

GENERALIZED MORPHISMS OF ABELIAN m -ARY GROUPS

ALEXANDER M. GAL'MAK

*Department of Mathematics, Technological Institute
 Shmidt ave. 3, 212027 Mogilev, Belarus*

Abstract

We prove that the set of all n -ary endomorphisms of an abelian m -ary group forms an (m, n) - ring.

Keywords and phrases: n -ary endomorphism, m -ary group, (m, n) -ring.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 20N15;
 Secondary 16Y99.

The terminology and notation used in this paper is standard (see, for example, [7] and [5]). The bibliography of m -ary groups (till 1982) is given in the survey [3] prepared by K. Głazek.

Let $\{A_1, A_2, \dots, A_{n-1}, A_n\}$ be the sequence of m -ary groups, where $m, n \geq 2$ are fixed. The sequence $f = \{f_1, f_2, \dots, f_{n-1}\}$ of homomorphisms

$$A_1 \xrightarrow{f_1} A_2 \xrightarrow{f_2} \dots \xrightarrow{f_{n-2}} A_{n-1} \xrightarrow{f_{n-1}} A_n$$

is called an n -ary homomorphism (cf. [2]).

If $A_n = A_1$, then this homomorphism is called an n -ary endomorphism. By $\text{End}(A_1, A_2, \dots, A_{n-1})$ we denote the set of all n -ary endomorphisms of the sequences $\{A_1, A_2, \dots, A_{n-1}, A_1\}$ of m -ary groups. It is clear that f defined in such a way is an n -ary isomorphism iff all f_i are isomorphisms.

Let $f_i = \{f_{i1}, f_{i2}, \dots, f_{i(n-1)}\}, i = 1, \dots, n$, be an n -ary homomorphism which corresponds to the sequence

$$f_i : B_i \xrightarrow{f_{i1}} A_1 \xrightarrow{f_{i2}} \dots \xrightarrow{f_{i(n-2)}} A_{n-2} \xrightarrow{f_{i(n-1)}} B_{i+1},$$

Namely:

i.e., as the *skew product* in the matrix $[f_{ij}]_{m \times (n-1)}$.

$$q : B_1 \xrightarrow{g_1} A_1 \xrightarrow{g_2} A_2 \xrightarrow{g_3} \dots \xrightarrow{g_{n-2}} A_{n-2} \xrightarrow{g_{n-1}} B_{n+1}.$$

Now, let A_1, A_2, \dots, A_{n-1} be abelian m -ary groups and let φ_j be the mapping defined by the formula

where $\{f_{i1}, \dots, f_{i(n-1)}\} = f_i \in \text{End}(A_1, A_2, \dots, A_{n-1})$, $i = 1, \dots, m$, $a \in A_j$, $j = 1, \dots, n-1$. Since such defined φ_j are homomorphisms (cf. [2]), we have

$$\{\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \dots, \varphi_{n-1}\} = \varphi \in \text{End}(A_1, A_2, \dots, A_{n-1}).$$

This means that in $\text{End}(A_1, A_2, \dots, A_{n-1})$ is defined an m -ary operation $(\)$ by the formula

$$(f_1 f_2 \dots f_m) = \varphi.$$

Recall (cf. for example [1]) that a non-empty set A with two operations $(\) : A^m \rightarrow A$ and $[\] : A^n \rightarrow A$ is said to be an (m, n) -ring if

- 1) $\langle A; (\) \rangle$ is an abelian m -ary group;
- 2) $\langle A; [\] \rangle$ is an n -ary semigroup;
- 3) $[a_1^{i-1}(b_1^m)a_{i+1}^n] = ([a_1^{i-1}b_1a_{i+1}^n] \dots [a_1^{i-1}b_ma_{i+1}^n])$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$
and $a_1, \dots, a_n, b_1, \dots, b_m \in A$.

Theorem. *If all m -ary groups A_1, \dots, A_{n-1} are abelian, then*

$$\langle \text{End}(A_1, \dots, A_{n-1}); (\), [\] \rangle$$

is an (m, n) -ring.

In the proof of this theorem we use properties of elements formulated in two easily verified lemmas given below.

Recall that two sequences α and β of elements from an m -ary group $\langle A; [\] \rangle$ are *equivalent* if there are sequences δ and γ of elements from A such that $[\gamma, \alpha, \delta] = [\gamma, \beta, \delta]$.

Lemma 1. *Let $\varphi : A \rightarrow B$ be a homomorphism of an m -ary groups. If a_1^i and $b_1^{i+k(m-1)}$ are equivalent in A , then $a_1^\varphi \dots a_i^\varphi$ and $b_1^\varphi \dots b_{i+k(m-1)}^\varphi$ are equivalent in B .*

Lemma 2. *Let $\varphi : A \rightarrow B$ be a homomorphism of an m -ary groups. If a_1^k is the inverse sequence for $a \in A$, then $a^\varphi a_1^\varphi \dots a_k^\varphi$ and $a_1^\varphi \dots a_k^\varphi a^\varphi$ are neutral sequences in B .*

Proof of Theorem. In [2] it is proved that $\langle \text{End}(A_1, \dots, A_{n-1}); [\] \rangle$ is an n -ary semigroup.

Now, we prove that $\langle \text{End}(A_1, \dots, A_{n-1}); (\) \rangle$ is an m -ary group.

Let

$$((f_1 f_2 \dots f_m) f_{m+1} \dots f_{2m-1}) = g = \{g_1, g_2, \dots, g_{n-1}\};$$

$$(f_1 \dots f_i (f_{i+1} \dots f_{i+m}) f_{i+m+1} \dots f_{2m-1}) = h$$

$$= \{h_1, h_2, \dots, h_{n-1}\}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, m-1;$$

$$(f_1 f_2 \dots f_m) = \varphi = \{\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \dots, \varphi_{n-1}\};$$

and

$$(f_{i+1} \dots f_{i+m}) = \psi = \{\psi_1, \psi_2, \dots, \psi_{n-1}\},$$

where $f_j = \{f_{j1}, f_{j2}, \dots, f_{j(n-1)}\}$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, 2m-1$.

Moreover the brackets () will be also denoted the derived (extended) operation.

At first, we prove the associativity of the m -ary operation (). Observe that $a^{\varphi_j} = (a^{f_{1j}} a^{f_{2j}} \dots a^{f_{mj}})$, where $a \in A_j, j = 1, \dots, n-1$, implies

$$\begin{aligned} a^{g_j} &= \left(a^{\varphi_j} a^{f_{(m+1)j}} \dots a^{f_{(2m-1)j}} \right) = \\ &= \left(\left(a^{f_{1j}} a^{f_{2j}} \dots a^{f_{mj}} \right) a^{f_{(m+1)j}} \dots a^{f_{(2m-1)j}} \right) = \\ &= \left(a^{f_{1j}} a^{f_{2j}} \dots a^{f_{(2m-1)j}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$(1) \quad a^{g_j} = \left(a^{f_{1j}} a^{f_{2j}} \dots a^{f_{(2m-1)j}} \right).$$

Similarly, $a^{\psi_j} = (a^{f_{(i+1)j}} \dots a^{f_{(i+m)j}})$ implies

$$\begin{aligned} a^{h_j} &= \left(a^{f_{1j}} \dots a^{f_{ij}} a^{\psi_j} a^{f_{(i+m+1)j}} \dots a^{f_{(2m-1)j}} \right) = \\ &= \left(a^{f_{1j}} \dots a^{f_{ij}} \left(a^{f_{(i+1)j}} \dots a^{f_{(i+m)j}} \right) a^{f_{(i+m+1)j}} \dots a^{f_{(2m-1)j}} \right) = \\ &= \left(a^{f_{1j}} a^{f_{2j}} \dots a^{f_{(2m-1)j}} \right), \end{aligned}$$

i. e.,

$$(2) \quad a^{h_j} = \left(a^{f_{1j}} a^{f_{2j}} \dots a^{f_{(2m-1)j}} \right).$$

From (1) and (2), we get $g_j = h_j$, for all $j = 1, \dots, n-1$. Therefore $g = h$ and, in the consequence,

$$\left((f_1^m) f_{m+1}^{2m-1} \right) = \left(f_1^i \left(f_{i+1}^{i+m} \right) f_{i+m+1}^{2m-1} \right)$$

for all $i = 1, \dots, m-1$, which proves that $\langle \text{End}(A_1, \dots, A_{n-1}); () \rangle$ is an m -ary semigroup. It is an abelian m -ary semigroup, because all m -ary groups A_1, \dots, A_{n-1} are abelian.

Now we prove that the equation

$$(3) \quad (f_1 f_2 \dots f_{m-1} u) = \varphi,$$

where

$$f_1, f_2, \dots, f_{m-1}, \varphi \in \text{End}(A_1, \dots, A_{n-1}),$$

$$f_i = \{f_{i1}, f_{i2}, \dots, f_{i(n-1)}\}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, m-1,$$

$$\varphi = \{\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \dots, \varphi_{n-1}\},$$

has a solution $u \in \text{End}(A_1, \dots, A_{n-1})$.

Note that a_1, \dots, a_k is the inverse sequence for $a_j \in A_j$, then the mapping

$$u_j : a \rightarrow \left(a_1^{f_{(m-1)j}} \dots a_k^{f_{(m-1)j}} \dots a_1^{f_{1j}} \dots a_k^{f_{1j}} a^{\varphi_j} \right)$$

is a homomorphism.

Indeed, if $b_{i1}, \dots, b_{ik} \in A_j$ is the inverse sequence for $b_i \in A_j$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, m$) and $d_1, \dots, d_k \in A_j$ is the inverse sequence for $(b_1 b_2 \dots b_m) \in A_j$, then

$$(4) \quad b_{m1}, \dots, b_{mk}, \dots, b_{21}, \dots, b_{2k}, b_{11}, \dots, b_{1k}$$

is an inverse sequence for $(b_1 b_2 \dots b_m)$. Thus d_1, \dots, d_k and (4) are equivalent. By Lemma 1,

$$b_{m1}^{f_{ij}}, \dots, b_{mk}^{f_{ij}}, \dots, b_{21}^{f_{ij}}, \dots, b_{2k}^{f_{ij}}, b_{11}^{f_{ij}}, \dots, b_{1k}^{f_{ij}} \text{ and } d_1^{f_{ij}}, \dots, d_k^{f_{ij}}$$

are also equivalent sequences.

Using this fact and the abelianity of all m -groups A_1, \dots, A_{n-1} , we get

$$\begin{aligned} (b_1 b_2 \dots b_m)^{u_j} &= \left(d_1^{f_{(m-1)j}} \dots d_k^{f_{(m-1)j}} \dots d_1^{f_{1j}} \dots d_k^{f_{1j}} (b_1 b_2 \dots b_m)^{\varphi_j} \right) = \\ &= \left(b_{m1}^{f_{(m-1)j}} \dots b_{mk}^{f_{(m-1)j}} \dots b_{11}^{f_{(m-1)j}} \dots b_{1k}^{f_{(m-1)j}} \dots b_{m1}^{f_{1j}} \dots b_{mk}^{f_{1j}} \dots b_{11}^{f_{1j}} \dots b_{1k}^{f_{1j}} b_1^{\varphi_j} b_2^{\varphi_j} \dots b_m^{\varphi_j} \right) \\ &= \left(\left(b_{11}^{f_{(m-1)j}} \dots b_{1k}^{f_{(m-1)j}} \dots b_{11}^{f_{1j}} \dots b_{1k}^{f_{1j}} b_1^{\varphi_j} \right) \dots \left(b_{m1}^{f_{(m-1)j}} \dots b_{mk}^{f_{(m-1)j}} \dots b_{m1}^{f_{1j}} \dots b_{mk}^{f_{1j}} b_m^{\varphi_j} \right) \right) \\ &= \left(b_1^{u_j} \dots b_m^{u_j} \right). \end{aligned}$$

This proves that u_j is a homomorphism for every $j = 1, \dots, n-1$. Hence $u = \{u_1, \dots, u_{n-1}\} \in \text{End}(A_1, \dots, A_{n-1})$. Moreover, by Lemma 2, we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\left(a^{f_{1j}} \dots a^{f_{(m-1)j}} a^{u_j} \right) = \\ &\left(a^{f_{1j}} \dots a^{f_{(m-1)j}} \left(a_1^{f_{(m-1)j}} \dots a_k^{f_{(m-1)j}} \dots a_1^{f_{1j}} \dots a_k^{f_{1j}} a^{\varphi_j} \right) \right) = \\ &= \left(\underbrace{a^{f_{1j}} a_1^{f_{1j}} \dots a_k^{f_{1j}}}_{\text{neutral}} \dots \underbrace{a^{f_{(m-1)j}} a_1^{f_{(m-1)j}} \dots a_k^{f_{(m-1)j}}}_{\text{neutral}} a^{\varphi_j} \right) = a^{\varphi_j} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have (3). Since, the operation $()$ defined on $\text{End}(A_1, \dots, A_{n-1})$, is abelian, we have that $\langle \text{End}(A_1, \dots, A_{n-1}); () \rangle$ is an abelian m -ary group.

Now, we prove the identity

$$(5) \quad \left[f_1^{i-1} (g_1^m) f_{i+1}^n \right] = \left(\left[f_1^{i-1} g_1 f_{i+1}^n \right] \dots \left[f_1^{i-1} g_m f_{i+1}^n \right] \right),$$

where $i = 1, \dots, n$.

Let

$$\begin{aligned} [f_1^{i-1}(g_1^m)f_{i+1}^n] &= \{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_{n-1}\}; \\ \left([f_1^{i-1}g_1f_{i+1}^n] \dots [f_1^{i-1}g_mf_{i+1}^n]\right) &= \{r_1, r_2, \dots, r_{n-1}\}; \\ f_k &= \{f_{k1}, f_{k2}, \dots, f_{k(n-1)}\}, \quad k = 1, \dots, n; \\ g_j &= \{g_{j1}, g_{j2}, \dots, g_{j(n-1)}\}, \quad j = 1, \dots, m; \\ [f_1^{i-1}g_jf_{i+1}^n] &= \{t_{j1}, t_{j2}, \dots, t_{j(n-1)}\}, \quad j = 1, \dots, m; \\ \text{and} \\ (g_1^m) &= \{\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \dots, \varphi_{n-1}\}. \end{aligned}$$

It is clear that identity (5) is satisfied only in the case when $s_k = r_k$ for all $k = 1, \dots, n-1$.

For $1 \leq i \leq n-k$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} a^{s_k} &= \\ a^{f_{1k} \dots f_{(i-1)(i+k-2)} \varphi_i f_{(i+1)(i+k)} \dots f_{(n-k)(n-1)} f_{(n-k+1)1} \dots f_{(n-1)(k-1)} f_{nk}} &= \\ = \left(a^{f_{1k} \dots f_{(i-1)(i+k-2)} g_{1i}} \dots a^{f_{1k} \dots f_{(i-1)(i+k-2)} g_{mi}} \right) f_{(i+1)(i+k)} \dots f_{(n-k)(n-1)} f_{(n-k+1)1} \dots f_{(n-1)(k-1)} f_{nk} &= \\ = \left(a^{f_{1k} \dots f_{(i-1)(n+k-2)} g_{1i}} f_{(i+1)(i+k)} \dots f_{(n-k)(n-1)} f_{(n-k+1)1} \dots f_{(n-1)(k-1)} f_{nk} \dots \right. & \\ \left. \dots a^{f_{1k} \dots f_{(i-1)(i+k-2)} g_{mi}} f_{(i+1)(i+k)} \dots f_{(n-k)(n-1)} f_{(n-k+1)1} \dots f_{(n-1)(k-1)} f_{nk} \right) & \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} a^{r_k} &= \left(a^{t_{1k}} \dots a^{t_{mk}} \right) = \\ &= \left(a^{f_{1k} \dots f_{(i-1)(i+k-2)} g_{1i}} f_{(i+1)(i+k)} \dots f_{(n-k)(n-1)} f_{(n-k+1)1} \dots f_{(n-1)(k-1)} f_{nk} \dots \right. \\ &\quad \left. \dots a^{f_{1k} \dots f_{(i-1)(i+k-2)} g_{mi}} f_{(i+1)(i+k)} \dots f_{(n-k)(n-1)} f_{(n-k+1)1} \dots f_{(n-1)(k-1)} f_{nk} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $a^{s_k} = a^{r_k}$ and, in the consequence, $s_k = r_k$.

If $n - k < i \leq n$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
 a^{s_k} &= a^{f_{1k} \cdots f_{(n-k)(n-1)} f_{(n-k+1)1} \cdots f_{(i-1)(i+k-n-1)} \varphi_i f_{(i+1)(i+k-n+1)} \cdots f_{(n-1)(k-1)} f_{nk}} = \\
 &= \left(a^{f_{1k} \cdots f_{(n-k)(n-1)} f_{(n-k+1)1} \cdots f_{(i-1)(i+k-n-1)} g_{1i}} \dots \right. \\
 &\quad \left. a^{f_{1k} \cdots f_{(n-1)(k-1)} f_{(n-k+1)1} \cdots f_{(i-1)(i+k-n-1)} g_{mi}} \right)^{f_{(i+1)(i+k-n+1)} \cdots f_{(n-1)(k-1)} f_{nk}} = \\
 &= \left(a^{f_{1k} \cdots f_{(n-k)(n-1)} f_{(n-k+1)1} \cdots f_{(i-1)(i+k-n-1)} g_{1i} f_{(i+1)(i+k-n+1)} \cdots f_{(n-1)(k-1)} f_{nk}} \dots \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \dots a^{f_{1k} \cdots f_{(n-k)(n-1)} f_{(n-k+1)1} \cdots f_{(i-1)(i+k-n-1)} g_{mi} f_{(i+1)(i+k-n+1)} \cdots f_{(n-1)(k-1)} f_{nk}} \right)
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 a^{r_k} &= \left(a^{t_{1k}} \dots a^{t_{mk}} \right) = \\
 &= \left(a^{f_{1k} \cdots f_{(n-k)(n-1)} f_{(n-k+1)1} \cdots f_{(i-1)(i+k-n-1)} g_{1i} f_{(i+1)(i+k-n+1)} \cdots f_{(n-1)(k-1)} f_{nk}} \dots \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \dots a^{f_{1k} \cdots f_{(n-k)(n-1)} f_{(n-k+1)1} \cdots f_{(i-1)(i+k-n-1)} g_{mi} f_{(i+1)(i+k-n+1)} \cdots f_{(n-1)(k-1)} f_{nk}} \right),
 \end{aligned}$$

which – similarly as in the previous case – give, $s_k = r_k$.

This completes the proof. ■

Corollary 1. *If $\langle A_1; +, -, 0 \rangle, \dots, \langle A_{n-1}; \{+, -, 0\} \rangle$ are abelian groups, then $\langle \text{End}(A_1, \dots, A_{n-1}); \{+, -, \Theta, [] \rangle$ is the multiring, where $\Theta = (0, \dots, 0)$.*

Corollary 2 ([4]). *The set of all endomorphisms of an abelian m -ary group forms an $(m, 2)$ -ring.*

REFERENCES

- [1] G. Crombez, *On (n, m) -rings*, Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg **37** (1972), 180–199.
- [2] A.M. Gal'mak, *Generalized morphisms of algebraic systems* (Russian), Voprosy Algebr **12** (1998), 36–46.
- [3] K. Głazek, *Bibliography of n -groups (polyadic groups) and some group-like n -ary systems*, p. 253–289 in: “*Proc. Symp. on n -ary Structures (Skopje 1982)*”, Macedonian Academy of Sciences and Arts, Skopje 1982.

- [4] K. Głazek and B. Gleichgewicht, *Abelian n -groups*, p. 321–329 in: Colloq. Math. Soc. J. Bolyai, no. **29** (“*Universal Algebra, Esztergom (Hungary) 1977*”), North-Holland, Amsterdam 1981.
- [5] E.L. Post, *Polyadic groups*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **48** (1940), 208–350.
- [6] S.A. Rusakov, Sequences of mappings and the existence of symmetric n -ary groups (Russian), p. 120–134 in: “*The Arithmetic and Subgroup Structure of Finite Groups*” (Russian), Navuka i Tehnika, Minsk 1986.
- [7] S.A. Rusakov, *Algebraic n -ary systems* (Russian), Navuka i Tehnika, Minsk 1992.
- [8] J. Timm, *Kommutative n -Gruppen*, Dissertation, Univ. Hamburg 1967.

Received 27 June 1999

Revised 22 September 1999

Revised 2 August 2000

Revised 5 March 2001