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#### Abstract

Let $\tau: F \rightarrow N$ be a type of algebras, where $F$ is a set of fundamental operation symbols and $N$ is the set of all positive integers. An identity $\varphi \approx \psi$ is called biregular if it has the same variables in each of it sides and it has the same fundamental operation symbols in each of it sides. For a variety $V$ of type $\tau$ we denote by $V_{b}$ the biregularization of $V$, i.e. the variety of type $\tau$ defined by all biregular identities from $\operatorname{Id}(V)$.

Let $B$ be the variety of Boolean algebras of type $\tau_{b}:\left\{+, \cdot,^{\prime}\right\} \rightarrow N$, where $\tau_{b}(+)=\tau_{b}(\cdot)=2$ and $\tau_{b}\left({ }^{\prime}\right)=1$. In this paper we characterize the lattice $\mathcal{L}\left(B_{b}\right)$ of all subvarieties of the biregularization of the variety $B$.
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## 0. Preliminaries

We shall consider algebras of type $\tau: F \rightarrow N$, where $F$ is the set of all fundamental operation symbols and $N$ is the set of all positive integers (see [3]). If $\varphi$ is a term of type $\tau$ we denote by $\operatorname{Var}(\varphi)$ the set of all variables occurring in $\varphi$ and by $F(\varphi)$ - the set of fundamental operation symbols occurring in $\varphi$. Writing $\varphi\left(x_{i_{1}}, \ldots, x_{i_{n}}\right)$ instead of $\varphi$ we shall mean that $\operatorname{Var}(\varphi)=\left\{x_{i_{1}}, \ldots, x_{i_{n}}\right\}$. An identity $\varphi \approx \psi$ of type $\tau$ is called regular (see
[8]) if $\operatorname{Var}(\varphi)=\operatorname{Var}(\psi)$. An identity $\varphi \approx \psi$ is called biregular if it is regular and $F(\varphi)=F(\psi)$. Regular identities and constructions connected with them were considered in [4]-[6], [8], [9], [16] and biregular identities were considered in [10]-[12], [14], [15], [18].

For a variety $V$ of type $\tau$ we denote by $\operatorname{Id}(V)$ the set of all identities of type $\tau$ satisfied in every algebra from $V$. For a variety $V$ of type $\tau$ we denote by $V_{r}$ the variety of type $\tau$ defined by all regular identities from $\operatorname{Id}(V)$ and we denote by $V_{b}$ the variety of type $\tau$ defined by the set $B(V)$ of all biregular identities from $\operatorname{Id}(V)$. Obviously $B(V)$ is always an equational theory, so $I d\left(V_{b}\right)=B(V)$. The variety $V_{b}$ is called the biregularization of $V$. We denote by $\mathcal{L}(V)$ the lattice of all subvarieties of $V$. Studying identities of some special structural forms is useful for examining lattices of subvarieties. Let $B$ be the variety of Boolean algebras of type $\tau_{b}:\left\{+,,^{\prime}\right\} \rightarrow N$, where $\tau_{b}(+)=\tau_{b}(\cdot)=2$ and $\tau_{b}\left({ }^{\prime}\right)=1$. In this paper we describe the lattice $\mathcal{L}\left(B_{b}\right)$.

Recall that an algebra $\mathfrak{A}$ is subdirectly irreducible if its lattice of congruences has exactly one atom (see [7]). If an algebra $\mathfrak{A}$ is subdirectly irreducible, we shall write shortly $\mathfrak{A}$ is an s.i. algebra. The notation $\mathfrak{A} \simeq \mathfrak{A}^{\prime}$ will stand for " $\mathfrak{A}$ is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{A}^{\prime}$ ".

## 1. Subdirectily irreducible algebras in $B_{b}$

Let us consider the following 14 algebras of type $\tau_{b}$.

$$
\left.\left.\begin{array}{r}
\mathfrak{A}_{1}=\left(\left\{a_{1}, b_{1}\right\} ;+, \cdot^{\prime}\right), \text { where } x+y= \begin{cases}b_{1}, & \text { if } b_{1} \in\{x, y\}, \\
a_{1} & \text { otherwise },\end{cases} \\
x \cdot y= \begin{cases}a_{1}, & \text { if } a_{1} \in\{x, y\}, \\
b_{1} & \text { otherwise },\end{cases} \\
a_{1}^{\prime}=b_{1}, b_{1}^{\prime}=a_{1} ;
\end{array}\right\} \begin{array}{ll}
b_{2} & \text { if } b_{2} \in\{x, y\} \\
a_{2} & \text { otherwise },
\end{array}\right\}
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathfrak{A}_{3}=\left(\left\{a_{3}, b_{3}\right\} ;+, \cdot \cdot^{\prime}\right), \text { where } x \cdot y= \begin{cases}b_{3}, & \text { if } b_{3} \in\{x, y\}, \\
a_{3} & \text { otherwise },\end{cases} \\
x+y=x^{\prime}=b_{3} \text { for every } x, y \in\left\{a_{3}, b_{3}\right\} ;
\end{gathered}
$$

$\mathfrak{A}_{4}=\left(\left\{a_{4}, b_{4}\right\} ;+, \cdot{ }^{\prime}\right)$, where

$$
x+y=x \cdot y=x^{\prime}=b_{4} \quad \text { for every } \quad x, y \in\left\{a_{4}, b_{4}\right\} ;
$$

$\mathfrak{A}_{5}=\left(\left\{a_{5}, b_{5}\right\} ;+, \cdot{ }^{\prime}\right)$, where

$$
x+y=x \cdot y=b_{5}, \quad x^{\prime}=x \quad \text { for every } \quad x, y \in\left\{a_{5}, b_{5}\right\} ;
$$

$\mathfrak{A}_{6}=\left(\left\{a_{6}, c_{6}, b_{6}\right\} ;+, \cdot{ }^{\prime}\right)$, where

$$
\begin{gathered}
x+y=x \cdot y=b_{6}, \quad \text { for every } x, y \in\left\{a_{6}, c_{6}, b_{6}\right\}, \\
a_{6}^{\prime}=c_{6}, \\
c_{6}^{\prime}=a_{6}, \quad b_{6}^{\prime}=b_{6}
\end{gathered}
$$

$\mathfrak{A}_{7}=\left(\left\{a_{7}, b_{7}\right\} ;+, \cdot, '\right)$, where

$$
\begin{gathered}
x+y=x \cdot y= \begin{cases}b_{7}, & \text { if } b_{7} \in\{x, y\}, \\
a_{7} & \text { otherwise },\end{cases} \\
x^{\prime}=x \text { for every } \quad x \in\left\{a_{7}, b_{7}\right\}
\end{gathered}
$$

$\mathfrak{A}_{8}=\left(\left\{a_{8}, c_{8}, b_{8}\right\} ;+, \cdot, '\right)$, where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x+y= \begin{cases}b_{8}, & \text { if } b_{8} \in\{x, y\}, \\
c_{8}, & \text { if } c_{8} \in\{x, y\} \text { and } b_{8} \notin\{x, y\}, \\
a_{8} & \text { otherwise, }\end{cases} \\
& x \cdot y= \begin{cases}b_{8}, & \text { if } b_{8} \in\{x, y\}, \\
a_{8}, & \text { if } a_{8} \in\{x, y\} \text { and } b_{8} \notin\{x, y\}, \\
c_{8} & \text { otherwise }, \\
a_{8}^{\prime}=c_{8}, & c_{8}^{\prime}=a_{8}, \quad b_{8}^{\prime}=b_{8} ;\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

$\mathfrak{A}_{9}=\left(\left\{a_{9}, b_{9}\right\} ;+, \cdot{ }^{\prime}\right)$, where

$$
\begin{gathered}
x+y=x \cdot y= \begin{cases}b_{9}, & \text { if } b_{9} \in\{x, y\} \\
a_{9} & \text { otherwise }\end{cases} \\
x^{\prime}=b_{9}, \quad \text { for every } \quad x \in\left\{a_{9}, b_{9}\right\}
\end{gathered}
$$

$\mathfrak{A}_{10}=\left(\left\{a_{10}, c_{10}, b_{10}\right\} ;+, \cdot{ }^{\prime}\right)$, where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x+y= \begin{cases}b_{10}, & \text { if } b_{10} \in\{x, y\} \\
c_{10}, & \text { if } c_{10} \in\{x, y\} \text { and } b_{10} \notin\{x, y\}, \\
a_{10} & \text { otherwise }\end{cases} \\
& x \cdot y= \begin{cases}b_{10}, & \text { if } b_{10} \in\{x, y\} \\
a_{10}, & \text { if } a_{10} \in\{x, y\} \text { and } b_{10} \notin\{x, y\}, \\
c_{10} & \text { otherwise }\end{cases} \\
& x^{\prime}=b_{10} \text { for every } x \in\left\{a_{10}, c_{10}, b_{10}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

$\mathfrak{A}_{11}=\left(\left\{a_{11}, b_{11}\right\} ;+, \cdot,^{\prime}\right)$, where

$$
\begin{gathered}
x+y= \begin{cases}b_{11}, & \text { if } b_{11} \in\{x, y\} \\
a_{11} & \text { otherwise }\end{cases} \\
x \cdot y=b_{11} \quad \text { for every } \quad x, y \in\left\{a_{11}, b_{11}\right\}, \\
x^{\prime}=x \quad \text { for every } \quad x \in\left\{a_{11}, b_{11}\right\}
\end{gathered}
$$

$\mathfrak{A}_{12}=\left(\left\{a_{12}, c_{12}, b_{12}\right\} ;+, \cdot,{ }^{\prime}\right)$, where

$$
\begin{gathered}
x+y= \begin{cases}b_{12}, & \text { if } b_{12} \in\{x, y\}, \\
c_{12}, & \text { if } c_{12} \in\{x, y\} \text { and } b_{12} \notin\{x, y\}, \\
a_{12} & \text { otherwise, }\end{cases} \\
x \cdot y=b_{12} \text { for every } x, y \in\left\{a_{12}, c_{12}, b_{12}\right\}, \\
a_{12}^{\prime}=c_{12}, \quad c_{12}^{\prime}=a_{12}, \quad b_{12}^{\prime}=b_{12} ;
\end{gathered}
$$

$\mathfrak{A}_{13}=\left(\left\{a_{13}, b_{13}\right\} ;+, \cdot{ }^{\prime}\right)$, where

$$
\begin{aligned}
x+y & =b_{13} \text { for every } x, y \in\left\{a_{13}, b_{13}\right\}, \\
x \cdot y & = \begin{cases}b_{13}, & \text { if } b_{13} \in\{x, y\}, \\
a_{13} & \text { otherwise },\end{cases} \\
x^{\prime} & =x \text { for every } x \in\left\{a_{13}, b_{13}\right\} ;
\end{aligned}
$$

$\mathfrak{A}_{14}=\left(\left\{a_{14}, c_{14}, b_{14}\right\} ;+, \cdot,{ }^{\prime}\right)$, where

$$
\begin{aligned}
x+y= & b_{14} \text { for every } x, y \in\left\{a_{14}, c_{14}, b_{14}\right\}, \\
x \cdot y= & \begin{cases}b_{14}, & \text { if } b_{14} \in\{x, y\}, \\
a_{14}, & \text { if } a_{14} \in\{x, y\} \text { and } b_{14} \notin\{x, y\}, \\
c_{14} & \text { otherwise },\end{cases} \\
& a_{14}^{\prime}=c_{14}, \quad c_{14}^{\prime}=a_{14}, \quad b_{14}^{\prime}=b_{14} .
\end{aligned}
$$

It is easy to check that none two of above 14 algebras are isomorphic.

Theorem 1.1. Let $\mathfrak{A}=\left(A ;+, \cdot,{ }^{\prime}\right)$ be an algebra of type $\tau_{b}$. Then $\mathfrak{A}$ is subdirectly irreducible and belongs to $B_{b}$ if and only if $\mathfrak{A}$ is isomorphic to one of the algebras $\mathfrak{A}_{1}, \ldots, \mathfrak{A}_{14}$.

Proof. For varieties $K_{1}, \ldots, K_{n}$ of the same type we denote by $K_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes$ $K_{n}$ the class of all algebras isomorphic to a subdirect product of a family $\left\{\mathfrak{A}_{1}, \ldots, \mathfrak{A}_{n}\right\}$ of algebras, where $\mathfrak{A}_{i}$ runs over $K_{i}$ for every $i=1, \ldots, n$.

For $\widetilde{F} \subseteq\left\{+, \cdot,^{\prime}\right\}$, we denote by $B_{\widetilde{F}}$ the variety of type $\tau_{b}$ satisfying all regular identities $\varphi \approx \psi$ from $\operatorname{Id}(B)$ with $F(\varphi) \cup F(\psi) \subseteq \widetilde{F}$ and satisfying all identities of type $\tau_{b}$ such that $F(\varphi) \cap(\{+, \cdot, '\} \backslash \widetilde{F}) \neq \varnothing \neq F(\psi) \cap\left(\left\{+, \cdot,^{\prime}\right\}\right.$ $\backslash \widetilde{F})$. It was proved in [12], Theorem 9 , that

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{b}=B_{r} \otimes B_{\{+,\}} \otimes B_{\left\{+,,^{\prime}\right\}} \otimes B_{\left\{,,^{\prime}\right\}} \otimes B_{\{+\}} \otimes B_{\{,\}} \otimes B_{\left\{\prime^{\prime}\right\}} \otimes B_{\varnothing} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consequently to find all subdirectly irreducible algebras from $B_{b}$ it is enough to find all s.i. algebras from the varieties of the right side of (1.1).

It was proved in [6] that $\mathfrak{A}$ is s.i. and $\mathfrak{A} \in B_{r}$ iff $\mathfrak{A}$ is isomorphic to one of the algebras $\mathfrak{A}_{1}, \mathfrak{A}_{7}$ or $\mathfrak{A}_{8}$. It was proved in [13] that $\mathfrak{A}$ is s.i. and belongs to $B_{\{+\}}$iff $\mathfrak{A}$ is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{A}_{2} ; \mathfrak{A}$ is s.i. and $\mathfrak{A} \in B_{\{\cdot\}}$ iff $\mathfrak{A} \simeq \mathfrak{A}_{3} ; \mathfrak{A}$ is s.i. and $\mathfrak{A} \in B_{\varnothing}$ iff $\mathfrak{A} \simeq \mathfrak{A}_{4}$ (cf. also [2]); $\mathfrak{A}$ is s.i. and $\mathfrak{A} \in B_{\{i\}}$ iff $\mathfrak{A} \simeq \mathfrak{A}_{5}$ or $\mathfrak{A} \simeq \mathfrak{A}_{6}$. It was proved in [19] (see Section 3, Examples 3.3-3.5) that $\mathfrak{A}$ is s.i. and $\mathfrak{A} \in B_{\{+,\}}$iff $\mathfrak{A} \simeq \mathfrak{A}_{9}$ or $\mathfrak{A} \simeq \mathfrak{A}_{10}$ and $\mathfrak{A} \in B_{\left\{+,{ }^{\prime}\right\}}$ iff $\mathfrak{A} \simeq \mathfrak{A}_{11}$ or $\mathfrak{A} \simeq \mathfrak{A}_{12} ; \mathfrak{A}$ is s.i. and $\mathfrak{A} \in B_{\{,,\}}$iff $\mathfrak{A} \simeq \mathfrak{A}_{13}$ or $\mathfrak{A} \simeq \mathfrak{A}_{14}$.

## 2. The lattice of subvarieties of $B_{b}$

Denote $\operatorname{Ir}\left(B_{b}\right)=\left\{\mathfrak{A}_{1}, \ldots, \mathfrak{A}_{14}\right\}$. For a variety $V \subseteq B_{b}$ we denote $\operatorname{Ir}(V)=$ $\left\{\mathfrak{A}_{k} \in \operatorname{Ir}\left(B_{b}\right): \mathfrak{A}_{k} \in V\right\}$. Consequently, to describe the lattice $\mathcal{L}\left(B_{b}\right)$ we have to find all subsets $T$ of $\operatorname{Ir}\left(B_{b}\right)$ being of the form $\operatorname{Ir}(V)$ for some $V \subseteq B_{b}$. Apriory we have $2^{14}$ possibilities. However due to the lemmas below we can essentially reduce this amount.

Lemma 2.1. $\mathfrak{A}_{1} \in \operatorname{HSP}\left(\mathfrak{A}_{8}\right)$.
Proof. Observe that the subalgebra $\left(\left\{a_{8}, c_{8}\right\} ;\left.\left\{+, \cdot,{ }^{\prime}\right\}\right|_{\left\{a_{8}, c_{8}\right\}}\right)$ of $\mathfrak{A}_{8}$ is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{A}_{1}$.

Lemma 2.2. $\mathfrak{A}_{2 n-1} \in \operatorname{HSP}\left(\mathfrak{A}_{2 n}\right)$ for $3 \leq n \leq 7$.
Proof. Put $h\left(a_{2 n}\right)=h\left(c_{2 n}\right)=a_{2 n-1}, h\left(b_{2 n}\right)=b_{2 n-1}$. Thus $h$ is a homomorphism.

Lemma 2.3. $\mathfrak{A}_{2 n} \in \operatorname{HSP}\left(\left\{\mathfrak{A}_{1}, \mathfrak{A}_{2 n-1}\right\}\right)$ for $3 \leq n \leq 7$.
Proof. In the direct product $\mathfrak{A}_{1} \times \mathfrak{A}_{2 n-1}$ put $h\left(\left\langle a_{1}, a_{2 n-1}\right\rangle\right)=a_{2 n}$, $h\left(\left\langle b_{1}, a_{2 n-1}\right\rangle\right)=c_{2 n}, h\left(\left\langle a_{1}, b_{2 n-1}\right\rangle\right)=h\left(\left\langle b_{1}, b_{2 n-1}\right\rangle\right)=b_{2 n}$.

Lemma 2.4. $\mathfrak{A}_{2} \in \operatorname{HSP}\left(\left\{\mathfrak{A}_{9}, \mathfrak{A}_{11}\right\}\right)$.
Proof. In the direct product $\mathfrak{A}_{9} \times \mathfrak{A}_{11}$ put $h\left(\left\langle a_{9}, a_{11}\right\rangle\right)=a_{2}, h\left(\left\langle a_{9}, b_{11}\right\rangle\right)=$ $h\left(\left\langle b_{9}, a_{11}\right\rangle\right)=h\left(\left\langle b_{9}, b_{11}\right\rangle\right)=b_{2}$.

Lemma 2.5. $\mathfrak{A}_{3} \in \operatorname{HSP}\left(\left\{\mathfrak{A}_{9}, \mathfrak{A}_{13}\right\}\right)$.
Proof. The proof is analogous to that of Lemma 2.4.
Lemma 2.6. $\mathfrak{A}_{5} \in \operatorname{HSP}\left(\left\{\mathfrak{A}_{11}, \mathfrak{A}_{13}\right\}\right)$.
Proof. The proof is analogous to that of Lemma 2.4.
Lemma 2.7. $\mathfrak{A}_{6} \in \operatorname{HSP}\left(\left\{\mathfrak{A}_{5}, \mathfrak{A}_{12}\right\}\right)$.
Proof. In the direct product $\mathfrak{A}_{5} \times \mathfrak{A}_{12}$ put $h\left(\left\langle a_{5}, a_{12}\right\rangle\right)=a_{6}, h\left(\left\langle a_{5}, c_{12}\right\rangle\right)=$ $c_{6}, h(\langle x, y\rangle)=b_{6}$ otherwise.

Lemma 2.8. $\mathfrak{A}_{6} \in \operatorname{HSP}\left(\left\{\mathfrak{A}_{5}, \mathfrak{A}_{14}\right\}\right)$.
Proof. The proof is analogous to that of Lemma 2.7.
Lemma 2.9. $\mathfrak{A}_{6} \in \operatorname{HSP}\left(\left\{\mathfrak{A}_{11}, \mathfrak{A}_{14}\right\}\right)$.
Proof. In the direct product $\mathfrak{A}_{11} \times \mathfrak{A}_{14}$ put $h\left(\left\langle a_{11}, a_{14}\right\rangle\right)=a_{6}, h\left(\left\langle a_{11}, c_{14}\right\rangle\right)=$ $c_{6}$ and $h(\langle x, y\rangle)=b_{6}$ otherwise.

Lemma 2.10. $\mathfrak{A}_{6} \in \operatorname{HSP}\left(\left\{\mathfrak{A}_{12}, \mathfrak{A}_{13}\right\}\right)$.
Proof. The proof is analogous to that of Lemma 2.9.
Lemma 2.11. $\mathfrak{A}_{4}$ belongs to each of the sets $\operatorname{HSP}\left(\left\{\mathfrak{A}_{2}, \mathfrak{A}_{3}\right\}\right)$, $\operatorname{HSP}\left(\left\{\mathfrak{A}_{2}, \mathfrak{A}_{5}\right\}\right)$, $\operatorname{HSP}\left(\left\{\mathfrak{A}_{3}, \mathfrak{A}_{5}\right\}\right), \operatorname{HSP}\left(\left\{\mathfrak{A}_{2}, \mathfrak{A}_{13}\right\}\right), \operatorname{HSP}\left(\left\{\mathfrak{A}_{3}, \mathfrak{A}_{11}\right\}\right), \operatorname{HSP}\left(\left\{\mathfrak{A}_{5}, \mathfrak{A}_{9}\right\}\right)$.

Proof. The proof is easy and it is left to the reader.

A set $T \subseteq \operatorname{Ir}\left(B_{b}\right)$ will be called $B_{b}$-closed or briefly closed if it satisfies the following conditions $\left(c_{1}\right)-\left(c_{11}\right)$ :
(c $\left.c_{1}\right) \quad$ if $\mathfrak{A}_{8} \in T$, then $\mathfrak{A}_{1} \in T$;
$\left(c_{2}\right) \quad$ if $3 \leq n \leq 7$ and $\mathfrak{A}_{2 n} \in T$, then $\mathfrak{A}_{2 n-1} \in T$;
$\left(c_{3}\right) \quad$ if $3 \leq n \leq 7$ and $\left\{\mathfrak{A}_{1}, \mathfrak{A}_{2 n-1}\right\} \subseteq T$, then $\mathfrak{A}_{2 n} \in T$;
(c4) if $\left\{\mathfrak{A}_{9}, \mathfrak{A}_{11}\right\} \subseteq T$, then $\mathfrak{A}_{2} \in T$;
$\left(\mathrm{c}_{5}\right) \quad$ if $\left\{\mathfrak{A}_{9}, \mathfrak{A}_{13}\right\} \subseteq T$, then $\mathfrak{A}_{3} \in T$;
$\left(\mathrm{c}_{6}\right) \quad$ if $\left\{\mathfrak{A}_{11}, \mathfrak{A}_{13}\right\} \subseteq T$, then $\mathfrak{A}_{5} \in T$;
$\left(c_{7}\right) \quad$ if $\left\{\mathfrak{A}_{5}, \mathfrak{A}_{12}\right\} \subseteq T$, then $\mathfrak{A}_{6} \in T$;
(c $\mathrm{c}_{8}$ ) if $\left\{\mathfrak{A}_{5}, \mathfrak{A}_{14}\right\} \subseteq T$, then $\mathfrak{A}_{6} \in T$;
$\left(c_{9}\right) \quad$ if $\left\{\mathfrak{A}_{11}, \mathfrak{A}_{14}\right\} \subseteq T$, then $\mathfrak{A}_{6} \in T$;
$\left(c_{10}\right)$ if $\left\{\mathfrak{A}_{12}, \mathfrak{A}_{13}\right\} \subseteq T$, then $\mathfrak{A}_{6} \in T$;
$\left(c_{11}\right) \begin{cases}\text { if }\left\{\mathfrak{A}_{2}, \mathfrak{A}_{3}\right\} \subseteq T, \text { then } \mathfrak{A}_{4} \in T ; & \text { if }\left\{\mathfrak{A}_{2}, \mathfrak{A}_{5}\right\} \subseteq T, \text { then } \mathfrak{A}_{4} \in T ; \\ \text { if }\left\{\mathfrak{A}_{3}, \mathfrak{A}_{5}\right\} \subseteq T, \text { then } \mathfrak{A}_{4} \in T ; & \text { if }\left\{\mathfrak{A}_{2}, \mathfrak{A}_{13}\right\} \subseteq T, \text { then } \mathfrak{A}_{4} \in T ; \\ \text { if }\left\{\mathfrak{A}_{3}, \mathfrak{A}_{11}\right\} \subseteq T, \text { then } \mathfrak{A}_{4} \in T ; & \text { if }\left\{\mathfrak{A}_{5}, \mathfrak{A}_{9}\right\} \subseteq T, \text { then } \mathfrak{A}_{4} \in T,\end{cases}$
Lemma 2.12. If $T \subseteq \operatorname{Ir}\left(B_{b}\right), T$ is $B_{b}$-closed and $\mathfrak{A}_{k} \notin T$ for some $k \in$ $\{1, \ldots, 14\}$, then $\mathfrak{A}_{k} \notin H S P(T)$.

Proof. Let $k=1$. Then $T \subseteq\left\{\mathfrak{A}_{2}, \ldots, \mathfrak{A}_{14}\right\}$. By $\left(\mathrm{c}_{1}\right) \mathfrak{A}_{8} \notin T$. Thus $T \subseteq\left\{\mathfrak{A}_{2}, \ldots, \mathfrak{A}_{14}\right\} \backslash\left\{\mathfrak{A}_{8}\right\}$. Take the identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(((x+y) \cdot(x+y))^{\prime}\right)^{\prime} \approx\left(((x \cdot y)+(x \cdot y))^{\prime}\right)^{\prime} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then we check that (2.1) is satisfied in every algebra $\mathfrak{A}_{i}$ for $i \in\{2, \ldots, 14\}$ $\backslash\{8\}$, so $(2.1)$ is satisfied in $H S P(T)$ but (2.1) is not satisfied in $\mathfrak{A}_{1}$. Consequently $\mathfrak{A}_{1} \notin H S P(T)$.

Let $k=2$. Then none of the sets $\left\{\mathfrak{A}_{9}, \mathfrak{A}_{11}\right\},\left\{\mathfrak{A}_{9}, \mathfrak{A}_{12}\right\},\left\{\mathfrak{A}_{10}, \mathfrak{A}_{11}\right\}$, $\left\{\mathfrak{A}_{10}, \mathfrak{A}_{12}\right\}$ is included in $T$. In fact, by $\left(c_{2}\right)$, if one of the sets is included in $T$, then $\left\{\mathfrak{A}_{9}, \mathfrak{A}_{11}\right\} \subseteq T$ and by $\left(\mathrm{c}_{4}\right) \mathfrak{A}_{2} \in T$, a contradiction. So, it must be

$$
\begin{equation*}
T \cap\left\{\mathfrak{A}_{11}, \mathfrak{A}_{12}\right\}=\varnothing \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
T \cap\left\{\mathfrak{A}_{9}, \mathfrak{A}_{10}\right\}=\varnothing . \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

If (2.2) holds, then take the identity

$$
x+x \approx(x+x) \cdot(x+x)
$$

Then every algebra from $T$ satisfies this identity, so it is satisfied in $\operatorname{HSP}(T)$ but $\mathfrak{A}_{2}$ does not satisfy it. In case (2.3) we take the identity

$$
x+x \approx\left((x+x)^{\prime}\right)^{\prime} .
$$

Let $k=3$. Then by ( $\mathrm{c}_{5}$ ) and ( $\mathrm{c}_{2}$ ) none of the sets $\left\{\mathfrak{A}_{9}, \mathfrak{A}_{13}\right\},\left\{\mathfrak{A}_{9}, \mathfrak{A}_{14}\right\}$, $\left\{\mathfrak{A}_{10}, \mathfrak{A}_{13}\right\}$, $\left\{\mathfrak{A}_{10}, \mathfrak{A}_{14}\right\}$ can be included in $T$. If $T \cap\left\{\mathfrak{A}_{13}, \mathfrak{A}_{14}\right\}=\varnothing$, we take the identity

$$
x \cdot x \approx(x \cdot x)+(x \cdot x) .
$$

If $T \cap\left\{\mathfrak{A}_{9}, \mathfrak{A}_{10}\right\}=\varnothing$, we take the identity

$$
\left((x \cdot x)^{\prime}\right)^{\prime} \approx x \cdot x .
$$

Let $k=4$. By ( $\mathrm{c}_{2}$ ) and ( $\left.\mathrm{c}_{11}\right) T$ must be included in one of the sets:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left\{\mathfrak{A}_{1}, \mathfrak{A}_{2}, \mathfrak{A}_{7}, \mathfrak{A}_{8}, \mathfrak{A}_{9}, \mathfrak{A}_{10}, \mathfrak{A}_{11}, \mathfrak{A}_{12}\right\}, \quad\left\{\mathfrak{A}_{1}, \mathfrak{A}_{3}, \mathfrak{A}_{7}, \mathfrak{A}_{8}, \mathfrak{A}_{9}, \mathfrak{A}_{10}, \mathfrak{A}_{13}, \mathfrak{A}_{14}\right\}, \\
\left\{\mathfrak{A}_{1}, \mathfrak{A}_{5}, \mathfrak{A}_{6}, \mathfrak{A}_{7}, \mathfrak{A}_{8}, \mathfrak{A}_{11}, \mathfrak{A}_{12}, \mathfrak{A}_{13}, \mathfrak{A}_{14}\right\} .
\end{gathered}
$$

We take the identities $x+x \approx x, x \cdot x \approx x,\left(x^{\prime}\right)^{\prime} \approx x$, respectively.
Let $k=5$. By ( $\mathrm{c}_{2}$ ), $\mathfrak{A}_{6} \notin T$ and, by ( $\mathrm{c}_{6}$ ) and ( $\mathrm{c}_{2}$ ), none of the sets $\left\{\mathfrak{A}_{11}, \mathfrak{A}_{13}\right\},\left\{\mathfrak{A}_{11}, \mathfrak{A}_{14}\right\},\left\{\mathfrak{A}_{12}, \mathfrak{A}_{13}\right\},\left\{\mathfrak{A}_{12}, \mathfrak{A}_{14}\right\}$ is included in $T$. If $T \cap$ $\left\{\mathfrak{A}_{13}, \mathfrak{A}_{14}\right\}=\varnothing$, we take

$$
\left(x^{\prime}\right)^{\prime} \approx\left(x^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+\left(x^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}
$$

If $T \cap\left\{\mathfrak{A}_{11}, \mathfrak{A}_{12}\right\}=\varnothing$, we take the identity

$$
\left(x^{\prime}\right)^{\prime} \approx\left(x^{\prime}\right)^{\prime} \cdot\left(x^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}
$$

Let $k=6$. If $\mathfrak{A}_{5} \in T$, then $T \cap\left\{\mathfrak{A}_{8}, \mathfrak{A}_{1}, \mathfrak{A}_{12}, \mathfrak{A}_{14}\right\}=\varnothing$ by $\left(\mathrm{c}_{3}\right),\left(\mathrm{c}_{1}\right),\left(\mathrm{c}_{7}\right)$, ( $\mathrm{c}_{8}$ ). We take the identity $\left(x^{\prime}\right)^{\prime} \approx x^{\prime}$. Let $\mathfrak{A}_{5} \notin T$. If $\mathfrak{A}_{1} \in T$, then, by $\left(\mathrm{c}_{2}\right)$, $\left(\mathrm{c}_{3}\right),\left(\mathrm{c}_{9}\right),\left(\mathrm{c}_{10}\right)$, it must be

$$
\begin{equation*}
T \cap\left\{\mathfrak{A}_{13}, \mathfrak{A}_{14}\right\}=\varnothing \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
T \cap\left\{\mathfrak{A}_{11}, \mathfrak{A}_{12}\right\}=\varnothing \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

If (2.4) holds, we take the identity

$$
\left(x^{\prime}\right)^{\prime} \approx\left((x+x)^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}
$$

If (2.5) holds, we take the identity

$$
\left(x^{\prime}\right)^{\prime} \approx\left((x \cdot x)^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}
$$

If $\mathfrak{A}_{5} \notin T$ and $\mathfrak{A}_{1} \notin T$, then $\mathfrak{A}_{8} \notin T$ by $\left(\mathrm{c}_{1}\right)$. Then, by $\left(\mathrm{c}_{9}\right),\left(\mathrm{c}_{10}\right)$ and ( $\left.\mathrm{c}_{6}\right)$ we have two possibilities: $(2.4),(2.5)$. We take the identities $\left(x^{\prime}\right)^{\prime} \approx\left((x+x)^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}$, $\left(x^{\prime}\right)^{\prime} \approx\left((x \cdot x)^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}$, respectively.

Let $k=7$. Then by $\left(\mathrm{c}_{2}\right) \mathfrak{A}_{8} \notin T$. We take the identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left((x+(x \cdot y))^{\prime}\right)^{\prime} \approx\left((x+(x \cdot z))^{\prime}\right)^{\prime} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $k=8$. Then $T$ does not contain both $\mathfrak{A}_{1}$ and $\mathfrak{A}_{7}$ by $\left(c_{3}\right)$. If $\mathfrak{A}_{7} \notin T$, we take the identity (2.6). If $\mathfrak{A}_{1} \notin T$ we take the identity (2.1).

Let $k=9$. Then $\mathfrak{A}_{10} \notin T$ by $\left(\mathrm{c}_{2}\right)$. We take

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(((x+y) \cdot(x+y))^{\prime}\right)^{\prime} \approx(x+y) \cdot(x+y) \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $k=10$. If $\mathfrak{A}_{9} \notin T$, then we take the identity (2.7). If $\mathfrak{A}_{9} \in T$, then $\left\{\mathfrak{A}_{1}, \mathfrak{A}_{8}\right\} \nsubseteq T$ by $\left(\mathrm{c}_{3}\right)$ and $\left(\mathrm{c}_{1}\right)$. We take

$$
(x \cdot y)+(x \cdot y) \approx(x+y) \cdot(x+y)
$$

Let $k=11$. Then $\mathfrak{A}_{12} \notin T$ by $\left(\mathrm{c}_{2}\right)$. We take

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(((x+y) \cdot(x+y))^{\prime}\right)^{\prime} \approx\left((x+y)^{\prime}\right)^{\prime} \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $k=12$. If $\mathfrak{A}_{11} \notin T$, then we take the identity (2.8). If $\mathfrak{A}_{11} \in T$, then $\mathfrak{A}_{1}, \mathfrak{A}_{8} \notin T$ by $\left(\mathrm{c}_{3}\right)$ and $\left(\mathrm{c}_{1}\right)$. Then we take

$$
\left((x+y)^{\prime}\right)^{\prime} \approx(x+y)^{\prime}
$$

Let $k=13$. Then $\mathfrak{A}_{14} \notin T$ by ( $\mathrm{c}_{2}$ ). We take

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(((x \cdot y)+(x \cdot y))^{\prime}\right)^{\prime} \approx\left((x \cdot y)^{\prime}\right)^{\prime} \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $k=14$. If $\mathfrak{A}_{13} \notin T$, we take the identity (2.9). If $\mathfrak{A}_{13} \in T$, then $\mathfrak{A}_{1}, \mathfrak{A}_{8} \notin T$ by ( $\mathrm{c}_{3}$ ) and ( $\mathrm{c}_{1}$ ). We take

$$
\left((x \cdot y)^{\prime}\right)^{\prime} \approx(x \cdot y)^{\prime}
$$

Lemma 2.13. If a variety $V$ belongs to $\mathcal{L}\left(B_{b}\right)$ and $\mathfrak{A} \in V$, then $\mathfrak{A}$ is isomorphic to a subdirect product of a family of subdirectly irreducible algebras belonging to $\operatorname{Ir}(V)$.

Proof. By Birkhoff's Subdirect Representation Theorem (see [1]), if $\mathfrak{A} \in$ $V$, then it is isomorphic to an algebra $\mathfrak{A}^{\prime}$ being a subdirect product of a family $\left\{\mathfrak{A}_{j}\right\}_{j \in J}$ of subdirectly irreducible algebras from $V$. By Theorem 1.1, each $\mathfrak{A}_{j}$ is isomorphic to an algebra $\mathfrak{A}_{j}^{*}$ from $\operatorname{Ir}\left(B_{b}\right)$. Thus $\mathfrak{A}_{j}^{*}$ belongs to $V$ and belongs to $\operatorname{Ir}\left(B_{b}\right)$, hence $\mathfrak{A}_{j}^{*}$ belongs to $\operatorname{Ir}(V)$. Consequently, $\mathfrak{A}^{\prime}$ is isomorphic to an algebra $\mathfrak{A}^{*}$ being a subdirect product of the family $\left\{\mathfrak{A}_{j}^{*}\right\}_{j \in J}$ and $\mathfrak{A}$ is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{A}^{*}$.

We denote by $\boldsymbol{T}\left(B_{b}\right)$ the set of all $B_{b}$-closed sets.
Lemma 2.14. We have:
(i) For every variety $V \in \mathcal{L}\left(B_{b}\right)$, the set $\operatorname{Ir}(V)$ is $B_{b}$-closed;
(ii) For every variety $V \in \mathcal{L}\left(B_{b}\right)$, we have $V=\operatorname{HSP}(\operatorname{Ir}(V))$;
(iii) If $T \in \boldsymbol{T}\left(B_{b}\right)$, then $T=\operatorname{Ir}(\operatorname{HSP}(T))$;
(iv) If $V_{1}, V_{2} \in \mathcal{L}\left(B_{b}\right)$, then $V_{1} \subseteq V_{2}$ iff $\operatorname{Ir}\left(V_{1}\right) \subseteq \operatorname{Ir}\left(V_{2}\right)$.

Proof. (i): If $\mathfrak{A}_{8} \in \operatorname{Ir}(V)$, then, by Lemma 2.1, we have $\mathfrak{A}_{1} \in \operatorname{HSP}\left(\mathfrak{A}_{8}\right) \subseteq$ $\operatorname{HSP}(\operatorname{Ir}(V)) \subseteq V$, but $\mathfrak{A}_{1} \in \operatorname{Ir}\left(B_{b}\right)$, so $\mathfrak{A}_{1} \in V \cap \operatorname{Ir}\left(B_{b}\right)=\operatorname{Ir}(V)$. Consequently, the set $\operatorname{Ir}(V)$ satisfies $\left(c_{1}\right)$. Similarly, using Lemmas 2.2-2.11, we show that $\operatorname{Ir}(V)$ satisfies $\left(\mathrm{c}_{2}\right)-\left(\mathrm{c}_{11}\right)$.
(ii): Since $\operatorname{Ir}(V) \subseteq V, \operatorname{HSP}(\operatorname{Ir}(V)) \subseteq V$. The converse inclusion follows at once from Lemma 2.13.
(iii): If an algebra $\mathfrak{A}$ belongs to $T$, then $\mathfrak{A} \in H S P(T)$. But $\mathfrak{A} \in \operatorname{Ir}\left(B_{b}\right)$ since $T \subseteq \operatorname{Ir}\left(B_{b}\right)$, so $\mathfrak{A} \in \operatorname{Ir}(H S P(T))$. If $\mathfrak{A} \notin T$, then $\mathfrak{A} \notin \operatorname{HSP}(T)$ by Lemma 2.12, hence $\mathfrak{A} \notin \operatorname{Ir}(H S P(T))$.
(iv): If $V_{1} \subseteq V_{2}$, then $\operatorname{Ir}\left(V_{1}\right) \subseteq \operatorname{Ir}\left(V_{2}\right)$ by the definition of $\operatorname{Ir}(V)$. The converse implication follows at once from Lemma 2.13.

Theorem 2.15. The set $T \subseteq \operatorname{Ir}\left(B_{b}\right)$ is equal to $\operatorname{Ir}(V)$ for some variety $V \in \mathcal{L}\left(B_{b}\right)$ iff $T$ is $B_{b}$-closed. There are $490 B_{b}$-closed sets.

Proof. The first statement follows from Lemma 2.14 (i) and (iii).
Using a computer and transforming our considerations to indices of algebras $\mathfrak{A}_{k}$ from $\operatorname{Ir}\left(B_{b}\right)$ one can find out $\left|\boldsymbol{T}\left(B_{b}\right)\right|=490$.

Theorem 2.16. The lattice $\left(\mathcal{L}\left(B_{b}\right) ; \subseteq\right)$ as a poset is isomorphic to the poset $\left(\boldsymbol{T}\left(B_{b}\right) ; \subseteq\right)$. Therefore the lattice $\left(\mathcal{L}\left(B_{b}\right) ; \subseteq\right)$ is isomorphic to the lattice $\left(\boldsymbol{T}\left(B_{b}\right) ; \subseteq\right)$ and $\operatorname{card}\left(\mathcal{L}\left(B_{b}\right)\right)=490$.
Proof. For $V \in \mathcal{L}\left(B_{b}\right)$ put $\varphi(V)=\operatorname{Ir}(V)$. Then $\varphi$ is well defined by the definition of $\operatorname{Ir}(V)$ and, by Lemma 2.14 (i), $\varphi$ maps $\mathcal{L}\left(B_{b}\right)$ into $\boldsymbol{T}\left(B_{b}\right)$. If $\operatorname{Ir}\left(V_{1}\right)=\operatorname{Ir}\left(V_{2}\right)$, then, by Lemma 2.14 (ii), $V_{1}=H S P\left(\operatorname{Ir}\left(V_{1}\right)\right)=$ $\operatorname{HSP}\left(\operatorname{Ir}\left(V_{2}\right)\right)=V_{2}$. Thus $\varphi$ is 1-1. By Lemma 2.14 (iii), $\varphi$ is onto. If $V_{1} \subseteq V_{2}$, then $\operatorname{Ir}\left(V_{1}\right) \subseteq \operatorname{Ir}\left(V_{2}\right)$ by the definition of $\operatorname{Ir}(V)$. The converse inclusion follows at once from Lemma 2.13.

Remark 2.17. Results of this paper were presented on the conference " 9 th Workshop in Mathematics", organized by Technical University of Zielona Góra at September 2001, in Gronów (Poland).

The statement card $\left(\mathcal{L}\left(B_{b}\right)\right)=490$ was confirmed on this conference by Peter Burmeister (Darmstadt, Germany) using his ConImp computer program based on the Formal Concept Analysis. For the documentation of the program see P. Burmeister, ConImp - Ein Programm zur Formalen Begriffsanalyse in: G. Stumme and R. Wille (Eds.), Begriffliche Wissensverarbeitung: Methoden und Anwendungen, Springer-Verlag, Berlin 2000, pp. 25-56; extended English version - Formal Concept Analysis with ConImp: Introduction to the basic features - one can find on the WWW-server:
http://www.mathematik. tu-darmstadt.de/ags/ag1/Software/software_de.html
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