

## CONVERGENCE WITH A REGULATOR IN DIRECTED GROUPS

ŠTEFAN ČERNÁK\*

*Department of Mathematics,  
Faculty of Civil Engineering, Technical University,  
Vysokoškolská 4, SK-042 02 Košice, Slovakia*

and/or

*Mathematical Institute, Slovak Academy of Sciences  
Grešákova 6, SK-04001 Kosice, Slovakia*

**e-mail:** Stefan.Cernak@tuke.sk

### Abstract

There is defined and studied a convergence with a fixed regulator  $u$  in directed groups. A  $u$ -Cauchy completion of an integrally closed directed group is constructed.

**Keywords:** convergent sequence, fundamental sequence, Cauchy completion, integrally closed directed group, convergence regulator, vector lattice.

**2000 Mathematics Subject Classification:** 06F15, 20F60.

B.Z. Vulikh [6] has defined the notion of a convergence of sequences with a regulator ( $r$ -convergence) in a vector lattice  $V$ . A convergence regulator depends on a sequence in  $V$ . In the book [5], W.A.J. Luxemburg and A.C. Zaanen introduced the notion of a convergence in  $V$  with a fixed regulator for all sequences in  $V$ .

The definition from [5] was formally changed in [1] and then applied in a lattice ordered group  $G$  to define the notion of a convergence with a fixed regulator  $u$  ( $u$ -convergence) in  $G$ . For an Archimedean lattice ordered group  $G$  there was defined and investigated the concept of a  $u$ -Cauchy completion of  $G$ .

---

\*Supported by the Slovak VEGA Grant1/0423/03.

In the present note the notion of a  $u$ -convergence is introduced in directed groups in such a way that it coincides with that in lattice ordered groups. Further, there is constructed a  $u$ -Cauchy completion  $G^*$  of an integrally closed directed group  $G$ .

## 1. PRELIMINARIES

We recall some relevant basic notions and results (cf. [2], [3], [4]) concerning ordered groups.

Let  $G$  be a partially ordered group and let  $\mathbb{N}$  ( $\mathbb{Z}$ , resp.) be the set of all positive integers (integers, resp.). An element  $0 < e \in G$  is called a *strong unit* of  $G$  if for each  $x \in G$  there exists  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $ne > x$ . A partially ordered group  $G$  will be said to be *integrally closed* if for all  $x, y \in G$ ,  $nx \leq y$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  implies  $x \leq 0$ . We will call  $G$  *Archimedean* if for all  $x, y \in G$ ,  $nx \leq y$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  implies  $x = 0$ . If  $G$  is integrally closed, then  $G$  is Archimedean. The converse does not hold in general (for example the additive group  $G$  of all complex numbers with  $G^+ = \{x + iy : x = y = 0 \text{ or } x > 0 \text{ and } y > 0\}$  is Archimedean and it fails to be integrally closed), but it does for lattice ordered groups.  $G$  is called *directed* if its partial order is upward (or, equivalently downward) directed. Equivalently,  $G$  is directed if and only if every element  $g \in G$  may be expressed in the form  $g = x - y$  for suitable elements  $x, y \in G$ ,  $x, y \geq 0$ .

Assume that  $G$  is a lattice ordered group and  $x, y \in G$ . Putting  $|x| = x \vee (-x)$  we define the *absolute value* of  $x$ . Evidently,  $|x| \leq y$  if and only if  $-y \leq x \leq y$ .

$G$  is *torsion free*, i.e.,  $x \neq 0$  entails  $nx \neq 0$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

If  $G$  is Abelian and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then

$$(1) \quad nx < ny \text{ implies } x < y.$$

A partially ordered group  $G$  is said to be *complete* if every nonempty subset of  $G$  bounded from above has a least upper bound in  $G$ . Every directed complete partially ordered group is a lattice ordered group. For a subset  $X$  of  $G$ ,  $U(X)$  ( $L(X)$ , resp.) will denote the set of all upper (lower, resp.) bounds of  $X$  in  $G$ .

**Theorem 1.1** (cf. [4], Theorem 9.A). *Let  $G$  be a directed group. Then  $G$  can be embedded into a complete lattice ordered group  $H$  if and only if  $G$  is integrally closed.*

**Theorem 1.2** ([3], Theorem 18). *A complete lattice ordered group is Abelian.*

By using Theorems 1.1 and 1.2, we immediately obtain

**Corollary 1.3** ([3], Corollary 20). *Every integrally closed directed group is Abelian.*

Assume that  $G$  is an integrally closed directed group. Then, by Theorems 1.1 and 1.2, it follows that the implication (1) is valid in  $H$  and also in  $G$ . Since  $H$  is torsion-free,  $G$  is as well.

## 2. $u$ -CONVERGENCE IN DIRECTED GROUPS

In this section we recall the notion of a convergence with a fixed regulator in vector lattices and formally change it to be applicable in directed groups. The notion of a convergence with a fixed regulator  $u$  ( $u$ -convergence) is defined and studied in directed groups.

**Definition 2.1** (cf. [5]). Let  $V$  be a vector lattice and  $0 \leq u \in V$ . It is said that a sequence  $(x_n)$  in  $V$   $u$ -converges to an element  $x \in V$  if the following condition is satisfied:

(c) for every real number  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$|x_n - x| \leq \varepsilon u \text{ for each } n \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq n_0.$$

In the condition (c),  $\varepsilon$  can be equivalently replaced by  $\frac{1}{p}$  ( $p \in \mathbb{N}$ ). Then

$$|x_n - x| \leq \frac{1}{p}u, \text{ i.e., } -\frac{1}{p}u \leq x_n - x \leq \frac{1}{p}u.$$

Therefore, the condition (c) is equivalent to the condition

(c') for each  $p \in \mathbb{N}$  there exists  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  with

$$-u \leq p(x_n - x) \leq u \text{ for each } n \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq n_0.$$

The condition (c') can be applied also in directed groups.

**Definition 2.2.** Let  $G$  be a directed group, and  $0 < u \in G$ . We say that a sequence  $(x_n)$  in  $G$  *u-converges* to an element  $x \in G$  (or  $x$  is a *u-limit* of  $(x_n)$ ), written  $x_n \xrightarrow{u} x$ , if the condition (c') is satisfied.

The element  $u$  is called a *convergence regulator*. Let  $R$  be the additive group of all reals with the natural linear order. If  $G = R$ , then for each  $0 < u \in G$  the notion of  $u$ -convergence coincides with the usual convergence.

**Theorem 2.3.** *Let  $G$  be an integrally closed directed group and  $0 < u \in G$ . Then  $u$ -limits in  $G$  are uniquely determined.*

**Proof.** Assume that  $(x_n)$  is a sequence in  $G$  such that  $x_n \xrightarrow{u} x$  and  $x_n \xrightarrow{u} y$ . Let  $p \in \mathbb{N}$ . There exists  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  with

$$-u \leq 2p(x_n - x) \leq u \quad \text{and} \quad -u \leq 2p(x_n - y) \leq u \quad \text{for each } n \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq n_0.$$

We have

$$-u \leq 2p(x_{n_0} - x) \leq u \quad \text{and} \quad -u \leq 2p(x_{n_0} - y) \leq u.$$

Therefore,

$$2p(y - x) = 2p(y - x_{n_0} + x_{n_0} - x) \leq 2u$$

and

$$2p(x - y) = 2p(x - x_{n_0} + x_{n_0} - y) \leq 2u.$$

From this, we infer that  $p(y - x) \leq u$  and  $p(x - y) \leq u$ . The hypothesis yields  $y - x \leq 0$  and  $x - y \leq 0$ . Thus  $x = y$ . ■

**Lemma 2.4.** *Let  $G$  be a non-Archimedean directed group. Then there exists  $0 < u \in G$  such that  $u$ -limits are not uniquely determined.*

**Proof.** There are  $a, b \in G, a \neq 0, b > 0$  such that  $ka \leq b$  for each  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Since  $G$  is directed, there exist  $0 \leq x, y \in G, x \neq y$  with  $a = x - y$ . Consider the sequence  $(x_n) = (x, y, x, y, \dots)$ . Let  $p \in \mathbb{N}$ . We obtain

$$p(x_n - x) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } n \text{ is even,} \\ p(y - x) = (-p)a, & \text{if } n \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

Then  $-b \leq (-p)a \leq b$ . If we put  $u = b$ , we get  $x_n \xrightarrow{u} x$ . Analogously, we derive that  $x_n \xrightarrow{u} y$ . ■

Assume that  $G$  is a non-integrally closed directed group. If  $G$  is non-Archimedean, then, by Lemma 2.4,  $u$ -limits are not uniquely determined for some  $0 < u \in G$ . If  $G$  is Archimedean, the question of the uniqueness of  $u$ -limits remains open.

The idea of the proofs of Theorem 2.3 and Lemma 2.4 is the same as for corresponding results in [1], where it was used in the case of lattice-ordered groups.

In what follows,  $G$  is assumed to be an integrally closed directed group and  $0 < u \in G$  a fixed convergence regulator in  $G$ . We shall write  $x_n \rightarrow x$  (or  $x_n \rightarrow x$  in  $G$ ) instead of  $x_n \xrightarrow{u} x$ . By a convergent sequence, a  $u$ -convergent sequence is meant and a limit will mean a  $u$ -limit.

**Lemma 2.5.** *Let  $(x_n)$  and  $(y_n)$  be sequences in  $G$ ,  $x_n \rightarrow x$ ,  $y_n \rightarrow y$ . Then  $x_n + y_n \rightarrow x + y$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $p \in \mathbb{N}$ . There exists  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  with

$$-u \leq 2p(x_n - x) \leq u, \quad -u \leq 2p(y_n - y) \leq u \quad \text{for each } n \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq n_0.$$

Then

$$-2u \leq 2p(x_n + y_n - (x + y)) \leq 2u,$$

$$-u \leq p(x_n + y_n - (x + y)) \leq u,$$

i.e.,

$$x_n + y_n \rightarrow x + y. \quad \blacksquare$$

**Lemma 2.6.** *If  $x_n \rightarrow x$  and  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ , then  $kx_n \rightarrow kx$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $p \in \mathbb{N}$ . There exists  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  with

$$-u \leq p|x_n - x| \leq u \quad \text{for each } n \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq n_0.$$

This yields

$$-u \leq pk(x_n - x) \leq u, \quad \text{for each } n \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq n_0.$$

Thus,  $kx_n \rightarrow kx$ . ■

**Definition 2.7.** A sequence  $(x_n)$  in  $G$  is said to be *u-fundamental* (abbreviate to *fundamental*) in  $G$  if for each  $p \in \mathbb{N}$  there exists  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$-u \leq p(x_n - x_m) \leq u, \text{ for each } m, n \in \mathbb{N}, m \geq n \geq n_0.$$

**Lemma 2.8.** *Every convergent sequence in  $G$  is fundamental in  $G$ .*

**Proof.** Assume that  $(x_n)$  is a convergent sequence in  $G$ ,  $x_n \rightarrow x$ . Let  $p \in \mathbb{N}$ . There exists  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  with

$$-u \leq 2p(x_n - x) \leq u, \text{ for each } n \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq n_0.$$

For each  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}, m \geq n \geq n_0$ , we get  $-u \leq 2p(x - x_m) \leq u$ . Therefore,  $-2u \leq 2p(x_n - x_m) \leq 2u$ . Hence,  $-u \leq p(x_n - x_m) \leq u$  and the proof is finished. ■

In general, a fundamental sequence is not convergent. Indeed, it suffices to put  $G = Q$ , where  $Q$  is the additive group of all rationals with the natural linear order. If all fundamental sequences in  $G$  are convergent, then we shall refer to  $G$  as *u-Cauchy complete* (shortly *C-complete*).

### 3. u-CAUCHY COMPLETION OF $G$

Remind that  $G$  stands for an integrally closed directed group. In this section a construction of a *u-Cauchy completion* of  $G$  will be presented.

**Lemma 3.1.** *Every fundamental sequence in  $G$  is bounded.*

**Proof.** Suppose that  $(x_n)$  is a fundamental sequence in  $G$ . Let  $p \in \mathbb{N}$ . There exists  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$-u \leq p(x_n - x_m) \leq u \text{ for each } m, n \in \mathbb{N}, m \geq n \geq n_0.$$

Therefore,

$$x_{n_0} - u \leq x_m \leq x_{n_0} + u \text{ for each } m \in \mathbb{N}, m \geq n_0.$$

Let  $h \in U(\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n_0-1}, x_{n_0} + u\})$  and  $l \in L(\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n_0-1}, x_{n_0} - u\})$ . Then we obtain  $l \leq x_m \leq h$  for each  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . ■

By a *zero sequence* is understood a sequence  $(x_n)$  with  $x_n \rightarrow 0$ . The set of all fundamental (zero, resp.) sequences in  $G$  is denoted by  $F$  ( $E$ , resp.).

Define the operation  $+$  in  $F$  by putting  $(x_n) + (y_n) = (x_n + y_n)$ . Further, set  $(x_n) \leq (y_n)$  if and only if  $x_n \leq y_n$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . It is clear that  $(F, \leq)$  is a partially ordered set. Moreover, we have

**Lemma 3.2.**  *$(F, +, \leq)$  is an integrally closed directed group.*

**Proof.** Let  $(x_n), (y_n) \in F$  and  $p \in \mathbb{N}$ . There exists  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  with

$$-u \leq 2p(x_n - x_m) \leq u \quad \text{and} \quad -u \leq 2p(y_n - y_m) \leq u$$

for each  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}, m \geq n \geq n_0$ .

Then

$$-2u \leq 2p(x_n + y_n) - (x_m + y_m) \leq 2u.$$

Thus,

$$-u \leq p((x_n + y_n) - (x_m + y_m)) \leq u.$$

Hence,  $(x_n + y_n) \in F$ .

From  $(x_n) \in F$ , it follows  $(-x_n) \in F$  as well;  $(-x_n)$  is the inverse to  $(x_n)$ . Hence,  $F$  is a group.

According to Lemma 3.1, there are  $h_i, l_i (i = 1, 2)$  with

$$l_1 \leq x_n \leq h_1 \quad \text{and} \quad l_2 \leq y_n \leq h_2 \quad \text{for each } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Let  $h \in U(\{h_1, h_2\})$  and  $l \in L(\{l_1, l_2\})$ . Constant sequences  $(l, l, \dots)$  and  $(h, h, \dots)$  belong to  $F$ . We have

$$(l, l, \dots) \leq (x_n) \leq (h, h, \dots) \quad \text{and} \quad (l, l, \dots) \leq (y_n) \leq (h, h, \dots).$$

This shows that  $F$  is a directed set. Since the group operation is performed componentwise,  $F$  is an integrally closed partially ordered group and the proof is complete. ■

**Lemma 3.3.**  *$E$  is a convex subgroup of  $F$ .*

**Proof.** In view of Lemma 2.8, we have  $E \subseteq F$ . According to Lemmas 2.5 and 2.6,  $E$  is a subgroup of  $F$ . Assume that  $(x_n) \in E, (y_n) \in F$  and  $0 \leq (y_n) \leq (x_n)$ . Then for each  $p \in \mathbb{N}$  there exists  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $-u \leq py_n \leq px_n \leq u$  each  $n \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq n_0$ . Therefore  $(y_n) \in E$  and  $E$  is convex in  $F$ . ■

Since  $F$  is Abelian, we can form the factor group  $G^* = F/E$ . We use  $(x_n)^*$  to denote the class of  $G^*$  containing a sequence  $(x_n) \in F$ . Let  $(x_n)^*, (y_n)^* \in G^*$ . By Lemma 3.2, we obtain  $(x_n + y_n) \in F$ . We have  $(x_n)^* + (y_n)^* = (x_n + y_n)^*$ . The group  $G^*$  can be made into a partially ordered group by putting  $(x_n)^* \leq (y_n)^*$  if and only if there exist  $(x'_n) \in (x_n)^*$  and  $(y'_n) \in (y_n)^*$  such that  $(x'_n) \leq (y'_n)$  (equivalently, if for each  $(x'_n) \in (x_n)^*$  there is  $(y'_n) \in (y_n)^*$  with  $(x'_n) \leq (y'_n)$ ).

It is easy to see that  $(x_n)^* \leq (y_n)^*$  if and only if there exists a sequence  $(t_n) \in E$  with  $(x_n) \leq (y_n) + (t_n)$ . By using Lemma 3.2, we obtain that  $G^*$  is an Abelian directed group.

Now, we are interested in the question whether  $G^*$  is integrally closed. The following theorem offers a partial answer.

**Theorem 3.4.** *Let  $G$  be an integrally closed directed group and let  $u$  be a strong unit of  $G$ . Then  $G^*$  is integrally closed.*

**Proof.** Let  $(x_n)^*, (y_n)^* \in G^*$  such that  $k(x_n)^* \leq (y_n)^*$  for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . We are going to show that  $(x_n)^* \leq E$ .

For each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exists a sequence  $(t_n^k) \in E$  with

$$k(x_n) \leq (y_n) + (t_n^k).$$

With respect to Lemma 3.1, there exists  $g \in G$  with  $y_n \leq g$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since  $u$  is a strong unit of  $G$ , there exists  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $mu > g$ .

We have

$$(m+1)kx_n \leq y_n + t_n^{(m+1)k} \leq g + t_n^{(m+1)k} < mu + t_n^{(m+1)k}$$

for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

From  $(t_n^{(m+1)k}) \in E$ , we infer that there exists  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $t_n^{(m+1)k} \leq u$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq n_0$ . Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq n_0$ . We get

$$(m+1)kx_n < mu + u = (m+1)u.$$

Hence,  $kx_n < u$ . From the assumption that  $G$  is integrally closed, it follows  $x_n \leq 0$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq n_0$ , proving  $(x_n)^* \leq E$ . ■

The proof of Theorem 3.4 is similar to that in [1] applied for an Archimedean lattice ordered group.

Consider the class  $T$  of integrally closed directed group  $G$  such that  $G^*$  is again such one. This class is rich. Indeed, every Archimedean linearly ordered group,  $G \in T$ . It is a consequence of the fact that every Archimedean linearly ordered group is a subgroup of  $R$ . Another groups from the class  $T$  can be obtained by using Theorem 3.4. Let  $G$  be an integrally closed directed group and  $0 < g \in G$ . Then  $G(g) = \bigcup [-ng, ng] (n \in \mathbb{N})$  is a convex subgroup of  $G$  generated by  $g$ . It is easily seen that  $G(g)$  is an integrally closed directed group and  $g$  is a strong unit of  $G(g)$ . Applying Theorem 3.4, we conclude  $G(g) \in T$ .

The question whether Theorem 3.4 holds without assuming that  $u$  is a strong unit of  $G$ , remains open.

**Definition 3.5.** Let  $G \in T$  and  $u$  be a convergence regulator in  $G$ . Then  $G^*$  is called a *u-Cauchy completion* (shortly a *C-completion*) of  $G$ .

Throughout, it will be supposed that  $G^*$  is a *C-completion* of  $G$ .

The element  $U = (u, u, \dots)^*$  is considered as a convergence regulator in  $G^*$ . For  $(x_n) \in F$ , denote  $X_n = (x_n, x_n, \dots)^*$ .

Define the mapping  $\Psi : G \rightarrow G^*$  by the rule  $\Psi(x) = (x, x, \dots)^*$  for each  $x \in G$ . Then  $\Psi$  is an isomorphism of a directed group  $G$  into  $G^*$ .

**Theorem 3.6.** *Every element of  $G^*$  is a U-limit of some sequence in  $\Psi(G)$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $(x_n)^* \in G^*$ . Then  $(X_n)$  is a sequence in  $\Psi(G)$ . We intend to show that  $X_n \xrightarrow{U} (x_n)^*$ .

Let  $n_1 \in \mathbb{N}$  be fixed. An easy verification establishes that

$$\begin{aligned} X_{n_1} - (x_n)^* &= (x_{n_1}, x_{n_1}, \dots)^* - (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}, \dots)^* = \\ &= (x_{n_1} - x_1, x_{n_1} - x_2, \dots, x_{n_1} - x_{n_1}, x_{n_1} - x_{n_1+1}, x_{n_1} - x_{n_1+2}, \dots)^* = \\ &= (0, x_{n_1} - x_{n_1+1}, x_{n_1} - x_{n_1+2}, \dots)^* = (x_{n_1} - x_m)^* \text{ (where } m \geq n_1). \end{aligned}$$

Let  $p \in \mathbb{N}$ . There exists  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  with

$$-u \leq p(x_n - x_m) \leq u \text{ for each } m, n \in \mathbb{N}, m \geq n \geq n_0.$$

Suppose that  $n \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq n_0$  is fixed and  $m \in \mathbb{N}, m \geq n$ . Then for the sequence  $(x_n - x_m) (m \in \mathbb{N})$ , we obtain  $(x_n - x_m) \in F$  and

$$-U \leq p(x_n - x_m)^* = p(X_n - (x_n)^*) \leq U,$$

completing the proof. ■

$F^*$  will denote the set of all  $U$ -fundamental sequences in  $G^*$ .

**Theorem 3.7.** *Let  $(x_n)$  be a sequence in  $G$ . Then  $(x_n) \in F$  if and only if  $(X_n) \in F^*$ .*

**Proof.** Assume that  $(x_n) \in F$  and  $p \in \mathbb{N}$ . There exists  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  with

$$-u \leq p(x_n - x_m) \leq u \text{ for each } m, n \in \mathbb{N}, m \geq n \geq n_0.$$

Then

$$(-u, -u, \dots) \leq p(x_n - x_m, x_n - x_m, \dots) \leq (u, u, \dots),$$

$$-U \leq p(x_n - x_m, x_n - x_m, \dots)^* \leq U,$$

$$-U \leq p((x_n, x_n, \dots)^* - (x_m, x_m, \dots)^*) \leq U,$$

$$-U \leq p(X_n - X_m) \leq U$$

and thus,  $(X_n) \in F^*$ .

Conversely, suppose that  $(X_n) \in F^*$  and  $p \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then there exists  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  with

$$-U \leq 2p(X_n - X_m) \leq U \text{ for each } m, n \in \mathbb{N}, m \geq n \geq n_0.$$

We have

$$X_n - X_m = (x_n, x_n, \dots)^* - (x_m, x_m, \dots)^* = (x_n - x_m, x_n - x_m, \dots)^*.$$

Then

$$-(u, u, \dots)^* \leq 2p(x_n - x_m, x_n - x_m, \dots)^* \leq (u, u, \dots)^*.$$

Let  $m, n \geq n_0$  be fixed. For each  $p \in \mathbb{N}$  there exists a sequence  $(t_s^p) \in E$  ( $s \in \mathbb{N}$ ) such that

$$2p(x_n - x_m) \leq u + t_s^p \quad \text{for each } s \in \mathbb{N}.$$

There exists  $s_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $t_s^p \leq u$  for each  $s \in \mathbb{N}, s \geq s_0$ . We set  $t_s^{p'} = t_s^p$  if  $s \in \mathbb{N}, s \geq s_0$  and  $t_s^{p'} = t_{s_0}^p$ , if  $s \in \mathbb{N}, s < s_0$ . Then  $(t_s^{p'}) \in E$  and  $t_s^{p'} \leq u$  for each  $s \in \mathbb{N}$ . We get  $2p(x_n - x_m) \leq 2u$ . Hence,  $p(x_n - x_m) \leq u$ . In a similar way, we get  $-u \leq p(x_n - x_m)$ . Whence,  $(x_n) \in F$ . ■

**Theorem 3.8.**  $G^*$  is  $C$ -complete.

**Proof.** Let  $X^1 = (x_m^1)^*, X^2 = (x_m^2)^*, \dots$  be a sequence from  $G^*$ . We have to show that this sequence is  $U$ -convergent. According to Theorem 3.6, every element  $X^n = (x_m^n)^*$  of the sequence is a  $U$ -limit of some sequence in  $\Psi(G)$ , namely  $X_m^n = (x_m^n, x_m^n, \dots)^* \xrightarrow{U} X^n$ . For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  can be found  $m_n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $-U \leq k(X_{m_n}^n - X^n) \leq U$  for each  $m, k \in \mathbb{N}, m \geq m_n, k \leq n$ . Whence  $-U \leq k(X_{m_n}^n - X^n) \leq U$  for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}, k \leq n$ . If we denote  $\mathbb{Z}_n = X_{m_n}^n$ , then

$$-U \leq k(\mathbb{Z}_n - X^n) \leq U \quad \text{for each } k \in \mathbb{N}, k \leq n.$$

We are going to prove that  $(\mathbb{Z}_n) \in F^*$ .

We have

$$\mathbb{Z}_n - \mathbb{Z}_m = (\mathbb{Z}_n - X^n) + (X^n - X^m) + (X^m - \mathbb{Z}_m).$$

Let  $p \in \mathbb{N}$ . There is  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}, n_0 \geq 3p$ , such that for each  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}, m \geq n \geq n_0$ , we get

$$-U \leq 3p(\mathbb{Z}_n - X^n) \leq U, -U \leq 3p(X^n - X^m) \leq U, -U \leq 3p(X^m - \mathbb{Z}_m) \leq U$$

which entails

$$-3U \leq 3p(\mathbb{Z}_n - \mathbb{Z}_m) \leq 3U.$$

Therefore,

$$-U \leq p(\mathbb{Z}_n - \mathbb{Z}_m) \leq U,$$

that means  $(\mathbb{Z}_n) \in F^*$ .

Under the notation  $z_n = x_{m_n}^n$ , we have  $\mathbb{Z}_n = (z_n, z_n, \dots)^*$ . By Theorem 3.7 from  $(\mathbb{Z}_n) \in F^*$ , it follows  $(z_n) \in F$ . We intend to show that  $X^n \rightarrow (z_n)^*$ .

By Theorem 3.6,  $\mathbb{Z}_n \rightarrow (z_n)^*$ . We have

$$X^n - (z_n)^* = (X^n - \mathbb{Z}_n) + (\mathbb{Z}_n - (z_n)^*).$$

Let  $p \in \mathbb{N}$ . There is  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}, n_0 \geq 2p$  such that for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq n_0$ , we obtain

$$-U \leq 2p(X^n - \mathbb{Z}_n) \leq U, -U \leq 2p(\mathbb{Z}_n - (z_n)^*) \leq U.$$

This yields

$$-2U \leq 2p(X^n - (z_n)^*) \leq 2U \text{ for each } n \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq n_0.$$

Whence,

$$-U \leq p(X^n - (z_n)^*) \leq U \text{ for each } n \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq n_0$$

and the proof is complete. ■

If  $x$  and  $\Psi(x)$  are identified for each  $x \in G$ , then  $u$  is a convergence regulator in  $G^*$  and it follows at once from Theorems 3.8 and 3.6.

**Theorem 3.9.** *If  $G \in T$ , then*

- (i)  *$C$ -completion  $G^*$  of  $G$  is  $C$ -complete;*
- (ii)  *$G$  is a subgroup of  $G^*$  with the induced partial order;*
- (iii) *every element of  $G^*$  is a limit of some sequence in  $G$ .* ■

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Š. Černák and J. Lihová, *Convergence with a regulator in lattice ordered groups*, Tatra Mt. Math. Publ. to appear.
- [2] M.R. Darnel, *Theory of Lattice-Ordered Groups*, M. Dekker, Inc., New York 1995
- [3] L. Fuchs, *Partially Ordered Algebraic Systems*, Pergamon Press., Oxford 1963.
- [4] A.M.W. Glass, *Partially Ordered Groups*, World Scientific Publ. Co., River Edge, NJ, 1999.
- [5] W.A.J. Luxemburg and A. C. Zaanen, *Riesz Spaces*, vol. I, North-Holland, Amsterdam-London 1971.

- [6] B.Z. Vulikh, *Introduction to the Theory of Partially Ordered Spaces*, Wolters-Noordhoff Sci. Publ., Groningen 1967 (The original Russian edition in: Fizmatgiz, Moskow 1961).

Received 14 April 2004  
Revised 27 December 2004