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#### Abstract

By dealing with absolute retracts of $\ell$-groups we use a definition analogous to that applied by Halmos for the case of Boolean algebras. The main results of the present paper concern absolute convex retracts in the class of all archimedean $\ell$-groups and in the class of all complete $\ell$-groups.
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## 1. Introduction

Retracts of abelian $\ell$-groups and of abelian cyclically ordered groups were investigated in [6], [7], [8].

Suppose that $\mathcal{C}$ is a class of algebras. An algebra $A \in \mathcal{C}$ is called an absolute retract in $\mathcal{C}$ if, whenever $B \in \mathcal{C}$ and $A$ is a subalgebra of $B$, then $A$ is a retract of $B$ (i.e., there is a homomorphism $h$ of $B$ onto $A$ such that $h(a)=a$ for each $a \in A$ ). Cf., e.g., Halmos [3].

Further, let $\mathcal{C}$ be a class of $\ell$-groups. An element $A \in \mathcal{C}$ will be called an absolute convex retract in $\mathcal{C}$ if, whenever $B \in \mathcal{C}$ and $A$ is a convex $\ell$-subgroup of $B$, then $A$ is a retract of $B$.

Let $\mathcal{G}$ and Arch be the class of all $\ell$-groups, or the class of all archimedean $\ell$-groups, respectively.

It is easy to verify (cf. Section 2 below) that for $A \in \mathcal{G}$ the following conditions are equivalent:
(i) $A$ is an absolute retract in $\mathcal{G}$;
(ii) $A$ is an absolute convex retract in $\mathcal{G}$;
(iii) $A=\{0\}$.

In this note we prove
$(\alpha)$ Let $A$ be an absolute retract in the class Arch. Then the $\ell$-group $A$ is divisible, complete and orthogonally complete.

By applying a result of [5] we obtain
( $\beta$ ) Let $A \in$ Arch and suppose that the $\ell$-group $A$ is complete and orthogonally complete. Then $A$ is an absolute convex retract in the class Arch.

The question whether the implication in ( $\alpha$ ) (or in ( $\beta$ ), respectively) can be reversed remains open.

Let us denote by
Compl - the class of all complete $\ell$-groups;
Compl* - the class of all $\ell$-groups which are complete and orthogonally complete.
$(\gamma)$ Let $A \in$ Compl. Then the following conditions are equivalent:
(i) $A$ is orthogonally complete.
(ii) $A$ is an absolute convex retract in the class Compl.

As a corollary we obtain that each $\ell$-group belonging to Compl ${ }^{*}$ is an absolute convex retract in the class Compl*.

We prove that if the class $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{G}$ is closed with respect to direct products and if $A_{i}(i \in I)$ are asbolute (convex) retracts in $\mathcal{C}$, then their direct product $\prod_{i \in I} A_{i}$ is also an absolute (convex) retract in $\mathcal{C}$.

## 2. Preliminaries

For $\ell$-groups we apply the notation as in Conrad [1]. Hence, in particular, the group operation in an $\ell$-group is written additively.

We recall some relevant notions. Let $G$ be an $\ell$-group. $G$ is divisible if for each $a \in G$ and each positive integer $n$ there is $x \in G$ with $n x=a$. A system $\emptyset \neq\left\{x_{i}\right\}_{i \in I} \subseteq G^{+}$is called orthogonal (or disjoint) if $x_{i(1)} \wedge x_{i(2)}=0$ whenever $i(1)$ and $i(2)$ are distinct elements of $I$. If each orthogonal subset of $G$ possesses the supremum in $G$ then $G$ is said to be orthogonally complete. $G$ is complete if each nonempty bounded subset of $G$ has the supremum and the infimum in $G$.
$G$ is archimedean if, whenever $0<x \in G$ and $y \in G$, then there is a positive integer $n$ such that $n x \not \equiv y$. For each archimedean $\ell$-group $G$ there exists a complete $\ell$-group $D(G)$ (the Dedekind completion of $G$ ) such that
(i) $G$ is a closed $\ell$-subgroup of $D(G)$;
(ii) for each $x \in D(G)$ there are subsets $\left\{y_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ and $\left\{z_{j}\right\}_{j \in J}$ of $G$ such that the relations

$$
\sup \left\{x_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}=x=\inf \left\{z_{j}\right\}_{j \in J}
$$

are valid in $D(G)$.
Let $G_{1}$ be a linearly ordered group and let $G_{2}$ be an $\ell$-group. The symbol $G_{1} \circ G_{2}$ denotes the lexicographic product of $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$. The elements of $G_{1} \circ G_{2}$ are pairs $\left(g_{1}, g_{2}\right)$ with $g_{1} \in G_{1}$ and $g_{2} \in G_{2}$. For each $g_{2} \in G_{2}$, the pair $\left(0, g_{2}\right)$ will be identified with the element $g_{2}$ of $G_{2}$. Then $G_{2}$ is a convex $\ell$-subgroup of $G_{1} \circ G_{2}$.

Lemma 2.1. Let $A$ be an $\ell$-group, $A \neq\{0\}$, and let $G_{1}$ be a linearly ordered group, $G_{1} \neq\{0\}$. Put $B=G_{1} \circ A$. Then $A$ fails to be a retract of $B$.

Proof. By way of contradiction, suppose that $A$ is a retract of $B$. Let $h$ be the corresponding retract homomorphism of $B$ onto $A$; i.e., $h(a)=a$ for each $a \in A$. There exists $g_{1} \in G_{1}$ with $g_{1}>0$. Denote $\left(g_{1}, 0\right)=b$, $h(b)=a$. Further, there exists $a_{1} \in A$ with $a_{1}>a$. We have $a_{1}<b$, whence $h\left(a_{1}\right) \leqq h(b)$, thus $a_{1} \leqq a$, which is a contradiction.

Let us denote by $\mathcal{A}$ the class of all abelian lattice ordered groups. If $A, G_{1}$ and $B$ are as in Lemma 2.1 and $A, G_{1} \in \mathcal{A}$, then also $B$ belongs to $\mathcal{A}$. Thus Lemma 2.1 yields

Proposition 2.2. Let $\mathcal{C} \in\{\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{A}\}$ and let $A$ be an absolute retract (or an absolute convex retract, respectively) in the class $\mathcal{C}$. Then $A=\{0\}$.

It is obvious that $\{0\}$ is an absolute (convex) retract in both the classes $\mathcal{G}$ and $\mathcal{A}$.

Let us remark that if $G_{1}, B \in \mathcal{G}$ and if $G_{1}$ is a retract of $B$, then $G_{1}$ need not be a convex $\ell$-subgroup of $B$. This is verified by the following example:

Let $G_{1}$ be a linearly ordered group, $G_{1} \neq\{0\}$. Further, let $G_{2} \in \mathcal{G}$, $G_{2} \neq\{0\}$. Put $B=G_{1} \circ G_{2}$. If $g_{1} \in G_{1}$, then the element $\left(g_{1}, 0\right)$ of $B$ will be identified with the element $g_{1}$ of $G_{1}$. Thus $G_{1}$ turns out to be an $\ell$-subgroup of $B$ which is not a convex subset of $B$. For each $\left(g_{1}, g_{2}\right) \in B$ we put $h\left(\left(g_{1}, g_{2}\right)\right)=g_{1}$. Then $h$ is a homomorphism of $B$ onto $G_{1}$ such that $h\left(g_{1}\right)=g_{1}$ for each $g_{1} \in G_{1}$. Hence $G_{1}$ is a retract of $B$.

## 3. Proofs of $(\alpha),(\beta)$ AND $(\gamma)$

In this section we assume that $A$ is an archimedean $\ell$-group. Hence $A$ is abelian.

It is well-known that there exists the divisible hull $A^{d}$ of $A$. Thus
(i) $A^{d}$ is a divisible $\ell$-group;
(ii) $A$ is an $\ell$-subgroup of $A^{d}$;
(iii) if $g \in A^{d}$, then there are $a \in A$, a positive integer $n$ and an integer $m$ such that $n g=m a$.

Lemma 3.1. Assume that $A$ is an absolute retract in the class Arch. Then the $\ell$-group $A$ is divisible.

Proof. By way of contradiction, suppose that $A$ fails to be divisible. Thus there are $a_{1} \in A$ and $n \in N$ such that there is no $x$ in $A$ with $n x=a_{1}$.

Put $B=A^{d}$. In view of the assumption, $A$ is a retract of $B$; let $h$ be the corresponding retract homomorphism.

There exists $b \in B$ with $n b=a_{1}$. Then $b \notin A$. Denote $h(b)=a$. We have

$$
a_{1}=h\left(a_{1}\right)=h(n b)=n h(b)=n a,
$$

which is a contradiction.

Lemma 3.2. Assume that $A$ is an absolute retract in the class Arch. Then $A$ is a complete $\ell$-group.

Proof. By way of contradiction, suppose that $A$ fails to be complete. Put $B=D(A)$. Then $A$ is an $\ell$-subgroup of $B$ and $A \neq B$. Thus there is $b \in B$ such that $b$ does not belong to $A$.

In view of the assumption, $A$ is a retract of $B$; let $h$ be the corresponding retract homomorphism. Put $h(b)=a$.

There exists a subset $\left\{a_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ of $A$ such that the relation

$$
b=\bigvee_{i \in I} a_{i}
$$

is valid in $B$. Hence $a_{i} \leqq b$ for each $i \in I$. This yields

$$
a_{i}=h\left(a_{i}\right) \leqq h(b)=a
$$

for each $i \in I$. Thus $b \leqq a$.
At the same time, there exists a subset $\left\{a_{j}^{\prime}\right\}_{j \in J}$ of $A$ such that the relation

$$
b=\bigwedge_{j \in J} a_{j}^{\prime}
$$

holds in $B$. Hence $b \leqq a_{j}^{\prime}$ for each $j \in J$, thus by applying the homomorphism $h$ we obtain that $a \leqq a_{j}^{\prime}$ for each $j \in J$. Therefore $a \leqq b$. Summarizing, $a=b$ and we arrived at a contradiction.

Lemma 3.3. Suppose that $H$ is a complete $\ell$-group. Then there exists an $\ell$-group $K$ such that
(i) $H$ is a convex $\ell$-subgroup of $K$;
(ii) $K$ is complete and orthogonally complete;
(iii) for each $0<k \in K$ there exists a disjoint subset $\left\{x_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ of $H$ such that the relation

$$
k=\bigvee_{i \in I} x_{i}
$$

is valid in $K$.

Proof. This is a consequence of results of [5].

Lemma 3.4. Assume that $A$ is an absolute retract in the class Arch. Then the $\ell$-group $A$ is orthogonally complete.

Proof. In view of Lemma 3.2, $A$ is complete. Put $A=H$ and let $K$ be as in Lemma 3.3. According to the assumption, $A$ is a retract of $K$. Let $h$ be the corresponding retract homomorphism.

Let $0<k \in K$ and let $\left\{x_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ be as in Lemma 3.3. Put $h(k)=a$. Then $a \geqq h\left(x_{i}\right)=x_{i}$ for each $i \in I$, whence $k \leqq a$. Thus the condition (i) of Lemma 3.3 yields that $k \in A$. Hence $K^{+} \subseteq A$ and then $K \subseteq A$. Therefore $K=A$ and so $A$ is orthogonally complete.

From Lemmas 3.1, 3.2 and 3.4 we conclude that $(\alpha)$ is valid.
Let $G_{1}, G_{2} \in \mathcal{G}$; their direct product is denoted by $G_{1} \times G_{2}$. If $g_{1} \in G_{1}$, then the element $\left(g_{1}, 0\right)$ of $G_{1} \times G_{2}$ will be identified with $g_{1}$. Similarly, for $g_{2} \in G_{2}$, the element $\left(0, g_{2}\right)$ of $G_{1} \times G_{2}$ will be identified with $g_{2}$. Under this identification, both $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ are convex $\ell$-subgroups of $G_{1} \times G_{2}$.

Definition 3.5. (Cf. [2].) Let $G_{1} \in$ Arch. We say that $G_{1}$ has the splitting property if, whenever $H \in$ Arch and $G_{1}$ is a convex $\ell$-subgroup of $H$, then $G_{1}$ is a direct factor of $H$.

Proposition 3.6. (Cf. [4].) Let $G_{1} \in$ Arch. Then the following conditions are equivalent:
(i) $G_{1}$ has the splitting property.
(ii) The $\ell$-group $G_{1}$ is complete and orthogonally complete.

Lemma 3.7. Let $H \in \mathcal{G}$ and let $G_{1}$ be a direct factor of $H$. Then $G_{1}$ is a retract of $H$.
Proof. There exists $G_{2} \in \mathcal{G}$ such that $H=G_{1} \times G_{2}$. For $\left(g_{1}, g_{2}\right) \in H$ we put $h\left(\left(g_{1}, g_{2}\right)\right)=g_{1}$. Then $h$ is a retract homomorphism of $H$ onto $G_{1}$.

Proof of $(\beta)$. Let $A, B \in$ Arch and suppose that $A$ is a convex $\ell$-subgroup of $B$. Further, suppose that $A$ is complete and orthogonally complete. In view of Proposition 3.6, $A$ is a direct factor of $B$. Hence according to Lemma $3.7, A$ is a retract of $B$. Therefore $A$ is an absolute convex retract in the class Arch.

Lemma 3.8. Let $A \in$ Compl. Suppose that $A$ is an absolute convex retract in the class Compl. Then $A$ is orthogonally complete.

Proof. Put $H=A$ and let $K$ be as in Lemma 3.3. In view of Lemma 3.3 (i), $A$ is a convex $\ell$-subgroup of $K$. Hence according to the assumption, $A$ is a retract of $K$. Now it suffices to apply the same method as in the proof of Lemma 3.4.

Lemma 3.9. Let $A \in$ Compl. Suppose that $A$ is orthogonally complete. Then $A$ is an absolute convex retract in the class Compl.

Proof. In view of $(\beta), A$ is an absolute convex retract in the class Arch. It is well-known that the class Compl is a subclass of Arch. Hence $A$ is an absolute convex retract in the class Compl.

From Lemmas 3.8 and 3.9 we conclude that $(\gamma)$ holds.
Corollary 3.10. Let $A \in$ Compl* $^{*}$. Then $A$ is an absolute convex retract in the class Compl*.

## 4. Direct products

Let $A_{i}(i \in I)$ be $\ell$-groups; consider their direct product

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=\prod_{i \in I} A_{i} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Without loss of generality we can suppose that $A_{i(1)} \cap A_{i(2)}=\{0\}$ whenever $i(1)$ and $i(2)$ are distinct elements of $I$. For $a \in A$ and $i \in I$, we denote by $a_{i}$ or by $a\left(A_{i}\right)$ the component of $a$ in the direct factor $A_{i}$.
Let $i \in I$. Put

$$
A_{i}^{\prime}=\left\{a \in A: a_{i}=0\right\}
$$

Then we have

$$
\begin{align*}
A & =A_{i} \times A_{i}^{\prime}  \tag{2}\\
A_{i}^{\prime} & =\prod_{j \in I \backslash\{i\}} A_{j}
\end{align*}
$$

Let $i(0) \in I$ and $a^{i(0)} \in A_{i(1)}$. There exists $a \in A$ such that

$$
a_{i}= \begin{cases}a^{i(0)} & \text { if } i=i(0) \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Then the element $a$ of $A$ will be identified with the element $a^{i(0)}$ of $A_{i(0)}$. Under this identification, each $A_{i}$ turns out to be a convex $\ell$-subgroup of $A$.

Lemma 4.1. Let $B$ be an $\ell$-group and let $A$ be an $\ell$-subgroup of $B$. Suppose that (1) is valid. Let $i$ be a fixed element of $I$ and assume that $A_{i}$ is a retract of $B$; the corresponding retract homomorphism will be denoted by $h_{i}$. Then for each $a \in A$ the relation

$$
h_{i}(a)=a_{i}
$$

is valid.
Proof. a) At first let $0 \leqq a^{\prime} \in A_{i}^{\prime}$ and $0 \leqq a^{i} \in A_{i}$. Then $a^{\prime} \wedge a^{i}=0$, thus

$$
0=h_{i}\left(a^{\prime}\right) \wedge h_{i}\left(a^{i}\right)=h_{i}\left(a^{\prime}\right) \wedge a^{i}
$$

Since this is valid for each $a^{i} \in A_{i}$ and $h_{i}\left(a^{\prime}\right) \in A_{i}$ we conclude that $h_{i}\left(a^{\prime}\right)=$ 0 . Then $h_{i}\left(-a^{\prime}\right)=0$ as well and this yields that $h_{i}\left(a^{\prime \prime}\right)=0$ for each $a^{\prime \prime} \in A_{i}^{\prime}$. b) Let $a \in A$. In view of (2) we have

$$
a=a_{i}+a\left(A_{i}^{\prime}\right)
$$

Thus

$$
h_{i}(a)=h_{i}\left(a_{i}\right)+h_{i}\left(a\left(A_{i}^{\prime}\right)\right)
$$

According to a), $h_{i}\left(a\left(A_{i}^{\prime}\right)\right)=0$. Thus $h_{i}(a)=a_{i}$.
Lemma 4.2. Let $B$ be an $\ell$-group and let $A$ be an $\ell$-subgroup of $B$. Suppose that (1) is valid and that for each $i \in I, A_{i}$ is a retract of $B$; the corresponding retract homomorphism will be denoted by $h_{i}$. For $b \in B$ we put

$$
h(b)=b^{1} \in A
$$

where $b_{i}^{1}=h_{i}(b)$ for each $i \in I$. Then
(i) $h$ is a homomorphism of $B$ into $A$;
(ii) $h(a)=a$ for each $a \in A$.

Proof. The definition of $h$ and the relation (1) immediately yield that (i) is valid. Let $a \in A$ and $i \in I$. Put $h(a)=a^{1}$. We have

$$
a=a_{i}+a\left(A_{i}^{\prime}\right)
$$

thus by applying (i),

$$
\begin{aligned}
& h(a)=h\left(a_{i}\right)+h\left(a\left(A_{i}^{\prime}\right),\right. \\
& a_{i}^{1}=h_{i}\left(a_{i}\right)+h_{i}\left(a\left(A_{i}^{\prime}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $h_{i}\left(a_{i}\right)=a_{i}$ and because $\left(a\left(A_{i}^{\prime}\right)\right)_{i}=0$, according to Lemma 4.1, we obtain

$$
a_{i}^{1}=a_{i} \quad \text { for each } i \in I
$$

thus $a^{1}=a$.

Corollary 4.3. Let the assumptions of Lemma 4.2 be valid. Then $A$ is a retract of $B$.

From Corollary 4.3 we immediately conclude

Proposition 4.4. Assume that $\mathcal{C}$ is a class of $\ell$-groups which is closed with respect to direct products. Let $A_{i}(i \in I)$ be absolute retracts in $\mathcal{C}$ and let (1) be valid. Then $A$ is an absolute retract in $\mathcal{C}$.

Proposition 4.5. Assume that $\mathcal{C}$ is a class of $\ell$-groups which is closed with respect to direct products. Let $A_{i}(i \in I)$ be absolute convex retracts in $\mathcal{C}$ and let (1) be valid. Then $A$ is an absolute convex retract in $\mathcal{C}$.

Proof. Let $B \in \mathcal{C}$ and suppose that $A$ is a convex $\ell$-subgroup of $B$. Then all $A_{i}$ are convex $\ell$-subgroups of $B$. Hence in view of the assumption, all $A_{i}$ are retracts of $B$. Thus according to Corollary 4.3, $A$ is a retract of $B$. Therefore $A$ is an absolute convex retract in the class $\mathcal{C}$.

## 5. An example

The assertions of the following two lemmas are easy to verify; the proofs will be omitted.

Lemma 5.1. Let $A$ be an $\ell$-group which is complete and divisible. Then
(i) we can define (in a unique way) a multiplication of elements of $A$ with reals such that $A$ turns out to be a vector lattice;
(ii) if $r>0$ is a real, $0<a \in A, X=\left\{q_{1} \in Q: 0<q_{1} \leqq r\right\}$, $Y=\left\{q_{2} \in R: r \leqq q_{2}\right\}$, then the relations

$$
\sup \left(q_{1} a\right)=r a=\inf \left(q_{2} a\right)
$$

are valid in $A$;
(iii) if $A_{1}$ is an $\ell$-subgroup of $A$ such that $A_{1}$ is complete and divisible, and $a_{1} \in A$, then for each real $r$ the multiplication $r a_{1}$ in $A_{1}$ gives the same result as the multiplication $r a_{1}$ in $A$.

Lemma 5.2. Let $A$ be as in Lemma 5.1 and suppose that $A=\prod_{i \in I} A_{i}$. Then all $A_{i}$ are complete and divisible; moreover, for each real $r$, each $a \in A$ and each $i \in I$ we have

$$
(r a)_{i}=r a_{i}
$$

Let $R$ be the additive group of all reals with the natural linear order. We denote by $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{R}}$ the class of all lattice ordered groups which can be expressed as direct products of $\ell$-groups isomorphic to $R$.

We remark that if $B \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{R}}$ and if $A$ is an $\ell$-subgroup of $B$ which is isomorphic to $R$, then $A$ need not be a convex $\ell$-subgroup of $B$. In fact, suppose that

$$
B=\prod_{i \in I} B_{i}
$$

where each $B_{i}$ is isomorphic to $R$; let $\varphi_{i}$ be and isomorphism of $R$ onto $B_{i}$. For each $r \in R$ put

$$
\varphi(r)=\left(\ldots, \varphi_{i}(r), \ldots\right)_{i \in I}
$$

$$
A=\varphi(R)
$$

$A$ is an $\ell$-subgroup of $B$; if $I$ has more than one element, then $A$ fails to be convex in $B$.

Let $B$ be as above; suppose that $A$ is an $\ell$-group isomorphic to $R$ and that $A$ is an $\ell$-subgroup of $B$. Let $0<a \in A$. Then $a_{i}=a\left(B_{i}\right) \geqq 0$ for each $i \in I$ and there exists $i(0) \in I$ with $a_{i(0)}>0$. Thus, in view of Lemma 5.1, we have $(r a)_{i(0)}>0$ for each $r \in R$ with $r \neq 0$. Further, for each $a_{1} \in A$ there exists a uniquely determined element $r \in R$ with $a_{1}=r a$. This yields that the mapping

$$
\varphi_{i(0)}: a_{1} \mapsto\left(a_{1}\right)_{i(0)}
$$

is an isomorphism of $A$ into $B_{i(0)}$.
Let $b \in B_{i(0)}$. There exists a unique $r \in R$ such that

$$
b=r a_{i(0)} .
$$

Then, in view of Lemma 5.2, $b=(r a)_{i(0)}$ and hence the mapping $\varphi_{i(0)}$ is an isomorphism of $A$ onto $B_{i(0)}$.

For each $b \in B$ we put

$$
h(b)=\varphi_{i(0)}^{-1}\left(b_{i(0)}\right) .
$$

Then $h$ is a homomorphism of $B$ into $A$. For $a_{1} \in A$ the definition of $\varphi_{i(0)}$ yields

$$
h\left(a_{1}\right)=a_{1} .
$$

Thus we obtain
Lemma 5.3. Let $B \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{R}}$ and let $A$ be an $\ell$-subgroup of $B$ such that $A$ is isomorphic to $R$. Then $A$ is a retract of $B$.

Corollary 5.4. Let $A$ be an $\ell$-group isomorphic to $R$. Then $A$ is an absolute retract in the class $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{R}}$.

From Lemma 5.4 and Corollary 4.5 we conclude
Proposition 5.5. Each element of $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{R}}$ is an absolute retract in the class $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{R}}$.
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