal{B}}^+)(y) \wedge \mu_{\mathcal{C}}^+(z)\} \\
&= \bigvee_{(y,z) \in A_x} \left\{ \bigvee_{(p,q) \in A_y} \{\mu_{\mathcal{A}}^+(p) \wedge \mu_{\mathcal{B}}^+(q)\} \wedge \mu_{\mathcal{C}}^+(z) \right\} \\
&= \bigvee_{x \leq (pq)z} \{\mu_{\mathcal{A}}^+(p) \wedge \mu_{\mathcal{B}}^+(q) \wedge \mu_{\mathcal{C}}^+(z)\} \\
&= \bigvee_{x \leq (zq)p} \{\mu_{\mathcal{C}}^+(z) \wedge \mu_{\mathcal{B}}^+(q) \wedge \mu_{\mathcal{A}}^+(p)\} \\
&= \bigvee_{(w,p) \in A_x} \left\{ \bigvee_{(z,q) \in A_w} (\mu_{\mathcal{C}}^+(z) \wedge \mu_{\mathcal{B}}^+(q) \wedge \mu_{\mathcal{A}}^+(p)) \right\} \\
&= \bigvee_{(w,p) \in A_x} \{(\mu_{\mathcal{C}}^+ \circ \mu_{\mathcal{B}}^+)(w) \wedge \mu_{\mathcal{A}}^+(p)\} \\
&= ((\mu_{\mathcal{C}}^+ \circ \mu_{\mathcal{B}}^+) \circ \mu_{\mathcal{A}}^+)(x)
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
((\mu_{\mathcal{A}}^- \circ \mu_{\mathcal{B}}^-) \circ \mu_{\mathcal{C}}^-)(x) &= \bigwedge_{(y,z) \in A_x} \{(\mu_{\mathcal{A}}^- \circ \mu_{\mathcal{B}}^-)(y) \vee \mu_{\mathcal{C}}^-(z)\} \\
&= \bigwedge_{(y,z) \in A_x} \left\{ \bigwedge_{(p,q) \in A_y} \{\mu_{\mathcal{A}}^-(p) \vee \mu_{\mathcal{B}}^-(q)\} \vee \mu_{\mathcal{C}}^-(z) \right\} \\
&= \bigwedge_{x \leq (pq)z} \{\mu_{\mathcal{A}}^-(p) \vee \mu_{\mathcal{B}}^-(q) \vee \mu_{\mathcal{C}}^-(z)\} \\
&= \bigwedge_{x \leq (zq)p} \{\mu_{\mathcal{C}}^-(z) \vee \mu_{\mathcal{B}}^-(q) \vee \mu_{\mathcal{A}}^-(p)\} \\
&= \bigwedge_{(w,p) \in A_x} \left\{ \bigwedge_{(z,q) \in A_w} (\mu_{\mathcal{C}}^-(z) \vee \mu_{\mathcal{B}}^-(q) \vee \mu_{\mathcal{A}}^-(p)) \right\} \\
&= \bigwedge_{(w,p) \in A_x} \{(\mu_{\mathcal{C}}^- \circ \mu_{\mathcal{B}}^-)(w) \vee \mu_{\mathcal{A}}^-(p)\} \\
&= ((\mu_{\mathcal{C}}^- \circ \mu_{\mathcal{B}}^-) \circ \mu_{\mathcal{A}}^-)(x).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence $(BVF(S), \circ)$ is an \mathcal{AG} -groupoid.

Assume that $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{B}$, then $\mu_{\mathcal{A}}^+ \subseteq \mu_{\mathcal{B}}^+$ and $\mu_{\mathcal{A}}^- \supseteq \mu_{\mathcal{B}}^-$. Let $A_x = \emptyset$ for any $x \in S$, then

$$(\mu_{\mathcal{A}}^+ \circ \mu_{\mathcal{C}}^+)(x) = 0 = (\mu_{\mathcal{B}}^+ \circ \mu_{\mathcal{C}}^+)(x) \implies \mu_{\mathcal{A}}^+ \circ \mu_{\mathcal{C}}^+ \subseteq \mu_{\mathcal{B}}^+ \circ \mu_{\mathcal{C}}^+,$$

and

$$(\mu_{\mathcal{A}}^- \circ \mu_{\mathcal{C}}^-)(x) = 0 = (\mu_{\mathcal{B}}^- \circ \mu_{\mathcal{C}}^-)(x) \implies \mu_{\mathcal{A}}^- \circ \mu_{\mathcal{C}}^- \supseteq \mu_{\mathcal{B}}^- \circ \mu_{\mathcal{C}}^-,$$

thus we get $\mathcal{A} \circ \mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{B} \circ \mathcal{C}$. Similarly we can show that $\mathcal{C} \circ \mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{C} \circ \mathcal{B}$. Let $A_x \neq \emptyset$, then there exist y and z in S such that $(y, z) \in A_x$, therefore

$$(\mu_{\mathcal{A}}^+ \circ \mu_{\mathcal{C}}^+)(x) = \bigvee_{(y,z) \in A_x} \{\mu_{\mathcal{A}}^+(y) \vee \mu_{\mathcal{C}}^+(z)\} \leq \bigvee_{(y,z) \in A_x} \{\mu_{\mathcal{B}}^+(y) \vee \mu_{\mathcal{C}}^+(z)\} = (\mu_{\mathcal{B}}^+ \circ \mu_{\mathcal{C}}^+)(x),$$

and

$$(\mu_{\mathcal{A}}^- \circ \mu_{\mathcal{C}}^-)(x) = \bigwedge_{(y,z) \in A_x} \{\mu_{\mathcal{A}}^-(y) \vee \mu_{\mathcal{C}}^-(z)\} \geq \bigwedge_{(y,z) \in A_x} \{\mu_{\mathcal{B}}^-(y) \vee \mu_{\mathcal{C}}^-(z)\} = (\mu_{\mathcal{B}}^- \circ \mu_{\mathcal{C}}^-)(x),$$

thus we get $\mathcal{A} \circ \mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{B} \circ \mathcal{C}$. Similarly we can show that $\mathcal{C} \circ \mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{C} \circ \mathcal{B}$. It is easy to see that $BVF(S)$ is a poset. Thus $(BVF(S), \circ, \subseteq)$ is an ordered \mathcal{AG} -groupoid. \blacksquare

Lemma 7. For any subset A of an ordered \mathcal{AG} -groupoid S , the following properties holds.

- (i) A is an ordered \mathcal{AG} -subgroupoid of S if and only if $\Omega_A = (\mu_{\Omega_A}^+, \mu_{\Omega_A}^-)$ is a bipolar-valued fuzzy ordered \mathcal{AG} -subgroupoid of S .
- (ii) A is left (right, two-sided) ideal of S if and only if $\Omega_A = (\mu_{\Omega_A}^+, \mu_{\Omega_A}^-)$ is a bipolar-valued fuzzy left (right, two-sided) ideal of S .
- (iii) For any non-empty subsets A and B of an ordered \mathcal{AG} -groupoid S , $\Omega_A \circ \Omega_B = \Omega_{(AB)}$ holds.

Proof. The proof is straightforward. \blacksquare

Definition. A BVF -subset $\mathcal{B} = (\mu_{\mathcal{B}}^+, \mu_{\mathcal{B}}^-)$ of an ordered \mathcal{AG} -groupoid S is said to be idempotent if $\mu_{\mathcal{B}}^+ \circ \mu_{\mathcal{B}}^+ = \mu_{\mathcal{B}}^+$ and $\mu_{\mathcal{B}}^- \circ \mu_{\mathcal{B}}^- = \mu_{\mathcal{B}}^-$, that is, $\mathcal{B} \circ \mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}$ or $\mathcal{B}^2 = \mathcal{B}$.

Definition. A BVF -subset $\mathcal{A} = (\mu_{\mathcal{A}}^+, \mu_{\mathcal{A}}^-)$ of an ordered \mathcal{AG} -groupoid S is called bipolar-valued fuzzy semiprime if $\mu_{\mathcal{A}}^+(a) \geq \mu_{\mathcal{A}}^+(a^2)$ and $\mu_{\mathcal{A}}^-(a) \leq \mu_{\mathcal{A}}^-(a^2)$ for all a in S .

Example 8. Let us consider an ordered \mathcal{AG} -groupoid $S = \{a, b, c, d, e\}$ with left identity d in the following Cayley's table and order below.

\cdot	a	b	c	d	e
a	a	a	a	a	a
b	a	e	e	c	e
c	a	e	e	b	e
d	a	b	c	d	e
e	a	e	e	e	e

$$\leq := \{(a, a), (a, b), (a, c), (a, e), (b, b), (c, c), (d, d), (e, e)\}.$$

Let us define a BVF -subset $\mathcal{A} = (\mu_{\mathcal{A}}^+, \mu_{\mathcal{A}}^-)$ of S as follows:

$$\mu_{\mathcal{A}}^+(a) = 0.2, \mu_{\mathcal{A}}^+(b) = 0.5, \mu_{\mathcal{A}}^+(c) = 0.6, \mu_{\mathcal{A}}^+(d) = 0.1 \text{ and } \mu_{\mathcal{A}}^+(e) = 0.4,$$

and

$$\mu_{\mathcal{A}}^-(a) = -0.5, \mu_{\mathcal{A}}^-(b) = -0.8, \mu_{\mathcal{A}}^-(c) = -0.6, \mu_{\mathcal{A}}^-(d) = -0.4 \text{ and } \mu_{\mathcal{A}}^-(e) = -0.2,$$

by routine calculations, it is easy to see that \mathcal{A} is bipolar-valued fuzzy semiprime.

Lemma 9. *Every right (left, two-sided) ideal of an ordered \mathcal{AG} -groupoid S is semiprime if and only if their characteristic functions are bipolar-valued fuzzy semiprime.*

Proof. Let R be any right ideal of an ordered \mathcal{AG} -groupoid S , then by Lemma 7, the bipolar-valued characteristic function of R , that is, $\Omega_R = (\mu_{\Omega_R}^+, \mu_{\Omega_R}^-)$ is a bipolar-valued fuzzy right ideal of S . Let $a^2 \in R$, then $\mu_{\Omega_R}^+(a^2) = 1$ and assume that R is semiprime, then $a \in R$, which implies that $\mu_{\Omega_R}^+(a) = 1$. Thus we get $\mu_{\Omega_R}^+(a^2) = \mu_{\Omega_R}^+(a)$ and similarly we can show that $\mu_{\Omega_R}^-(a^2) = \mu_{\Omega_R}^-(a)$, therefore $\Omega_R = (\mu_{\Omega_R}^+, \mu_{\Omega_R}^-)$ is a bipolar-valued fuzzy semiprime. The converse is simple. The same holds for left and two-sided ideal of S . ■

Corollary 10. *Let S be an ordered \mathcal{AG} -groupoid, then every right (left, two-sided) ideal of S is semiprime if every bipolar-valued fuzzy right (left, two-sided) ideal of S is a bipolar-valued fuzzy semiprime.*

Lemma 11. *Every bipolar-valued fuzzy right ideal of an ordered \mathcal{AG} -groupoid S with left identity is a bipolar-valued fuzzy left ideal of S .*

Proof. Assume that S is an ordered \mathcal{AG} -groupoid with left identity and let $\mathcal{A} = (\mu_{\mathcal{A}}^+, \mu_{\mathcal{A}}^-)$ be a bipolar-valued fuzzy right ideal of S , then by using (1), we have

$$\mu_{\mathcal{A}}^+(ab) = \mu_{\mathcal{A}}^+((ea)b) = \mu_{\mathcal{A}}^+((ba)e) \geq \mu_{\mathcal{A}}^+(b).$$

Similarly we can show that $\mu_{\mathcal{A}}^-(ab) \leq \mu_{\mathcal{A}}^-(b)$, which show that $\mathcal{A} = (\mu_{\mathcal{A}}^+, \mu_{\mathcal{A}}^-)$ is a bipolar-valued fuzzy left ideal of S . ■

The converse of above is not true in general.

Example 12. Consider the Cayley's table and order of Example 8 and define a BVF -subset $\mathcal{A} = (\mu_{\mathcal{A}}^+, \mu_{\mathcal{A}}^-)$ of S as follows:

$$\mu_{\mathcal{A}}^+(a) = 0.8, \mu_{\mathcal{A}}^+(b) = 0.5, \mu_{\mathcal{A}}^+(c) = 0.4, \mu_{\mathcal{A}}^+(d) = 0.3 \text{ and } \mu_{\mathcal{A}}^+(e) = 0.6,$$

and

$$\mu_{\mathcal{A}}^-(a) = -0.9, \mu_{\mathcal{A}}^-(b) = -0.5, \mu_{\mathcal{A}}^-(c) = -0.4, \mu_{\mathcal{A}}^-(d) = -0.1 \text{ and } \mu_{\mathcal{A}}^-(e) = -0.7,$$

then it is easy to observe that $\mathcal{A} = (\mu_{\mathcal{A}}^+, \mu_{\mathcal{A}}^-)$ is a bipolar-valued fuzzy left ideal of S but it is not a bipolar-valued fuzzy right ideal of S , because $\mu_{\mathcal{A}}^+(bd) \not\leq \mu_{\mathcal{A}}^+(b)$ and $\mu_{\mathcal{A}}^-(bd) \not\geq \mu_{\mathcal{A}}^-(b)$.

The proof of following Lemma is same as in [19].

Lemma 13. *In S , the following are true.*

- (i) $A \subseteq (A]$ for all $A \subseteq S$.
- (ii) If $A \subseteq B \subseteq S$, then $(A] \subseteq (B]$.
- (iii) $(A](B] \subseteq (AB]$ for all $A, B \subseteq S$.
- (iv) $(A] = ((A])$ for all $A \subseteq S$.
- (vi) $((A](B]) = (AB]$ for all $A, B \subseteq S$.

Lemma 14. *Let $\mathcal{A} = (\mu_{\mathcal{A}}^+, \mu_{\mathcal{A}}^-)$ be a BVF -subset of an intra-regular ordered \mathcal{AG} -groupoid S with left identity, then $\mathcal{A} = (\mu_{\mathcal{A}}^+, \mu_{\mathcal{A}}^-)$ is a bipolar-valued fuzzy left ideal of S if and only if $\mathcal{A} = (\mu_{\mathcal{A}}^+, \mu_{\mathcal{A}}^-)$ is a bipolar-valued fuzzy right ideal of S .*

Proof. Assume that S is an intra-regular ordered \mathcal{AG} -groupoid with left identity and let $\mathcal{A} = (\mu_{\mathcal{A}}^+, \mu_{\mathcal{A}}^-)$ be a bipolar-valued fuzzy left ideal of S . Now for $a, b \in S$ there exist $x, y, x', y' \in S$ such that $a \leq (xa^2)y$ and $b \leq (x'b^2)y'$.

Now by using (1), (3) and (4), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mu_{\mathcal{A}}^+(ab) &\geq \mu_{\mathcal{A}}^+((xa^2)y)b) = \mu_{\mathcal{A}}^+((by)(x(aa))) = \mu_{\mathcal{A}}^+(((aa)x)(yb)) \\ &= \mu_{\mathcal{A}}^+(((xa)a)(yb)) = \mu_{\mathcal{A}}^+(((xa)(ea))(yb)) = \mu_{\mathcal{A}}^+(((ae)(ax))(yb)) \\ &= \mu_{\mathcal{A}}^+(a((ae)x)(yb)) = \mu_{\mathcal{A}}^+(((yb)((ae)x))a) \geq \mu_{\mathcal{A}}^+(a).\end{aligned}$$

Similarly we can get $\mu_{\mathcal{A}}^-(ab) \leq \mu_{\mathcal{A}}^-(a)$, which implies that $\mathcal{A} = (\mu_{\mathcal{A}}^+, \mu_{\mathcal{A}}^-)$ is a bipolar-valued fuzzy right ideal of S .

Conversely let $\mathcal{A} = (\mu_{\mathcal{A}}^+, \mu_{\mathcal{A}}^-)$ be a bipolar-valued fuzzy right ideal of S . Now by using (4) and (3), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mu_{\mathcal{A}}^+(ab) &\geq \mu_{\mathcal{A}}^+(a((x'b^2)y')) = \mu_{\mathcal{A}}^+((x'b^2)(ay')) = \mu_{\mathcal{A}}^+((y'a)(b^2x')) \\ &= \mu_{\mathcal{A}}^+(b^2((y'a)x)) \geq \mu_{\mathcal{A}}^+(b).\end{aligned}$$

In the similar way we can get $\mu_{\mathcal{A}}^-(ab) \leq \mu_{\mathcal{A}}^-(b)$, which implies that $\mathcal{A} = (\mu_{\mathcal{A}}^+, \mu_{\mathcal{A}}^-)$ is a bipolar-valued fuzzy left ideal of S . ■

Note that a bipolar-valued fuzzy left ideal and a bipolar-valued fuzzy right ideal coincide in an intra-regular ordered \mathcal{AG} -groupoid S with left identity.

Lemma 15. *Every bipolar-valued fuzzy two-sided ideal of an intra-regular ordered \mathcal{AG} -groupoid S with left identity is a bipolar-valued fuzzy semiprime.*

Proof. Assume that $\mathcal{A} = (\mu_{\mathcal{A}}^+, \mu_{\mathcal{A}}^-)$ is a bipolar-valued fuzzy two-sided ideal of an intra-regular ordered \mathcal{AG} -groupoid S with left identity and let $a \in S$, then there exist $x, y \in S$ such that $a \leq (xa^2)y$. Now by using (3) and (4), we have

$$\mu_{\mathcal{A}}^+(a) \geq \mu_{\mathcal{A}}^+((xa^2)y) = \mu_{\mathcal{A}}^+((xa^2)(ey)) = \mu_{\mathcal{A}}^+((ye)(a^2x)) = \mu_{\mathcal{A}}^+(a^2((ye)x)) \geq \mu_{\mathcal{A}}^+(a^2),$$

and similarly

$$\mu_{\mathcal{A}}^-(a) \leq \mu_{\mathcal{A}}^-((xa^2)y) = \mu_{\mathcal{A}}^-((xa^2)(ey)) = \mu_{\mathcal{A}}^-((ye)(a^2x)) = \mu_{\mathcal{A}}^-(a^2((ye)x)) \leq \mu_{\mathcal{A}}^-(a^2).$$

Thus $\mathcal{A} = (\mu_{\mathcal{A}}^+, \mu_{\mathcal{A}}^-)$ is a bipolar-valued fuzzy semiprime. ■

Theorem 16. *Let S be an ordered \mathcal{AG} -groupoid with left identity, then the following statements are equivalent.*

- (i) S is an intra-regular.
- (ii) Every bipolar-valued fuzzy two-sided ideal of S is a bipolar-valued fuzzy semiprime.

Proof. (i)→(ii) can be followed by Lemma 15.

(ii)→(i) Let S be an ordered \mathcal{AG} -groupoid with left identity and let every bipolar-valued fuzzy two-sided ideal of S is a bipolar-valued fuzzy semiprime. Since $(a^2S]$ is a two-sided ideal of S [9], therefore by using Corollary 10, $(a^2S]$ is semiprime. Clearly $a^2 \in (a^2S]$ [9], therefore $a \in (a^2S]$. Now by using (1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} a \in (a^2S] &= ((aa)S] = ((Sa)a] \subseteq ((Sa)(a^2S]) = (((a^2S)a)S] \\ &= (((aS)a^2)S] = ((Sa^2)(aS]) \subseteq ((Sa^2)S]. \end{aligned}$$

Which shows that S is an intra-regular. ■

Lemma 17. Let S be an ordered \mathcal{AG} -groupoid, then the following holds.

- (i) A BVF-subset $\mathcal{A} = (\mu_{\mathcal{A}}^+, \mu_{\mathcal{A}}^-)$ is a bipolar-valued fuzzy ordered AG-subgroupoid of S if and only if $\mu_{\mathcal{A}}^+ \circ \mu_{\mathcal{A}}^+ \subseteq \mu_{\mathcal{A}}^+$ and $\mu_{\mathcal{A}}^- \circ \mu_{\mathcal{A}}^- \supseteq \mu_{\mathcal{A}}^-$.
- (ii) A BVF-subset $\mathcal{A} = (\mu_{\mathcal{A}}^+, \mu_{\mathcal{A}}^-)$ is bipolar-valued fuzzy left (right) ideal of S if and only if $\mathcal{S}_{\Gamma}^+ \circ \mu_{\mathcal{A}}^+ \subseteq \mu_{\mathcal{A}}^+$ and $\mathcal{S}_{\Gamma}^- \circ \mu_{\mathcal{A}}^- \supseteq \mu_{\mathcal{A}}^-$ ($\mu_{\mathcal{A}}^+ \circ \mathcal{S}_{\Gamma}^+ \subseteq \mu_{\mathcal{A}}^+$ and $\mu_{\mathcal{A}}^- \circ \mathcal{S}_{\Gamma}^- \supseteq \mu_{\mathcal{A}}^-$).

Proof. The proof is straightforward. ■

Theorem 18. For an ordered \mathcal{AG} -groupoid S with left identity, the following conditions are equivalent.

- (i) S is intra-regular.
- (ii) $R \cap L = (RL]$, R is any right ideal and L is any left ideal of S such that R is semiprime.
- (iii) $\mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{B} = \mathcal{A} \circ \mathcal{B}$, $\mathcal{A} = (\mu_{\mathcal{A}}^+, \mu_{\mathcal{A}}^-)$ is any bipolar-valued fuzzy right ideal and $\mathcal{B} = (\mu_{\mathcal{B}}^+, \mu_{\mathcal{B}}^-)$ is any bipolar-valued fuzzy left ideal of S such that $\mathcal{A} = (\mu_{\mathcal{A}}^+, \mu_{\mathcal{A}}^-)$ is a bipolar-valued fuzzy semiprime.

Proof. (i)→(iii) Assume that S is an intra-regular ordered \mathcal{AG} -groupoid. Let $\mathcal{A} = (\mu_{\mathcal{A}}^+, \mu_{\mathcal{A}}^-)$ be any bipolar-valued fuzzy right ideal and $\mathcal{B} = (\mu_{\mathcal{B}}^+, \mu_{\mathcal{B}}^-)$ be any bipolar-valued fuzzy left ideal of S . Now for $a \in S$ there exist $x, y \in S$ such that $a \leq (xa^2)y$. Now by using (4), (1) and (3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} a &\leq (x(aa))y = (a(xa))y = (y(xa))a \leq (y(x((xa^2)y)))a = (y((xa^2)(xy)))a \\ &= (y((yx)(a^2x)))a = (y(a^2((yx)x)))a = (a^2(y((yx)x)))a. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} (\mu_{\mathcal{A}}^+ \circ \mu_{\mathcal{B}}^+)(a) &= \bigvee_{a \leq (a^2(y((yx)x)))a} \{\mu_{\mathcal{A}}^+(a^2(y((yx)x))) \wedge \mu_{\mathcal{B}}^+(a)\} \\ &\geq \mu_{\mathcal{A}}^+(a) \wedge \mu_{\mathcal{B}}^+(a) = (\mu_{\mathcal{A}}^+ \cap \mu_{\mathcal{B}}^+)(a) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (\mu_{\mathcal{A}}^- \circ \mu_{\mathcal{B}}^-)(a) &= \bigwedge_{a \leq (a^2(y((yx)x)))a} \{\mu_{\mathcal{A}}^-(a^2(y((yx)x))) \vee \mu_{\mathcal{B}}^-(a)\} \\ &\leq \mu_{\mathcal{A}}^-(a) \wedge \mu_{\mathcal{B}}^-(a) = (\mu_{\mathcal{A}}^- \cup \mu_{\mathcal{B}}^-)(a). \end{aligned}$$

Which imply that $\mathcal{A} \circ \mathcal{B} \supseteq \mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{B}$ and by using Lemma 17, $\mathcal{A} \circ \mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{B}$, therefore $\mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{B} = \mathcal{A} \circ \mathcal{B}$.

(iii)→(ii) Let R be any right ideal and L be any left ideal of an ordered \mathcal{AG} -groupoid S , then by Lemma 7, $\Omega_R = (\mu_{\Omega_R}^+, \mu_{\Omega_R}^-)$ and $\Omega_L = (\mu_{\Omega_L}^+, \mu_{\Omega_L}^-)$ are bipolar-valued fuzzy right and bipolar-valued fuzzy left ideals of S respectively. As $(RL) \subseteq R \cap L$ is obvious [9]. Let $a \in R \cap L$, then $a \in R$ and $a \in L$. Now by using Lemma 7 and given assumption, we have

$$\mu_{\Omega_{(RL)}}^+(a) = (\mu_{\Omega_R}^+ \circ \mu_{\Omega_L}^+)(a) = (\mu_{\Omega_R}^+ \cap \mu_{\Omega_L}^+)(a) = \mu_{\Omega_R}^+(a) \wedge \mu_{\Omega_L}^+(a) = 1,$$

and similarly

$$\mu_{\Omega_{(RL)}}^-(a) = (\mu_{\Omega_R}^- \circ \mu_{\Omega_L}^-)(a) = (\mu_{\Omega_R}^- \cup \mu_{\Omega_L}^-)(a) = \mu_{\Omega_R}^-(a) \vee \mu_{\Omega_L}^-(a) = -1.$$

Which imply that $a \in (RL)$ and therefore $R \cap L = (RL)$. Now by using Corollary 10, R is semiprime.

(ii)→(i) Let S be an ordered \mathcal{AG} -groupoid with left identity, then clearly $(Sa]$ is a left ideal of S [9] such that $a \in (Sa]$ and $(a^2S]$ is a right ideal of S such that $a^2 \in (a^2S]$. Since by assumption, $(a^2S]$ is semiprime, therefore $a \in (a^2S]$. Now by using Lemma 13, (3), (1) and (4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} a \in (a^2S] \cap (Sa] &= ((a^2S](Sa]) = (a^2S](Sa] \subseteq ((a^2S)(Sa]) = ((aS)(Sa^2]) \\ &= (((Sa^2)S)a] = (((Sa^2)(eS))a] \subseteq (((Sa^2)(SS))a] = (((SS)(a^2S))a] \\ &= ((a^2((SS)S))a] \subseteq ((a^2S)S] = ((SS)(aa]) = ((aa)(SS]) \subseteq ((aa)S] \\ &= ((Sa)a] \subseteq ((Sa)(a^2S]) = (((a^2S)a)S] = (((aS)a^2)S] \subseteq ((Sa^2)S]. \end{aligned}$$

Which shows that S is intra-regular. ■

Theorem 19. *An ordered \mathcal{AG} -groupoid S with left identity is intra-regular if and only if for each bipolar-valued fuzzy two-sided ideal $\mathcal{A} = (\mu_{\mathcal{A}}^+, \mu_{\mathcal{A}}^-)$ of S , $\mathcal{A}(a) = \mathcal{A}(a^2)$ for all a in S .*

Proof. Assume that S is an intra-regular ordered \mathcal{AG} -groupoid with left identity and let $\mathcal{A} = (\mu_{\mathcal{A}}^+, \mu_{\mathcal{A}}^-)$ be a bipolar-valued fuzzy two-sided ideal of S . Let $a \in S$, then there exist $x, y \in S$ such that $a \leq (xa^2)y$. Now by using (3) and (4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{\mathcal{A}}^+(a) &\geq \mu_{\mathcal{A}}^+((xa^2)y) = \mu_{\mathcal{A}}^+((xa^2)(ey)) = \mu_{\mathcal{A}}^+((ye)(a^2x)) = \mu_{\mathcal{A}}^+(a^2((ye)x)) \\ &\geq \mu_{\mathcal{A}}^+(a^2) = \mu_{\mathcal{A}}^+(aa) \geq \mu_{\mathcal{A}}^+(a) \implies \mu_{\mathcal{A}}^+(a) = \mu_{\mathcal{A}}^+(a^2). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly we can show that $\mu_{\mathcal{A}}^-(a) = \mu_{\mathcal{A}}^-(a^2)$ and therefore $\mathcal{A}(a) = \mathcal{A}(a^2)$ holds for all a in S .

Conversely, assume that for any bipolar-valued fuzzy two-sided ideal $\mathcal{A} = (\mu_{\mathcal{A}}^+, \mu_{\mathcal{A}}^-)$ of S , $\mathcal{A}(a) = \mathcal{A}(a^2)$ holds for all a in S . As $(a^2S]$ is a two-sided ideal of S with left identity, then by Lemma 7, $\Omega_{(a^2S]} = (\mu_{\Omega_{(a^2S]}}^+, \mu_{\Omega_{(a^2S]}}^-)$ is a bipolar-valued fuzzy two-sided ideal of S . Therefore by given assumption and using the fact that $a^2 \in (a^2S]$, we have

$$\mu_{\Omega_{(a^2S]}}^+(a) = \mu_{\Omega_{(a^2S]}}^+(a^2) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \mu_{\Omega_{(a^2S]}}^-(a) = \mu_{\Omega_{(a^2S]}}^-(a^2) = -1,$$

which implies that $a \in (a^2S]$. Now by using (4) and (2), we have $a \in ((S a^2)S]$ and therefore S is intra-regular. \blacksquare

Lemma 20. *Every two-sided ideal of an ordered \mathcal{AG} -groupoid S with left identity is semiprime.*

Proof. The proof is straightforward. \blacksquare

Theorem 21. *Let S be an ordered \mathcal{AG} -groupoid with left identity, then the following conditions are equivalent.*

- (i) S is intra-regular.
- (ii) Every bipolar-valued fuzzy two-sided ideal of S is idempotent.

Proof. (i) \rightarrow (ii) Assume that S is an intra-regular ordered \mathcal{AG} -groupoid with left identity and let $a \in S$, then there exist $x, y \in S$ such that $a \leq (xa^2)y$. Now by using (4), (1) and (3), we have

$$a \leq (x(aa))y = (a(xa))y = (y(xa))a = ((ex)(ya))a = ((ay)(xe))a = (((xe)y)a)a.$$

Let $\mathcal{A} = (\mu_{\mathcal{A}}^+, \mu_{\mathcal{A}}^-)$ be a bipolar-valued fuzzy two-sided ideal of S , then by using Lemma 7, we have $\mu_{\mathcal{A}}^+ \circ \mu_{\mathcal{A}}^+ \subseteq \mu_{\mathcal{A}}^+$ and also we have

$$(\mu_{\mathcal{A}}^+ \circ \mu_{\mathcal{A}}^+)(a) = \bigvee_{a \leq ((xe)y)a} \{\mu_{\mathcal{A}}^+(((xe)y)a) \wedge \mu_{\mathcal{A}}^+(a)\} \geq \mu_{\mathcal{A}}^+(a) \wedge \mu_{\mathcal{A}}^+(a) = \mu_{\mathcal{A}}^+(a).$$

This implies that $\mu_{\mathcal{A}}^+ \circ \mu_{\mathcal{A}}^+ \supseteq \mu_{\mathcal{A}}^+$ and similarly we can get $\mu_{\mathcal{A}}^- \circ \mu_{\mathcal{A}}^- \subseteq \mu_{\mathcal{A}}^-$. Now by using Lemma 7, $\mu_{\mathcal{A}}^+ \circ \mu_{\mathcal{A}}^+ \subseteq \mu_{\mathcal{A}}^+$ and $\mu_{\mathcal{A}}^- \circ \mu_{\mathcal{A}}^- \supseteq \mu_{\mathcal{A}}^-$. Thus $\mathcal{A} = (\mu_{\mathcal{A}}^+, \mu_{\mathcal{A}}^-)$ is idempotent.

(ii)→(i) Assume that every two-sided ideal of an ordered \mathcal{AG} -groupoid S with left identity is idempotent and let $a \in S$. Since $(a^2S]$ is a two-sided ideal of S , therefore by Lemma 7, its characteristic function $\Omega_{(a^2S]} = (\mu_{\Omega_{(a^2S]}}^+, \mu_{\Omega_{(a^2S]}}^-)$ is a bipolar-valued fuzzy two-sided ideal of S . Since $a^2 \in (a^2S]$ so by Lemma 20 $a \in (a^2S]$ and therefore $\mu_{\Omega_{(a^2S]}}^+(a) = 1$ and $\mu_{\Omega_{(a^2S]}}^-(a) = -1$. Now by using the given assumption and Lemma 7, we have

$$\mu_{\Omega_{(a^2S]}}^+ \circ \mu_{\Omega_{(a^2S]}}^+ = \mu_{\Omega_{(a^2S]}}^+ \quad \text{and} \quad \mu_{\Omega_{(a^2S]}}^- \circ \mu_{\Omega_{(a^2S]}}^- = \mu_{\Omega_{((a^2S])^2}}^+.$$

Thus, we have

$$\left(\mu_{\Omega_{((a^2S])^2}}^+\right)(a) = \left(\mu_{\Omega_{(a^2S]}}^+\right)(a) = 1$$

and similarly we can get,

$$\left(\mu_{\Omega_{((a^2S])^2}}^-\right)(a) = \left(\mu_{\Omega_{(a^2S]}}^-\right)(a) = -1,$$

which imply that $a \in ((a^2S])^2$. Now by using Lemma 13 and (3), we have

$$a \in ((a^2S])^2 = (a^2S]^2 = (a^2S](a^2S] \subseteq ((a^2S)(a^2S]) = ((Sa^2)(Sa^2)) \subseteq ((Sa^2)S].$$

Which shows that S is intra-regular. ■

Theorem 22. For an ordered \mathcal{AG} -groupoid S with left identity, the following conditions are equivalent.

- (i) S is intra-regular.
- (ii) $\mathcal{A} = (\Gamma \circ \mathcal{A})^2$, where $\mathcal{A} = (\mu_{\mathcal{A}}^+, \mu_{\mathcal{A}}^-)$ is any bipolar-valued fuzzy two-sided ideal of S and $\Gamma = (\mathcal{S}_\Gamma^+, \mathcal{S}_\Gamma^-)$.

Proof. (i)→(ii) Let S be an intra-regular ordered \mathcal{AG} -groupoid and let $\mathcal{A} = (\mu_{\mathcal{A}}^+, \mu_{\mathcal{A}}^-)$ be any bipolar-valued fuzzy two-sided ideal of S , then it is easy to see

that $\Gamma \circ \mathcal{A}$ is also a bipolar-valued fuzzy two-sided ideal of S . Now by using Theorem 21, $\Gamma \circ \mathcal{A}$ is idempotent and therefore, we have

$$(\Gamma \circ \mathcal{A})^2 = \Gamma \circ \mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{A}.$$

Now let $a \in S$, since S is intra-regular so there exists $x \in S$ such that $a \leq (xa^2)y$. Now by using (4), (3) and (1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} a &\leq (x(aa))y = (a(xa))y \leq (((xa^2)y)(xa))(ey) = (ye)((xa)((xa^2)y)) \\ &= (xa)((ye)((xa^2)y)) = (xa)((ye)(x(aa)))y = (xa)((ye)(a(xa)))y \\ &= (xa)((a((ye)(xa)))y) = (xa)((y((ye)(xa)))a) \leq (xa)p, \end{aligned}$$

where $p \leq ((y((ye)(xa)))a)$ (by reflexive property) and therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{S}_\Gamma^+ \circ \mu_{\mathcal{A}}^+)^2(a) &= \bigvee_{a \leq (xa)p} \{(\mathcal{S}_\Gamma^+ \circ \mu_{\mathcal{A}}^+)(xa) \wedge (\mathcal{S}_\Gamma^+ \circ \mu_{\mathcal{A}}^+)(p)\} \\ &\geq (\mathcal{S}_\Gamma^+ \circ \mu_{\mathcal{A}}^+)(xa) \wedge (\mathcal{S}_\Gamma^+ \circ \mu_{\mathcal{A}}^+)(p) \\ &= \bigvee_{xa \leq xa} \{\mathcal{S}_\Gamma^+(x) \wedge \mu_{\mathcal{A}}^+(a)\} \wedge \bigvee_{p \leq (y((ye)(xa)))a} \{\mathcal{S}_\Gamma^+(y((ye)(xa))) \wedge \mu_{\mathcal{A}}^+(a)\} \\ &\geq \mathcal{S}_\Gamma^+(x) \wedge \mu_{\mathcal{A}}^+(a) \wedge \mathcal{S}_\Gamma^+(y((ye)(xa))) \wedge \mu_{\mathcal{A}}^+(a) = \mu_{\mathcal{A}}^+(a). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly we can get $(\mathcal{S}_\Gamma^- \circ \mu_{\mathcal{A}}^-)^2(a) \leq \mu_{\mathcal{A}}^-(a)$, which implies that $(\Gamma \circ \mathcal{A})^2 \supseteq \mathcal{A}$. Thus we get the required $\mathcal{A} = (\Gamma \circ \mathcal{A})^2$.

(ii)→(i) Let $\mathcal{A} = (\Gamma \circ \mathcal{A})^2$ holds for any bipolar-valued fuzzy two-sided ideal $\mathcal{A} = (\mu_{\mathcal{A}}^+, \mu_{\mathcal{A}}^-)$ of S , then by using Lemma 17 and given assumption, we have

$$\mu_{\mathcal{A}}^+ = (\mathcal{S}_\Gamma^+ \circ \mu_{\mathcal{A}}^+)^2 \subseteq (\mu_{\mathcal{A}}^+)^2 = \mu_{\mathcal{A}}^+ \circ \mu_{\mathcal{A}}^+ \subseteq S \circ \mu_{\mathcal{A}}^+ \subseteq \mu_{\mathcal{A}}^+.$$

Which shows that $\mu_{\mathcal{A}}^+ = \mu_{\mathcal{A}}^+ \circ \mu_{\mathcal{A}}^+$ and similarly $\mu_{\mathcal{A}}^- = \mu_{\mathcal{A}}^- \circ \mu_{\mathcal{A}}^-$, therefore $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A} \circ \mathcal{A}$. Thus by using Lemma 21, S is intra-regular. \blacksquare

Lemma 23. *Let S be an intra-regular ordered \mathcal{AG} -groupoid with left identity and let $\mathcal{A} = (\mu_{\mathcal{A}}^+, \mu_{\mathcal{A}}^-)$ and $\mathcal{B} = (\mu_{\mathcal{B}}^+, \mu_{\mathcal{B}}^-)$ be any bipolar-valued fuzzy two-sided ideals of S , then $\mathcal{A} \circ \mathcal{B} = \mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{B}$.*

Proof. The proof is straightforward. \blacksquare

Lemma 24. *In an intra-regular ordered \mathcal{AG} -groupoid S , $\Gamma \circ \mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{A} \circ \Gamma = \mathcal{A}$ holds for a BVF-subset $\mathcal{A} = (\mu_{\mathcal{A}}^+, \mu_{\mathcal{A}}^-)$ of S , where $\Gamma = (\mathcal{S}_{\Gamma}^+, \mathcal{S}_{\Gamma}^-)$.*

Proof. The proof is straightforward. ■

Corollary 25. *In an intra-regular ordered \mathcal{AG} -groupoid S , $\Gamma \circ \mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{A} \circ \Gamma = \mathcal{A}$ holds for every bipolar-valued fuzzy two-sided ideal of S .*

Theorem 26. *The set of bipolar-valued fuzzy two-sided ideals of an intra-regular ordered \mathcal{AG} -groupoid S with left identity forms a semilattice structure with identity Γ , where $\Gamma = (\mathcal{S}_{\Gamma}^+, \mathcal{S}_{\Gamma}^-)$.*

Proof. Assume that $\mathbb{B}_{+\mu^-}$ is the set of bipolar-valued fuzzy two-sided ideals of an intra-regular ordered \mathcal{AG} -groupoid S and let $\mathcal{A} = (\mu_{\mathcal{A}}^+, \mu_{\mathcal{A}}^-)$, $\mathcal{B} = (\mu_{\mathcal{B}}^+, \mu_{\mathcal{B}}^-)$ and $\mathcal{C} = (\mu_{\mathcal{C}}^+, \mu_{\mathcal{C}}^-)$ be in $\mathbb{B}_{+\mu^-}$. Clearly $\mathbb{B}_{+\mu^-}$ is closed and by Theorem 21, $\mathcal{A}^2 = \mathcal{A}$. Now by using Lemma 23, we get $\mathcal{A} \circ \mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B} \circ \mathcal{A}$ and therefore, we have

$$(\mathcal{A} \circ \mathcal{B}) \circ \mathcal{C} = (\mathcal{B} \circ \mathcal{A}) \circ \mathcal{C} = (\mathcal{C} \circ \mathcal{A}) \circ \mathcal{B} = (\mathcal{A} \circ \mathcal{C}) \circ \mathcal{B} = (\mathcal{B} \circ \mathcal{C}) \circ \mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A} \circ (\mathcal{B} \circ \mathcal{C}).$$

It is easy to see from Corollary 25 that Γ is an identity in $\mathbb{B}_{+\mu^-}$. ■

Conclusion. In this paper, we introduced the concept of bipolar-valued fuzzification of an ordered \mathcal{AG} -groupoid and discussed some structural properties of bipolar-valued fuzzy two-sided ideals of an intra-regular ordered \mathcal{AG} -groupoid. We characterized an intra-regular ordered \mathcal{AG} -groupoid in terms of bipolar-valued fuzzy two-sided ideals.

In our future study of bipolar-valued fuzzy structure of ordered \mathcal{AG} -groupoids, may be the following topics should be considered:

1. To characterize other classes of ordered \mathcal{AG} -groupoids by the properties of these bipolar-valued fuzzy ideals.
2. To characterize regular and intra-regular ordered \mathcal{AG} -groupoids by the properties of these bipolar-valued fuzzy ideals.

REFERENCES

- [1] S. Abdullah, M. Aslam, N. Amin and T. Khan, *Direct product of finite fuzzy subsets of LA-semigroups*, Ann. Fuzzy Math. Inform. **3** (2) (2012), 281–292.
- [2] M. Aslam, S. Abdullah and M. Maqsood, *Bipolar fuzzy ideals in LA-semigroups*, World Appl. Sci. J. **17** (12) (2012), 1769–1782.
- [3] M. Aslam, S. Abdullah and N. Amin, *Characterization of Γ -LA-semigroups by generalized fuzzy Γ -ideals*, Int. J. Math. Stat. **11** (1) (2012), 29–50.
- [4] A. Borumand Saeid, *Bipolar-valued fuzzy BCK/BCI-algebras*, World Appl. Sci. J. **7** (11) (2009), 1404–1411.

- [5] Faisal, N. Yaqoob and A. Ghareeb, *Left regular AG-groupoids in terms of fuzzy interior ideals*, Afr. Mat. (in press). doi: 10.1007/s13370-012-0081-y.
- [6] Faisal, N. Yaqoob and K. Hila, *On fuzzy (2, 2)-regular ordered Γ -AG^{**}-groupoids*, U.P.B. Sci. Bull. Ser. A **74** (2) (2012), 87–104.
- [7] P. Holgate, *Groupoids satisfying a simple invertive law*, The Math. Stud. **61** (1992), 101–106.
- [8] M.A. Kazim and M. Naseeruddin, *On almost semigroups*, Aligarh Bull. Math. **2** (1972), 1–7.
- [9] M. Khan and Faisal, *On fuzzy ordered Abel-Grassmann's groupoids*, J. Math. Res. **3** (2011), 27–40.
- [10] M. Khan and Faisal, *Intra-regular AG-groupoids characterized by their intuitionistic fuzzy ideals*, J. Adv. Res. Dyn. Control. Syst. **2** (2011), 17–33.
- [11] N. Kuroki, *Fuzzy bi-ideals in semigroups*, Comment. Math. Univ. St. Pauli **27** (1979), 17–21.
- [12] K.M. Lee, *Bi-polar-valued fuzzy sets and their operations*, Proc. Int. Conf. Intel. Tech. Bangkok Thailand (2000), 307–312.
- [13] K.M. Lee, *Comparison of interval-valued fuzzy sets, intuitionistic fuzzy sets and bipolar-valued fuzzy sets*, J. Korean Inst. Intel. Syst. **14** (2) (2004), 125–129. doi:10.5391/JKIIS.2004.14.2.125
- [14] Q. Mushtaq and S.M. Yusuf, *On LA-semigroups*, Aligarh. Bull. Math. **8** (1978), 65–70.
- [15] Q. Mushtaq and S.M. Yusuf, *On LA-semigroup defined by a commutative inverse semigroups*, Math. Bech. **40** (1988), 59–62.
- [16] M. Naseeruddin, *Some studies in almost semigroups and flocks*, Ph.D., thesis. Aligarh Muslim University, Aligarh, India, 1970.
- [17] A. Rosenfeld, *Fuzzy groups*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **35** (1971), 512–517. doi:10.1016/0022-247X(71)90199-5
- [18] N. Stevanović and P.V. Protić, *Composition of Abel-Grassmann's 3-bands*, Novi Sad J. Math. **2** (34) (2004), 175–182.
- [19] X.Y. Xie and J. Tang, *Fuzzy radicals and prime fuzzy ideals of ordered semigroups*, Inform. Sci. **178** (2008), 4357–4374. doi:10.1016/j.ins.2008.07.006.
- [20] N. Yaqoob, S. Abdullah, N. Rehman and M. Naeem, *Roughness and fuzziness in ordered ternary semigroups*, World Appl. Sci. J. **17** (12) (2012), 1683–1693.
- [21] N. Yaqoob, *Bipolar-valued fuzzy ideals in LA-semigroups*, J. Adv. Stud. Topol. **3** (1) (2012), 60–71.
- [22] N. Yaqoob and M. Aslam, *Yao's generalized rough approximations in left almost semigroups*, Ann. Fuzzy Math. Inform. **3** (1) (2012), 119–131.

- [23] N. Yaqoob, *Interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy ideals of regular LA-semigroups*, Thai J. Math. (in press).
- [24] N. Yaqoob, R. Chinram, A. Ghareeb and M. Aslam, *Left almost semigroups characterized by their interval valued fuzzy ideals*, Afr. Mat. (in press).
doi:10.1007/s13370-011-0055-5
- [25] L.A. Zadeh, *Fuzzy sets*, Inform. Control. **8** (1965), 338–353.
doi:10.1016/S0019-9958(65)90241-X

Received 28 October 2012

Revised 7 December 2012